	Page 1
1	NATIONAL INDIAN GAMING COMMISSION
2	REGULATORY REVIEW
3	TRIBAL CONSULTATION AGENDA - SEPTEMBER 16, 2011
4	COARSEGOLD, CA
5	
6	-000-
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
12	
13	
14	
	September 16, 2011
15	
	9:00 a.m.
16	
17	
	711 Lucky Lane
18	a 11 a 116 1
1.0	Coarsegold, California
19	
20	Iilly White Degender CCD No. 10254
21	Lilly White-Resendez, CSR No. 10354
22	
23	
24	
25	Job No. NJ338826
23	10. No. 1000000

	Page 2
1	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL
2	
	NATIONAL INDIAN GAMING COMMISSION
3	BY: DANIEL J. LITTLE, ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER
	1441 L STREET NW
4	SUITE 9100
	WASHINGTON, DC 20005
5	202.632.7003 Ph 202.632.0045 Fax
	Daniel_little@nigc.gov
6	
7	NATIONAL INDIAN GAMING COMMISSION
	BY: LEAL ECHO-HAWK, COUNSELOR TO CHAIRWOMAN
8	1441 L. STREET NW
	SUITE 9100
9	WASHINGTON, DC 2005
	202.632.7003 Ph 202.632.0045 Fax
10	Lael_Echo-Hawk@nigc.gov
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
2425	
4 5	

	Page 3
1	COARSEGOLD, CALIFORNIA
2	FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 16, 2011; 9:00 A.M.
3	-000-
4	
5	PROCEEDINGS
6	
7	MR. LITTLE: Good morning, everyone. We are
8	going to get started here. If any tribal members or
9	gaming commissioners or tribal designees want to come
10	and take a seat at the table, we invite you to come up
11	here.
12	I want to welcome everybody to the National
13	Gaming Commission's Regulatory Review Consultation
14	Session. I want to extend my gratitude to the Picayune
15	Rancheria for hosting this event. And at this time I'm
16	going to turnover to Picayune Counselor Hernandez to
17	open up properly with some words here. So, I think he
18	is a talking with the chairman.
19	Counsel, if you want to come to the mic or
20	COUNSELOR HERNANDEZ: I can speak a loud.
21	MR. LITTLE: Okay. Fine.
22	COUNSELOR HERNANDEZ: Good morning, everyone.
23	Good to see you. Get comfortable. I'm going to say a
24	quick little brief words. I want to thank you for

coming and making it here safely. We know we are taking

25

you away from the ones you love, your family and friends. We just ask for you to be good to yourselves, be good to your family and take care of each other. You have a big responsibility. This is a huge, huge, agenda you have on your table. And I just want to pray for you that you guys are healthy and your minds are clear.

7

8

1

3

5

6

(Tribal prayer.)

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

MR. LITTLE: Chairman Lewis and Counselor

Hernandez, thank you very much for allowing the N.I.G.C.

to come on your land and provide us wonderful

hospitality. I had a great couple of nights in your

hotel and you have a wonderful facility and I'm sure

you're very proud of it. Thank you, once again.

Moving along. My name is Dan Little. I'm the Associate Commissioner here with the N.I.G.C.. And on behalf of Chairman Tracie Stevens and Vice Chairwoman Steffani Cochran, I just want to welcome everybody for coming here this morning. We are very grateful and honored to address everyone and discuss these very important issues contained in our Notice of Regulatory Review.

Both Tracie and Steffani would like to be here, but because of travel issues and other work on the

Commission, they are back in Washington. But they do send their regards and they looking forward to reviewing the transcript and reading all the comments made here today.

But before I get started, I'd like to introduce some N.I.G.C. staff that are here today. To my left, we have our Sacramento Regional Director Eric Schlanski. With him today is, also from our Sacramento office is Allen Phillips, field investigator and our training coordinator for this region. Jean Wagner, she's from our audit division here in the audience. John Hayne from our office of general counsel in Washington, DC is here. And Leal Echo-Hawk who's counsel to the chair is here. And she's going to be making some remarks here very shortly.

I also want to, I guess, let everyone know that this event is being transcribed and with us, Charlene is here, and she will be transcribing the meeting, so if you happen to step out or if you're not here for the entire consultation, these will be made available on line at nigc.gov.

But the next thing I want to do, I'd like to go actually around the room and invite everybody to introduce themselves. I don't know if we have a cordless microphone. Maybe we can start over here to

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

- the left, my left rather. And if we could just go around and introduce yourself and the organization that you're representing.
- Mark Emerick, Executive Director for the Picayune Rancheria of Chukchansi Indians.
- Good morning, John G. Ross representing
 Rincon. Scott Crowell up here today on behalf of
 Rincon.
- John Roberts, San Manuel Gaming Commission.
- 10 Stella Fuller, Pechanga Gaming Commissioner.
- Shannon Williams, Picayune Ranch Gaming
 Commissioner.
- Angela Kars from Table Mountain, Legal
 Counsel.
- Jan De Paoli, Gaming Commissioner.
- Corinna Raymond, General Manager Chicken
 Ranch. Janet Costa, Tribal Administrator Chicken
 Ranch.
- Good morning everyone, Jason Andrews, Big
 Sandy Rancheria and Tribal Gaming Commission.
- Good morning. My name is Mark Pablo from the Gaming Commission director of safety Rancheria Gaming Commission.
- Good morning. I'm Marlene Johnson with the
 Big Sandy Ranch, Gaming Commissioner.

Terri Poust, attorney. I'm here on behalf of several clients.

Joe Morego, Gaming Commissioner 49 Palms.

Norm Hansen 49 Palms Gaming Commissioner.

5 Karl Slagley, Agua Caliente Gaming

Commission. John Toro, Agua Caliente Gaming

7 | Commission. Phillip Orosco, Aqua Caliente Gaming

8 Commission.

4

6

9

12

13

21

Kathy Ogas, Lytton Rancheria.

10 Larry Red Owl, Bishop Tribe and Gaming

11 | Commission.

MR. LITTLE: All right. And next to me is Eric Schlanski, our regional director.

I always like to see former N.I.G.C.

commissioners, so I'll make a special welcome to former

16 Commissioner Terri Poust and Norm Des Rosiers for coming

17 here today.

18 As many of you know that I came on the

19 commission almost a year and a half ago. Originally,

20 I'm from Connecticut. Prior to joining the Commission,

I worked with the Mashantucket Pequot Tribe in Foxwoods

22 Resort Casino.

23 Prior to that, I worked for the Connecticut

24 State Assembly and I served for over ten years in the

25 | United States Army.

Just a couple of things. The Commission is committed to building meaningful government through work and relationships with tribes, and respects you all as the primary regulators of your operation.

We understand our responsibility of Federal -just to let you know that tribes, state, and the
N.I.G.C. each have an important function in the
regulatory structure created by I.G.R.A.. We know this
industry is best protected when we all perform our roles
effectively.

Some regulations are an important tool to ensuring the integrity -- to ensure this industry remains high standards and public confidence. The Regulatory Review Process gives the Commission opportunity to hear first hand how the regulations are working in your operation and learn about areas for improvement.

I want to thank you again for attending today and look forward to hearing your comments. I'm going to turn the microphone over to Leal Echo-Hawk now and she's going to run through a Power point presentation now that will go through the agenda and then talk about the process and how we got here. And then she's going to go into the groups. And we'll do that as we get to the groups, correct? So we are going to start with Group 1.

2.0

2.2

2.3

So, Leal.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

MS. ECHO-HAWK: Good morning. Can you guys hear okay? Is everyone good? Good. Everyone

As Dan mentioned, my name is Leal Echo-Hawk and I'm a member of the Pawnee Nation of Oklahoma and I'm currently counselor to Chairwoman Stevens at the N.I.G.C.. My primary responsibility there has been the regulatory review.

Before I get started and I'll say this again, certainly, anyone who -- we are kind of lonely up here at the table. So if you're too crowded in a booth back there, then please feel free to come forward. And we will be -- we do have a mic, so if you are making comments and you're at those back tables, just wave your hand and we'll make sure that a mic gets to you because this is being transcribed and we do need your name and your tribal -- who you're representing for the record.

Tribal consultation. Tribal consultation meetings are between tribal governments and the federal government. Only tribes and their designees can attend and participate in these meetings. These meetings are not open to the public.

We need to put that out there for all of you and, you know, just to be clear that this is not a meeting for the press or the public.

This series of consultation is followed up with the Commission's commitment to the Executive Order 13,175. Particularly Section 3, C-3 which says,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

In determining whether to establish Federal standards, agencies will consult with tribal officials as to the need for those standards.

So that's what we are doing today and we have been doing over the past 12 meetings. We have been taking about what regulations do we need and what should those regulations look like and contain?

We developed this Regulatory Review Process.

We divided the regulations into five different groups.

These groups were formed by many factors. Group numbers do not indicate their priority. But we looked at the subject matter, at the amount of time that we estimated and resources that we estimated it would take to review those regulations and we came up with five different groups.

We divided those groups into three phases. We are currently in the preliminary drafting phase.

Very, very, quickly coming up on a Notice of Proposed Rule phase.

And then finally, if those rules need to go into a Final Rule, we'll go into that last phase here over the next six months.

All the preliminary drafts that you see are in your packet. If you are at a table and you don't have a packet, we'll get you some. They are over here on this table. But they have all the preliminary discussion drafts. These are all initial working drafts.

All the consultations, like I said, are transcribed. Written comments and the transcripts are on the N.I.G.C. web site at nigc.gov.

On the nigc.gov web site there is a new section. There is a link to tribal comments. There's also a link to draft regulations. And you can go there on this one page and download copies of these discussion drafts if you need to.

The commitment from the Commission has been that every comment will be reviewed and considered. Any Proposed or Final Rule will include a summary of those comments. And a discussion of those, of the reason why we either accepted or did not accept that comment. And very importantly, the Commission is committed to a clear and transparent process. That's why we are transcribing these proceedings. That's why all the comments are going up on the web site. We think that everyone involved should be able to see and hear what other people are saying in regards to this subject matter.

So we've got five groups. I'm not going to

2.0

2.2

2.3

read through this list. It is in your handouts. It's in your packet in the Power point.

And we are going to begin this morning with Groups 1 and 2. Important to know, and this is in your agenda, that Group 3, which now contains Class 2 Minimum Internal Control Standards and Technical Standards, as well as Part 542, which is Class 3 Minimum Internal Control Standards. We have taken those out of these meetings and we are going to focus on those in the Tribal Advisory Committee that will begin meeting in October, on October 20th in Connecticut.

So we are in the process of forming that Tribal Advisory Committee. Today is the last day that we are accepting Nominations. Currently, we've got approximately 40 nominations in our office that will be reviewed after we get back into the office next week. So we will not be discussing these three issues or these three regulations today.

So we've got Groups 4 and 5 that we'll be discussing this afternoon.

So I'm going to begin with Group 1. Group 1 covers Part 514 which is the fee regs. Part 523 which is appeals. Part 559 which is the facility license regulation and then potentially the Buy regulation.

So we are very, very close to a Notice of

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 800-227-8440 973-410-4040

2.0

2.2

2.3

Opposed Rule on the fee reg and so this presentation actually contains some updated information that you haven't seen before.

The discussion draft does a number of things. It changes the fee calculation to being based on the gaming operation's fiscal year instead of the calendar year. It creates a more accurate figure and we have less fee audits that we need to do. The fee rate will be published on March 1st instead of February 1st. The preliminary rate will be published on March 1st instead of February 1st. This gives the agency and the Commission more time to review the numbers as they come in and make them more accurate preliminary rate, set a more accurate preliminary rate.

We've done things like change some -- remove some terms that didn't fit with industry standards and try to make the regulation a little more lay person friendly.

Moving back again to quarterly payments. A couple of years ago the Commission moved from quarterly payments to semi annual payments. Unfortunately, that was something that doesn't comply with the Act. The statutory language requires quarterly payments. So that's what we are going to. This doesn't mean that you can't prepay because I know a number of tribes do

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

prepay, but we are going back to the requirement that if you are not prepaying, then it will be a quarterly payment. We've tried to put in some adjustment periods. So if you go from a September 1 fiscal year to a December 31st fiscal year, then there's a procedure for how you notify the agency.

So the biggest changes that we've made, we've added two sections. The first section is a late payment -- it's sort of, we call it, internally we call it a ticketing system or something similar to a ticketing system. So if a tribe is late in making or submitting your payments to the agency, basically, we will, instead of issuing a Notice of Violation which we've heard over and over again is much too punitive for something as simple as submitting your fees late, the agency would apply a sort of a late fee, a late charge. I'm trying to think of a different word than fee because we are not in the fee section, but it would be sort of equivalent when you pay your parking ticket late and there's an additional charge for that late payment. That's what we've attempted to do in this section.

Currently, we are kicking around and this is new information, a 10, so for payments that are one to 30 days late, a 10% fee, 31 to 60 days would be a 15% fee, 61 to 90 days would be a 20% fee. And then after

2.0

2.2

that the chair has the discretion to issue a Notice of Violation.

The second session that we've added is the fingerprint processing fee. Which we're trying to formalize that process. It just clarifies how we collect the fees and when the fee amount will be published. Not all tribes utilize this function. It's nothing that you are mandated to do, but it is something that we do and wanted to make it clear to tribes how we actually do it, put it in a regulation so that it be transparent and everyone knows what that rate is.

Parts 523, which was an obsolete regulation, that will be issued in order to propose rule making proposing to repeal that part very soon.

Part 559 is the facility license notification renewals and submission regulation. We made some changes to that as well. Internally, that haven't -- that came from the comments that we've seen from discussion, after we released the discussion draft. And one of the key concerns that we heard from tribes is that we were creating a process that -- a process for review of the land, of the legal description of the lands to see if it was Indian land eligible for gaming. And that was not our intent. There is currently no requirement in the regs that the N.I.G.C. do that

2.0

2.2

2.3

determination before looking, while reviewing a facility license. So we tweaked the language and you'll see it soon in the notice of proposed rule that simply says that the tribes can request an expedited review of that land, legal description from the chair. The chair can respond to that and the tribe can request a written confirmation.

Our intent was to tell the tribes to tell the N.I.G.C. if the tribes need a legal opinion from the N.I.G.C. about the status of their land that we do it as quickly as possible. And tribes don't have to sit around and wait for us to kind of mosey through the work that we do. If it's possible to make sure, to get that information and that opinion to you quickly, then that's what we want to do. So that was the intent. And we tried to word smith the discussion draft and come up with some other language, so hopefully this will work.

We also removed the renewal requirement from the old -- from the current Part 559. New and newly issued or renewed facility license just need to be sent to the N.I.G.C. within 30 days. We just need to know if the facility is open. Instead of requiring tribes to send in an entire list of the laws and resolutions and all of these things that were contained in the current regs, the new regulation requires that the tribal

2.0

2.2

2.3

attestation for the construction and maintenance of the facility and operation, if conducted in a manner which adequately protects the environment and safety. That's the ego *** language. So instead of requiring a bunch of documents from you, we just need an attestation that the safety requirements in the act. ***

Notice needs to be sent to the N.I.G.C. within 30 days when a license is terminated or expired or if a facility closes permanently or if it closes for more than a 180 days.

Notice is not required in this proposed draft to be sent to the agency for seasonal closures. We want it to be as flexible a possible, we just need to know, our enforcement staff just needs to know when a facility is open. So we are trying to figure out ways to do that and be reasonable with the time so that you're not sending information to us repeatedly in, over short time frames.

And then the last proposed regulation in Group 1, is a potential by Indian regulations, tribes have been supportive of this and I just want it reiterate because with we do get confused on this issue sometimes. This is a regulation that tells the N.I.G.C. what to do. It tells the N.I.G.C. to buy, when you're buying goods and services that we need, to, when possible, buy

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

Indian. It isn't telling tribes that they have to do
anything. This is just a direction to the N.I.G.C..

We've had a lot of support for this, but we are
internally trying to find out what our procurement
process is, how it works with the Federal Acquisitions
Regulation. And so it is something that we're still
working on internally. And so that's why there has not

working on internally. And so that's why there has not been a proposed draft on that yet. And that is all of Group 1.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

MR. LITTLE: Thank you, Leal. As Leal said, kind of a little housekeeping things. Once, again, it's lonely up here. I invite you to come sit at the table if you would like.

The second thing is, this meeting will be transcribed, so when you do speak, please state your name and your organization, that will help transcribing your name easier.

We are scheduled on the agenda to go to 5:00 p.m. We may or may not reach that if we have concluded the agenda. So if you've got comments, please do them, you know, whenever you feel comfortable.

And like Leal said, this is government consultation for tribal leaders and their representatives. It's not open to the public or outside media sources.

800-227-8440

So the first thing I think I want to do, because there could be some folks that do have time restrictions here, if there's any tribes that have opening remarks that may not particularly just pertain to Group 1, you can come up and sit at the table and make those statements at anytime. You don't have to just talk about Group 1. So I invite you to do that if your time dictates.

If there isn't anyone, I think we will get started on the 1st agenda item in Group 1, which is Part 514 that's the fees.

As Leal talked about, the purpose of this regulation is to basically set up a process for collecting fees from the industry through our fee assessments that, you know, establishes a formula to calculate the correct fee payments and then it establishes a process for processing non-compliance issues.

During the Notice of Inquiry and through subsequent consultation, I think we've done 12 so far, this is something that's of a very big interest to tribes. It's actually of interest to some of our internal staff too, mainly our comptroller because there has been some difficulty converting to the biannual assessment as far as budgeting purposes and things like

2.0

2.2

2.3

that.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

So if there's anyone that would like to comment about moving back to the four times a year assessment, will you please state so.

One of the other things we also heard at the N.O.I. was, you know, folks like to talk about calculating on a fiscal year. It's more accurate and helps calculate fees better. So does anybody want to start off with any comments or anything we've regarding this?

MR. DES ROSIERS: Thank you. Norm Des Rosiers. I don't know that the quarterly versus annual is that big of a deal for any particular tribes, especially when they have the option.

What we do have trouble with the clarity in how you calculate a fee. And what I'm seeing and hearing is that tribes are all over the map on interpreting on how you calculate a fee. Some are overpaying and are underpaying.

And it seems like -- you know, we just experienced an audit, you know N.I.G.C. came out and audited whether we are calculating right. And what we are hearing is, you know, it's different for every tribe and your interpretation and our interpretation aren't quite the same. So all I'm asking, and we have

submitted some written comment, that that -- I don't know, that formula, or maybe the definitions of net revenues and all of this be clarified so that we are all on the same page with the right definitions on how to calculate those fees.

That's my comment.

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

MR. LITTLE: I appreciate that. Thank you.

Anybody else have any comments regarding that or what's included in the discussion draft that was put out in April of this year?

Some of the other things -- I'm not sure if anyone has any comments regarding us moving the preliminary fee rate announcement or termination from the Commission to March 1st or February 1st. I do know in this last year when we had to do it, it was -- there was some challenges there for our comptroller. I think it would be, it would make it, it would make our decision, you know, more informed, like we all like to do, especially me.

I'm not sure if anybody has any problems with that. We normally talk about the quarterly fee payments and, again, those points are well taken.

Does anybody have any comments on the, we've kind of coined the term, "ticketing system" for late fee payments? You know, in reviewing past notice

violations, we do see there was a considerable number of late fees, N.O.V.'s, those consume a considerable amount of time from our agency staff. They carry significant repercussions for the tribes, you know, politically and publicly, public relations wise.

I'm trying to find a balance here. We, you know, this agency does not receive any federal appropriations, so fee assessments are what funds this organization. So they are critical and vital to our operations and it's something that has to be dealt with very, very seriously. However, is there a better way?

We've heard cases where you've had C.F.O.'s that were sick or you've had, you know, different types of issues, natural disasters that have come up where the fees were submitted late. So we thought that maybe this type of ticketing system could be a better way that we are doing business. And that, you know, if tribes were late, then they would know firsthand what the consequences would be.

Now, Leal did point out that after the 90th day, definitely the chair reserves its right to, you know, issue a N.O.V. and issue a fine that is appropriate. But up until that time, which I think the majority would fall within if there's a process here. And we did, we did say -- I think the suggestion that

2.0

2.2

2.3

the Commission come up with a 10, a 15, a 20. I think some of the folks in the general counsel were talking 25, 50, and 75. I thought that was a little bit too harsh and we negotiated that back down -- I'm only kidding.

2.0

2.2

2.3

Does anybody have any comments on the ticketing system? Is it something that you think would work? You're going to be the blabber mouth today, Norm.

MR. DES ROSIERS: I encourage everyone to say their peace, but as you know, I usually have something to say.

I haven't read the latest language, but in previous consultations two issues -- first of all, I encourage, I support the notion of late fees as opposed to a N.O.V. I think that's a great option.

Were you its two questions as to rise that I don't know that has been answered are really, isn't that a fine? And if it is, the people are willing and voluntarily paying. But if they don't pay it, if they pay what their assessment is, they paid their fee, but they don't want to pay the late fine, so to speak, then what happens?

And I raised that question before, and I don't know if -- the previous language didn't address that,

so. . .

2.0

2.2

2.5

MR. LITTLE: It's my understanding and I think
John or Leal can correct me, but the chairwoman always
reserves the right -- the chairwoman has the latitude to
either waive a fee or waive any -- I'm sorry, not a fee,
but waive a penalty or a fine, if she sees fit. Am I
correct?

MS. ECHO-HAWK: Yes. And the other thing is that it is a fine, it does go to the treasury and not to the agency. And if a tribe fails to pay that fee, we just get a hold of the treasury and they send their collection agency after it. They would use their collection power under the regulations that we also have within our section of the regs to enforce that.

MR. DES ROSIERS: But if it's a fine, then you have a section of penal processes, penal fines that you impose. Would it fall under that?

MS. ECHO-HAWK: The way that we've drafted it, it would fall within the appeals.

MR. DES ROSIERS: It would not fall.

MS. ECHO-HAWK: No, it would.

MR. DES ROSIERS: Oh, it would fall. So if I'm 60 days late, I would pay what my assessment fees are, but choose not to pay the late fee, then that 60 day 15% is a fine and it would go through an appeal

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 973-410-4040

process. But you can't come back and -- or can you come back on top of that and fine me by \$25,000 a day for 60 days, and all of that?

MS. ECHO-HAWK: The Chairman could issue a Notice of Violation, and so, yes, she could. So, yeah.

MR. DES ROSIERS: Well, I'm just suggesting if those are the options, probably that language needs to be clear in there, if that's what you potentially face.

MR. LITTLE: Yeah. The draft is on line, actually, it's in the book here.

MS. ECHO-HAWK: It's in the book.

MR. LITTLE: It's in the book here. So hopefully, that will answer any questions, but that's a good point to raise and I appreciate that.

Thank you.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

I didn't mean anything about the blabber mouth, that was just for fun.

Does anyone else have any comments regarding the ticketing system, the penalty process relating to late fees?

The other item that Leal talked about was the fingerprint processing fees. We just added a section to clarify, you know, this process. And this isn't utilized by all tribes. I know some tribes have this included in their compacts, but a number of agencies

does utilize the agency to process their fingerprinting cards. We just want to formalize the process, you know, to just layout clearly how the fees will be assessed and utilized.

2.0

2.2

2.3

Any other any questions on that or comments?

I guess I could go through the book here and find some questions to ask. I hate to move onto the next part without thoroughly kind of exhausting what might be on your minds here.

I guess if there are no more comments, I guess we'll move onto Part 523 and that is the Review and Approval of Existing Ordinances and Resolutions.

Like Leal talked about, the purpose of this regulation is, it addresses the ordinances or resolutions that were enacted prior to 1993, but were not approved by the N.I.G.C. chair. From our understanding any tribes that have these in effect right now, during the Notice of Inquiry and the consultation, tribes told us these regulations were obsolete and should be repealed, but it's also a low priority, something that I'm not sure -- it's not a big deal for a lot of tribes. We don't have any discussion draft out on it because, obviously, it's just repealing case ordinances.

A couple of other things. There's no impact

on your ordinance after 1993. Those ordinances are subject to Part 522. So, I'm not sure if anyone has a comment on Part 523.

Okay. Moving right along. Part 559. This is the Facility License Notifications, Renewals and Submissions.

We had a discussion draft that went out in May of this year. I'm hoping a lot of you took a look at that. The purpose of this regulation is to verify that the Indian land, the Indian land status of each gaming facility and ensures the construction and maintenance of the facility are conducted in a manner that adequately effects environmental public health and safety.

During the N.O.I. and subsequent consultations tribes have told us that this regulation should be revised. They didn't agree with the substance or the process for adopting this regulation. We heard that the N.I.G.C. exceeded its authority in promulgating this regulation. Environmental, public health and safety standards are implemented by tribal authorities. They are, in many instances, requirement of tribal state compacts and they are requirements of other federal agencies such as O.S.H.A. and E.P.A. And these are sufficient -- this is what we've heard in the N.O.I. -- we've heard this from tribes, that they are sufficient

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

with complying with the portion that requires that.

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

Like Leal said, in the discussion draft that we have up on line, it's included in your packet, we have made some changes which I think the biggest is requiring tribes to an attestation that they are certifying, they are compliant, that they have, you know, environmental public health and safety standards that, you know, comply with what is required in I.G.R.A.

And like Leal also said, the draft includes the process for expedited review. It limits the requirement that a facility license be renewed every three years.

I know this was a contentious issue when I was with the tribes. And judging by the comments that we've received, it's of a big interest. So I want to make sure that if you've got any comments or questions that, you know, you can let us hear about them.

Does anybody want to start off and talk about this regulation? Does anybody have any comments on -- yes, thank you.

MS. POUST: I'm Terri Poust, attorney. I have just two quick questions on this.

The first one, you're anticipating publishing a proposed rule soon? When would we be able to get that?

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 973-410-4040

MS. ECHO-HAWK: Right now, I would say my goal, this is my personal goal because I can't say the Commission's is to have the Notice of Proposed Rule out before N.I.G.C. gets here. So before the 19th of October is my goal to have a number of things out.

2.0

2.2

2.3

2.5

MS. POUST: Are you gonna be publishing them as a group then?

MS. ECHO-HAWK: We are still working that out. Some things have to go through the office -- this particular draft, I'll just tell you, this may be beyond that because it has to go to the office of management and budget. They've got to take a look at it. And they've got to send it out to other agencies for comments because it does impact, you know, one of the things that tribes are very clear about and we tried to incorporate into the regs because a lot of this especially health and safety are covered by other agencies.

So O.M.B. is going to take this draft to those other agencies and get their input on it. And once we get that back, we'll publish it. So we're, we're right before we send it to the O.M.B. I'm crossing my fingers that the turn around will be short and then they will publish it for comment from tribes.

And let me just say, we're going to have at

least a 60 day comment period, written comment period and we're going to try to incorporate other consultation meetings as well, which is why you've seen us recently cancel a bunch of meetings, but we've kept meetings through December. Those meetings are anticipated to cover these topics. We've tried to -- we're trying to coordinate them with the tribal advisory committee meetings. So if you want to be at both you can. They'll be at the same location, but that's all coordination we are doing now.

So that's the goal. My goal is to have most of this out before we roll into the T.A.C. in the big staff. That's my dream.

MS. POUST: And my last question, the last line on the slide, "no renewal requirement," I'm unclear. Is that just referring to the one section 5592 A or would this be the license as a whole; what is that?

MS. ECHO-HAWK: If you recall, the current regulation requires the tribes to renew their facility license every three years. I'm not sure why that would be because if you have a facility, unless you close it, you're not going to do much. You're not going to pick it up and move it. So internally -- and I know when I was at the tribes, this was something that we had some concern about because we have a facility, we built it.

2.0

2.2

It costs millions and millions of dollars. We are not going to move it somewhere else.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

So this regulation eliminates that requirement. So if a tribe issues a facility license, it could be for 900 years. There's no requirement that you have to renew it after a certain period of time.

You only have to -- if a tribe wants to renew it, that's fine. But if you just have a facility license and it's just a facility license with no time lines on it, no time frames, then the only time we need to know when that -- the only time you need to send notice to us again is if you close a facility, you reopen it, or we put in 180 days. That was what we figured might work -- or six months. If you're going to close your facility for more than six months, maybe you should call us and let us know that. But that's outside of a seasonal closure, because we do know that there are some tribes that are open three months a year because of their location.

MS. POUST: Thank you.

MR. LITTLE: Thank you, Terri.

MR. CROWELL: Scott Crowell from Rincon.

This is more kind of a record keeping thing.

I just want to make sure that our presence here today
and our silence on some of these, particularly Parts

one, two, and three should not be viewed as that we don't have a view. We are submitting comments. Rincon submitted comments on this before.

The reason I'm speaking up now is because this one was near and dear to the tribe's heart, both in terms of the Notice of Inquiry and the written comments that we've submitted. This is one area the tribe was very pleased with the preliminary draft as being a C change from the prior commissions. And our concern that the prior commissions were overreaching beyond what there statutory authority is. Norm and I go back and forth on the Class 3 mix, I'm not sure whether we disagree on the facility licensing to where, you know -the prior commissions had, through several failed efforts, had tried to put themselves in a position of literally second guessing and taking a very paternalistic view in terms of being able to determine what, what a tribe's -- whether a tribe's was lost or adequate in a self government point of view. And Phil Hogan's response was, trust me, I'm not going to do that. Yet, he was drafting regulations that would put a potentially hostile commission. We don't know what the decomposition of the N.I.G.C. might look like, you know, under a Paylin administration, for example. And that we need to be very, very conscious at all points to make

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

sure that the regulatory authority granted by congress is respected and the N.I.G.C. does not overreach.

And the preliminary draft represents, in my point of view a C change from prior commissions and we applaud that.

MR. LITTLE: All right. Thank you, Scott. I to appreciate you making the comment about the lack of -- the silence or the lack of comment not being anything. We did receive your comments. I do appreciate that. We do receive all of them. Leal has been a stickler in making sure we review them, and read them and go over them.

So we do get inundated with -- and gratefully inundated with all of these comments. Actually, one of the things I think was -- I really admire the tribal community during this process here is, I recall during my previous employment where we would take the generic form letter that came out from one of the trade associations and kind of put our stamp on it.

But I've been very impressed with the unique, specific comments that were received from individual tribes and organizations. I want to commend all of you that these haven't been form letters. These have been letters and comments that have been thought out and well prepared. So I do appreciate that. Every comment is

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

reviewed and it is read and it is given effort. So I do appreciate that.

Is there anyone else?

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

MR. DES ROSIERS: Just for the record, Scott and I agree on this.

MR. LITTLE: Never doubted that.

way back in history. When the last version was enacted, I think my comments were very consistent with Scotts.

And I'm sorry to see you leave the table, because I'm sure we haven't heard the last from you. Stay up here.

MR. DES ROSIERS: It's not common, but we go

MR. LITTLE: Is there any other comments regarding Part 559 or any comments from the previous parts that we've raised that you might have just thought about now?

MR. DES ROSIERS: Just one question. I notice the time is left blank here. Is there any contemplation, 559.5 when do you need to notify the chair the license is terminated or expires and it's, you know, left blank on the page.

Is there any contemplation as to what that time periods will be?

MR. LITTLE: I'd like to know what your opinion is. I think that's one of the points here. We want to know what is a reasonable amount of time. If you have

some comments, what is a reasonable amount of time? Not to put you on the spot here, you can comment on that comment later on, but that is one that we are interested in hearing from everyone. What is a reasonable amount of time? And that is one reason why it was left blank.

MS. ECHO-HAWK: We have sort of internally filled in the blank as working towards the new draft and the new proposed rule and it's in the Power point. We threw in 180 days. No rhyme or reason. We just figured six months, you know, if you're closed more than six months, tell us so that our enforcement guys aren't there and see that it's all closed up and call us and we send our S.W.A.T team and see what happened.

MR. LITTLE: Okay, then I guess moving along on the agenda here. On Group 1, the last subject item is the Buy Indian Act. And as Leal said, it's very important and worth while to reiterate, this is not something for the tribes. This is something for the agency.

Like I said earlier, we are very cognizant of the fact that our budget comes exclusively from the fee assessment that you all provide. And it's, as other federal agencies do utilize, a Buy Indian Act, you know, this, this, proposed -- and we don't have a draft. I guess we do have a draft. When did that come out?

2.0

2.2

2.3

MS. ECHO-HAWK: No, we don't.

2.0

2.2

2.3

2.5

MR. LITTLE: It didn't come out yet? Okay.

You know, what we are proposing is that, you know, issue a requirement. I'm not sure if you want to do a regulation or an internal policy to that would require our staff to procure Native sources when they are out looking for goods and services. When we do groups like this, when we do training events.

If you were here yesterday, my remarks -- our headquarters in Washington, DC will be relocating in -- potentially relocating in 2013. There's many, many qualified native contractors out there. This is where this probably would take place or be utilized.

It's not a requirement of the tribes or the N.I.G.C. You know, what we've heard in the N.O.I. and in consultations, tribes are very supportive of this. But you know some of my kind of comments were and this is no, this is actually, I guess, an indication of, you know, grade strides that a lot of the tribes or facilities have made, that when we go out to do trainings or we do consultations, a lot of time the tribal facility is not the cheapest. And, in fact, sometimes they are a lot more expensive. I applaud that, that's great news. They are obviously doing what the market demands and that's something that I

definitely support.

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

However, are we, should we be required to utilize that more expensive tribal facility when we could go to a non-tribal facility that is less expensive and save money? Those are some of the comments that I'm interested in hearing. You know, if it would be appropriate for us to spend more money in order for us to utilize a native contractor.

> Does anybody have any thoughts on this? MS. POUST: Terri Poust again.

Just kind of piggy backing on something that Scott said a few minutes ago, as well as what she just said. The Commission is trying to decide whether or not this should be a regulation or internal policy. I have no doubt that this Commission would do what's right for Indian country when it comes to looking at and evaluate meetings and how they should acquire goods and services.

A lot of these regulations, I'm looking at them from the perspective of whose the next commissioner. So in that regard, I would encourage you to look at doing the regulation, not just an internal policy. I think it would have a lot more teeth and it would really work to ensure that not only that this Commission does that and has followed a Buy Indian type

Page 38

of policy, but also ensure that future commissions would do the same thing.

2.0

2.2

MR. LITTLE: Good point. Thank you.

Does anybody else have any comments on this or want to talk more? And everybody is generally in support of us having a Buy Indian Act.

Lael, do you have anything you want to add?

MS. ECHO-HAWK: Good job.

MR. LITTLE: We have a break scheduled at 10:15. It's 10:00 o'clock. I think I'm inclined to take a break now and then go into Group 2. Okay. We're going to break until 10:15, okay.

(Whereupon, a brief recess was taken).

MR. LITTLE: All right everybody, I think we are going to get started again.

And according to the agenda, we are going to move to Group 2 and those includes Parts 573 Enforcement and the Sub Chapter H, Proceedings Before the Commission. So I'm going to turn the mic back over to Lael Echo-Hawk to go through the Power point on Group 2. So, Lael.

MS. ECHO-HAWK: Okay. So Group 2 covers part 573, which is the enforcement regulation and then the regulations concerning proceedings or appeals before the Commission, including these numbered parts. But as you

800-227-8440 973-410-4040

see in your handouts, we've taken those particular parts, taken them apart and created a new sub chapter, which we'll talk about here in a minute.

But first, Part 573, that's the enforcement regulation. The discussion draft that you have in your packet is also on line. As voluntary compliant is a goal of the Commission, one of the concerns that we've heard repeatedly from tribes is that Notices of Violation would issue and they wouldn't have had any notice or conversation or they would have had a very preliminary conversation and then all the sudden the Notice of Violation is issued and they didn't feel like they had an opportunity to bring, to address the issues that were raised or that were at issue in the Notice of Violation.

So what we attempted to do is outline a reenforcement action process. So I have received some questions about whether or not this replaces the P, the pre notice of violation, the process that happened, I quess a while ago. I don't think many of those have issued since. And it does.

This is We've created sort of two processes. a -- we just had a meeting about this and the idea is that this would be a graduated process. That ideally a letter of concern about a particular issue would be sent

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

out by the N.I.G.C. staff, this is not coming from the chairwoman. That this would be from the N.I.G.C. Staff, that there is some kind of incident or something that needs to be addressed. It could be a violation. We are not saying that it is a violation, but that it could potentially be one.

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

The other action that could also happen is a non compliance notice. Now, we've been talking about this internally and the term non compliance notice. We've heard a lot of comments about that. So we are considering changing that to a warning letter or something else that basically confirms the assessment of the matter, that says, okay, there is a violation. We need to address it. It provides a clear time frame. And the other thing that we are adding to the, to this process is something that we didn't put in and it was sort of an oversight because we thought it would be. quess, we thought it was a no brainer, that we needed to build in some time from for the tribe to respond. we issue one of these notices, that the tribes have an opportunity to respond to that to dispute whatever, perhaps arguing and saying, no, it isn't a violation for these reasons. And this is all prior to a Notice of Violation.

Now, while this is intended to be a graduated

process, this isn't a one, two kind of process. It's not necessary -- they could be issued separately. A non compliance notice or warning letter or whatever we term it could be issued without a letter of concern going out, depending on the circumstances.

So while the idea, the hope is that it's a graduated process, so that we only have to issue a letter of concern, if the issues on the letter of concern are not met, then a non compliance notice or warning letter would issued and then a Notice of Violation. But they could also be issued independently of one other, because this process does not -- it doesn't limit the chair. The chair can still issue a Notice of Violation if the circumstances require that.

One of the other issues that we have heard and we are trying to address internally is making sure that there's a time frame built into each of these letters or notices. That a tribe knows they have X amount of time to respond or come into compliance, so that it's not something that's out there pending. That there isn't this sort of limbo land where tribes, you know, don't know, don't respond or think don't know they have to respond within a certain amount of time. Just to be very clear on what the issue is and what the time frames are for correcting or responding lines.

2.0

2.2

2.3

If the recommended corrective action is not completed, then further action maybe taken. And like I mentioned before, this doesn't limit the chair's decision to issue a Notice of Violation when the circumstance demands that. So that's Part 573. Again, we are trying to refine it. Currently, we are working on that, based on the comments that we've received from tribes.

Then the proceedings before the Commission and we've heard a lot about this and it's something that we are going to actually define, what is a proceeding before the Commission? In this context, it is an appeal of an ordinance of disapproval of a management contract approval or disapproval or an appeal of Notice of Violation to bring closure order or to find dual fine assessment.

All of these different kinds of proceedings were sort of, kind of hodge podged, placed throughout the regulation. So when we took a step back and we began thing about how would this look holistically? How could we make that easier to find, easier to navigate? We came across a section of the regulation which is sub chapter H, which is after the enforcement provision or after the enforcement sub chapter. And it made sense to us to kind of put all of these proceedings in that sub

2.0

2.2

2.3

chapter. So they are all in one place. They are easy to find. They are not sort of scattered throughout one of the regulations. So if you're in the middle of one of these issues, you can go straight to that sub chapter and you'll find the information that you need.

You do have in your packet, you do have the regulations. You also have the Power point, but what this does, what we've tried to do is break it out into each discrete section. So Parts 582 to 585 all deal with specific proceedings.

Parts 580 is the rules of general application in these proceedings. It will contain things like definitions. When rules can be suspended or waived. Who can appear. Service requirements. Definition of exparte communication. Those type of things, things that apply throughout this whole sub chapter.

Part 580.1 covers all the motions that can happen in these kind of proceedings and what the process is for filing motions, for limited opinions, intervention, motions on appeal when it's just based on written submissions to the commission, filing a motion et cetera.

One thing that we did add was a provision for filing a motion for reconsideration. And I apologize to all of you non lawyers in the room who don't care about

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

this stuff. I don't blame you. But the lawyers in our office were really excited about this and how we can refine these particular regulations.

One of the issues we came across was that sometimes tribes, sometimes tribes file a Motion For Reconsideration, sometimes they didn't. There was a practice if our office that if a tribe did file a Motion For Reconsideration that they would be considered. However, there was nothing in the regulations that said a tribe could. The only way a tribe would know about that was if their attorney had previously worked on one or was very diligent and researched our web site in the various appellate decisions to see that another tribe had done it and so they figured we can do it too.

We wanted to formalize this. We wanted to make sure that everyone knew there was a process for reconsideration. And so that process was included in Part 580.1.

Then Part 580.2, governs our potential appeals of disapproval of gaming ordinances or amendments.

One of the comments that we received, that a lot of the information that's contained in Parts 582 to 585 are repetitive. And it's true, but it's for a reason. If you're simply filing an appeal of a disapproval of a gaming ordinance, then you shouldn't

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

have to go and see what happens when you file it. It should just be contained in that same section and you shouldn't have to go somewhere else to look for it.

2.

2.2

2.4

2.5

So while it is repetitive, and you'll see that particular section repeated throughout 582, 583, '84, '85. It's because if you are utilizing that section, Part 582, we don't want you to have to look at 852, 585 to find the information that you need. It all needs to be in one place.

580.3 is the process for appealing an approval or disapproval of management contracts or amendments to management contracts. Who can file those approvals. How to appeal. You know, the process, late filing. What happens? Motions. Who can be a limited participant, what the standard review was, et cetera.

And we had the question come up, well, why would you appeal the approval of a management contract? We thought about this and we left it in because we have had instances where tribes, for example, the governing counsel presented the governing contract to the commission and it was appealed. Then the legislature appealed it because they didn't want that particular management contractor.

So if you have a question, if you were wondering why that is, that's why that was left in.

So Parts 584 and 585 were all included previously in Part 577. So if you have been issued a Notice of Violation, temporary closure orders, or civil fine assessment, or the chair has decided to void or modify a contract, this is the notice of late fee, assessment fee, if you come across any of these things, you can choose as a tribe to go one or two routes.

2.

2.2

2.5

You can choose to have an actual formal hearing were you present information, you do discovery, you can take witnesses. Or you can choose to just file your appeal just through written documents and it goes straight to the Commission.

Part 584 is that hearing process. And it goes before a presiding official, sort of an administrative law judge. There is a hearing held, it's very adversarial. You have attorneys on both sides presenting evidence and making arguments.

The presiding official then issues a recommendation to the Commission on the decision. And then the Commission adopts or modifies that official's decision.

On the other hand, you can go straight to an appeal directly before the Commission. And when you do that, you don't have a hearing, you just file the paperwork.

800-227-8440 973-410-4040

And so there's the two routes that you can go and we tried to lay that clearly out in those different sections.

There are some comments on the web site on these, so if you're interested in hearing what other tribes have to say, it's there. And I think with that, I'll turn it back over to Dan.

MR. LITTLE: Okay. Thank you, Lael.

We're going to move on, up to Part 573, Enforcement Regulation. As many of you know, the purpose of this regulation is to set up the general rules for the Commission to follow when taking enforcement action. And this includes the process for when a N.O.V. can be issued or a process when the chair my issue a temporary closure and it provides a form for that review process.

So during the N.O.I. and consultations, we heard from tribes that our enforcement policy should reflect a civil regulatory team rather than a punitive criminal one. We also heard that the N.I.G.C. should stop the voluntary compliant, which we have in our draft. That we should adopt a process whereby a N.O.V. is not surprised. And I think we've don that also by creating some steps and that our regulation should focus on prevention and not on -- the prevention of a N.O.V.

2.0

2.2

And like we talked about earlier are, we all are cognizant of the fact that an N.O.V. can have a very serious economic impact. And the goal of this commission is to maintain compliance, not issue N.O.V.'s.

2.0

2.2

2.3

So in June, we issued a discussion draft. Like Lael said, we did add a voluntary compliance statement. And where it allows our staff to issue letters of concern of non compliance. And then the draft also specifies when a N.O.V. becomes a final agency action.

So does anybody want to start off with some comments regarding the discussion draft or any topics that have not been covered, have not been raised by the commission in any of the previous consultations here today?

MS. POUST: Hi, Terri Poust, again.

I really appreciate what the commission is talking about doing with coming up with this graduate approach to enforcement, rather than just going to a straight N.O.V. I think we have all seen situations in the past where that has happened and not really justified. So I really appreciate the intent of the commission to kind of formulate this graduate approach.

My question though is really, and, again,

looking at future commissions and how do you ensure that that happens all the time? Because I noticed that the way the language is, the chair still may issue an N.O.V. You talk about if the circumstances warrant that. Are you going to define that in anyway? And I know that that may be difficult, but my fear is that if you lie out this kind of graduated approach, but then still say, but the chair can issue an N.O.V. if circumstances warrant it.

Is that going to open the door to future commissioners just to go back to, you know, what they've been doing, which is, you know, you pay your fee two days late, so we are just going to issue a N.O.V. So is there some kind of a standard that's going to be set up in regulation to, I guess, try and really spell out what the circumstances would warrant those records from N.O.V. versus the graduated approach?

MR. LITTLE: I think the pre enforcement actions or the process is for staff to work with and mainly, you know, our field staff to work with the tribes to, you know, maintain compliance or when they identified compliance issues to bring them up to the standards. I think, you know, I mean, the commission has to always reserve the right for the chair to enact a N.O.V., you know, if, you know, he or she seems fit at

2.0

2.2

the time. So, you know, I think we are very interested in maintaining the authority of the chair, but trying to create a process where the staff will work better with the tribes.

Lael.

2.0

2.2

2.3

MS. ECHO-HAWK: That's been one of the comments we've seen consistently in consultation and in the letters that we've received. It's very difficult to define and we've toyed around with some language. We've thought about what we can do with the preamble language, so we're thinking about it. If you've got some ideas we'd love to see it, because it is -- we need to walk that fine line, we need to know if there's someone in there who's, you know, illegally managing or managing without a contract or if there's some major issues going on and we need to immediately -- the chair needs to take immediate action. Then she needs to have that authority.

On the other hand, you're right, the whole reason we are going the route with the fees and with this pre enforcement of the, this voluntary compliant pre enforcement type proceeding is because the commission has done that in the past. So we are really trying to figure out how to work it. How do you put that in regulations? It's very difficult. So if you

have some suggestions, we'd like it to see it, but we are playing with language and talking about what we can include in the preamble, perhaps give guidance to future application of that particular regulation.

MR. LITTLE: Thank you, Terri. We appreciate that.

Are there any other comments regarding the enforcement regulation or any of the voluntary compliance process we're looking at trying to implement?

I guess we'll move onto the proceedings before the commission. And that's, you know, Lael, pointed out this is kind of a major kind of overhaul of this issue. I know as an associate commissioner when I came onto, came to the N.I.G.C. I was, I kind of felt that there was an area, a need to better spell out the appeals process so that there's a better understanding of, you know, your rights under I.G.R.A and regulations. So I think we've tried to make the process easier.

During the N.O.I. We did hear this, you know, numerous times that the tribes were concerned about due process, you know. I think in regards to timelines they had before the commission, you know, that tribes felt there was no finality when it comes to written appeals and there's a sense that they are kind of hanging out there.

2.0

2.2

2.3

So we are looking to -- like Lael said, looking to consolidate Parts 519, 524, 539 and 577 into a new sub chapter. And we're proposing that to be Parts 580 to 585. And when I go through all the steps that Lael had talked about or what each part does.

But does anyone have any comments or concerns on, you know, and your reasons not to consolidate these all into one part of the regulations? Any areas where folks have had difficulties in the past from a misunderstanding or lack of understanding of it. Is there anything we need to clarify? Norm, do you have a comment?

MR. DES ROSIERS: Yes, thank you. And I've submitted nothing, so I wanted to make a comment on this. Norman Des Rosiers, San Manuel.

But the one thing that jumps out at me and I've been thinking a lot of the non lawyers, we have a problem and I urge you to consider in your definition section actually defining what "file" means. We talk about filing briefs, filing motion, filing appeals, but nowhere in here was I able to figure out just exactly how that's done. What does file mean? Does that mean submitting a document? Does that mean -- and who do I submit it to and how do I submit it, electronically, by mail? None of that filing stuff is defined in here.

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

Page 53

How that is actually done. Everything else is he pretty clear, you know, what a brief must contain, what a motion must contain, when to do it, but not what does file really mean? Do I submit it to the office of general counsel? Do I send it to the Chairman? That's not in here or at least I didn't see it in here.

MS. ECHO-HAWK: Lawyers make very basic assumptions, so if we can clarify that, we will. There is in the service section.

MR. LITTLE: 519.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

MS. ECHO-HAWK: Well, yeah, five, but it's now 580.4 Page 3 in the handout. It says, copies of the appeal and appeal brief should be filed personally. So, yeah, we are working on definitions for this section too, and so I'll add that to my list to maybe make it a little more clear.

MR. LITTLE: Thank you. Does anyone have any other comments? I mean, this is a huge undertaking here and I think something that is so important as, you know, your right to appeal. Any of the major issues that N.I.G.C. undertakes, whether it be an approval of a management contract or, you know, this is a big section here. And it's a big change.

Does anybody have any other comments, suggestions, clarifications.

I guess moving right along, in the general an I guess we'll start of on Group 4. And that includes Parts 556 and 558, background investigations for management officials and key employees.

Part 571, the monitoring and investigations and Part 537 on background investigations.

So Lael.

2.0

2.2

2.3

MS. ECHO-HAWK: Okay. So Group 4, Dan just went over what that contains. Also, we do have, you do have drafts in your packet. Part 556 and 558 formalize what is commonly referred to as the pilot program, that's been going on for some 10 years. So we didn't think it was so much a pilot program anymore and maybe we should actually change the regulation to, say, adjust what we are actually doing.

Tribes were very supportive of this. Just quickly the pilot program allows tribes to submit a notice of results of background investigations that they set. Then the tribe maintains all the documents and all the reports. They just send us a notice of results and basically a little more streamline and somewhat more efficient. So that's what we intend to do.

Now, Part 556 includes all the procedures. We tried to divide it up. Part 556 provides all the procedures before a license is issued.

And 558 includes the procedures after a license has been issued. So tribes seeking to license a key employee or primary management official have to notify the agency of the background results no later than 60 days after the applicant begins work.

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

Now, we know that there is a number of tribes that have, you know, temporary licenses and those kinds of things. So it's after the applicant begins work is the way that the regulation reads.

We also tried to allow, we're trying to streamline things and not make tribes duplicate information, duplicate efforts.

So if a tribe, if one tribe had access to information on a particular applicant, background information, an investigative report, they can get that background information from that tribe and simply update it and then send us that updated notice of results.

That way tribes aren't, you know, if you have a tribe who, you know, from here, say, who's going to a tribe, you know, down the road and your gaming agency talks to each other, you can obtain that information.

Then it's just a matter of updating and not recreating the entire file.

Part 558, as you move along includes all the procedures after the gaming license has been issued.

800-227-8440 973-410-4040

Now, there is something that is a little bit different about this, about the regulations than what's been common practice in the pilot program. And that is that a tribe has to provide us with a Notice of Results of the background information and then they have to provide us a notice of the license, that they've actually licensed the individual. This is different than what was going on for the most part, in the pilot program. And it's a statutory requirement. It is an additional step. We've had some concerns, tribes saying, oh, you're making an additional step that's different than what we are doing. But it's statutory and it's something that we need to do.

We are trying to move ourselves into the beginning of the 20th century. You know, or maybe the end, I don't know, in our electronic submissions and our I.T. We are working very hard to make sure that whatever you submit to us it, doesn't take a lot of work. We are not losing things on fax machines. We can actually utilize a scanner and email address and those kinds of So we're working on that internally.

Now, upon receipt of the Notice of Results, the N.I.G.C. has an opportunity of 30 days to look at that information and then either -- we are also trying to formalize a process, you know, every region is doing

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

this differently. Some regions were very, very good, very quick and other regions didn't do this at all. That is to issue a Letter of No Objection. We don't object to this person obtaining a license. However, the N.I.G.C., if it does issue a contend, it can either object to the individual, it cannot issue a license to that individual or it can request additional information from the tribe.

2.0

2.2

2.5

Now, here's where it gets a little bit sticky and it's something we've mentioned to tribes and we just want to point out again, if the N.I.G.C. has an objection within that 30 days and the tribe has already issued the license to that applicant, once that license is issued, along with it comes rights to a hearing and due process proceedings. So if the individual has been issued a license and the N.I.G.C. says, whoa, we've got some issues to this particular applicant and this licensee, then the tribe has to hold a hearing, suspend the license, hold a hearing and then later provide the N.I.G.C. notice of whatever the results were from that hearing.

And again, future ordinances have to comply.

If the ordinance doesn't comply with us now, don't worry about sending us an ordinance amendment until you are actually doing that and then at that time make sure your

ordinance submissions comply with this provision.

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

So the other things that were in Group 4 had to do now -- and I just want to point out, we've pulled a couple of thing from the discussion because the commission's made the decision that we are not going to be talking about them. That we are not going to go forward with the amendment to this. One of those issues was a Class 2 program. If you look in the draft, it's on Part 537 at the very top of the 1st page. There was an addition that said, "Including a management contract that provides for management of both Class 2 and Class 3 gaming."

When we first started this process there was a thought and there was a suggestion from our office that we needed to clarify that management contractors of these hybrid facilities that are of Class 2 and Class 3 facilities owned by one tribe needed to submit background investigation. As we moved along in the process we realized, perhaps that's not the clarification we need. The commission has decided that it's not and that's not something we are going to be discussing or making an amendment on.

What we are still considering adding is Part 537.1 which allows the chair to exercise discretion and reduce the background information requirements that need

to be conducted on certain entities, such as a tribal N.S.D., a national day, institutional investigator, somebody that's already federally regulated. Now, again, this is at the discretion of the chair, but it's something that tribes suggested to us and it seems like a reasonable amendment to make.

Then moving on into 571. Again, we had included in a discussion draft a section where we talked about an access to off site records, books, those kinds of things. And as we've gone through the consultations, we've heard over and over again that you can do it, but you don't really need to do it because you have subpoena authority. So what's the point, I mean, you can, but it's not a necessary amendment.

The commission listened to that and has decided that that's not an amendment that we are considering at this time. It's not necessary. And so the only amendment that we are currently looking at in 571 is the investigation preclusion letter. Now, this is where the N.I.G.C. has begun an investigation and concluded it. We've heard numerous times that tribes have been notified we have done an investigation and it was five years ago. Well, they never received any notice that the investigation was closed. They didn't receive a Notice of Violation. They are sort of out

2.0

2.2

there in purgatory, like limbo. They don't know what's happening with this investigation, but they have to notify if they are bond holders or banks or other sort of regulatory entities that they are still understand investigation. So this allows the N.I.G.C. to advise the party that the investigation has been concluded. This doesn't say that the chair couldn't issue a Notice of Violation or that there has been no violation. It's just saying that the investigation is concluded. there is some peace of mind provided to the tribes.

> And so that is what we've got for Group 4. MR. LITTLE: Okay. Thank you, Lael.

So we are going to start off with Parts 556 and 558. We're going to kind of do it together with that for background investigation for primary management and key employees.

Part 556 in the discussion draft is basically all the procedures that occur before a license is issued. And then Part 558 is all the proceedings after a license is issued.

As you all notice, the purpose of these regulations is to weed out, you know, bad influences and during the N.O.I, as Lael pointed out, we heard from the tribes that a pilot program can't be going on for 10 years. It's no longer a pilot program. So, it's

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

worked, it's worked well. And it's probably something that should be formalized into a regulation, which we have done in the discussion draft.

2.0

2.2

2.3

2.5

Is there any comments if favor of that or maybe not in favor of formalizing the pilot program into a regulation? Scott, go ahead.

MR. CROWELL: Scott Crowell on behalf of the Rincon Band. Dan, I have with me today a copy of the formal statement that has been approved by the tribal counsel, similar to previous comments that we've submitted. On Group 4, we've already submitted comments, but since that time the preliminary drafts on 556 and 558 come out and the counsel has a couple of comments that if you'll bear with me, I'll simply read from the statement.

Since our last submission, N.I.G.C. has circulated preliminary drafts on Parts 556 and 558, our initial review is favorable and supportive with two exceptions.

First, we agree that the tribe should be able to turn to the N.I.G.C. for assistance to conduct background investigations on any employee or entity for which the T.G.A. seeks assistance. Being able to turn to the N.I.G.C. to process fingerprint cards beyond primary management officials and key employees enables

800-227-8440 973-410-4040

tribes to make better informed and faster decisions.

This is particularly important because many states deny or severely restrict tribes from a states database or

4 resources.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

The cost of processing fingerprint cards, however, should be borne by the participating tribes and not out of fees paid by other tribes, who restrict N.I.G.C. assistance to key employees and primary management officials.

Second, the revision to 558.2 highlights a provision that considers requiring notification to N.I.G.C. of determinations of unsuitability in license denials. We suspect this is highlighted because I.G.R.A. requires that a tribe notify the N.I.G.C. of licenses issued, but is silent on notifying N.I.G.C. Of licenses denied. 25 U.S.C. 2710 sub two sub F sub two The crux of the a abuses of the Hogan sub one. commissions were the result of an agency culture that believed it could fiat authority on the grounds that it was a good idea, without regard to I.G.R.A.'s limits on that authority. Class three M.I.C.S. facility licenses, et cetera. Although, the proposed requirement to notify N.I.G.C. of licenses denied is a good one, and improves the data base on which all tribes can make better, more informed licensing decisions, it falls outside the of

800-227-8440 973-410-4040

the N.I.G.C.'s parameters of authority set forth by I.G.R.A. and perpetuates the culture that lead to past abuses. Accordingly, Rincon endorses the word "may" and opposes using the word "shall." We do believe that a tribe can compel its T.G.A. to submit such information to the N.I.G.C. in its context of the tribal gaming ordinance, but this is properly a matter of tribal self-governance.

Finally, the preliminary draft appear to make the quote pilot program permanent. We applaud this change. It has been a farse to call it a pilot program when it is older that most tribal gaming facilities.

MR. LITTLE: All right. Thank you for those comments. Will we get a copy of that for the record?

MR. CROWELL: Yes.

MR. LITTLE: All right, thank you.

Does anyone have other comments you want to add about the pilot program, formalizing it? Does anybody have any comments about how by formalizing it, it could effect any current ordinances? Does anyone have any comments how we can improve the process providing access or background information, whether it be like Lael talked about, better communications or sharing information or -- Lael?

MS. ECHO-HAWK: Just to kind of follow-up with

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 800-227-8440 973-410-4040

2.0

2.2

2.3

the question Dan just asked and what Rincon's comments.

One of the issues that we've heard repeatedly is a tribes would like to have access to a database that means something, that you can actually see a person has been licensed by X tribes for X long.

One of the concerns that we've had with this particular section is, does that also mean that tribes have access to individuals who have not been licensed? And that if they had not been licensed, do we provide the information for why or why not?

And in 556 and in 558, if you look at 556, one of the requirements for an individual who's submitting an application is that they provide notice of anytime that they have not been licensed. Well, we, you know, internally, we are like, well, why would that be? There's a lot of reasons why an individual may not be licensed. I remember when I was working for a tribe, sometimes it took forever to get the person in the door and ready for employment and they had already applied for a gaming license, but by the time the operation got around to hiring them, it was too late, they had got another job and didn't need the license. But we've heard stories of individuals who anecdotally didn't put on their — they applied for a license, but hadn't been issued a license. They weren't considered unsuitable

2.0

2.2

2.3

Page 65

for the other tribe because they had not included that. They had just forgotten that they had applied for the job and at the same time they applied for a job they applied for a gaming license. So you have that instance.

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

And then you have instances where individuals have submitted background information and they are unsuitable for a number of reasons. We receive the information on that, but if you don't submit the background's investigative reports, we don't know why. So when someone accesses the database like that, we need to figure out what information is suitable for occlusion in that kind of database. How do we get that information if we are formalizing this Notice of Results and then how can tribes actually use it in a meaningful way.

So it's a dilemma we are facing internally. If you have some thoughts about that, we'd love to hear.

MR. LITTLE: Thank you, Lael.

MR. ANDREWS: Jason Andrews, Big Sandy.

One of the questions I had and I was speaking with Melissa over here, who's the licensed manager for another tribes.

Is, as it is right now, I don't believe the tribes are sending in authorizations to N.I.G.C., so I

800-227-8440 973-410-4040

think there could be or may be an issue maybe internally with the tribes to the applicant as far as putting on that information. One suggestion could be that the database just include the tribes that they were denied by. And then some contact information or something of the sort where now as a licensing investigator can contact that tribe, send them the authorization and release information and then they could communicate tribe to tribe. Just an idea.

MR. LITTLE: Great. Thank you. Good point. Was there any other comments? Norm.

MR. DES ROSIERS: Thank you. Well, I jokingly asked Scott if he had read my submitted written comments before he submitted his, because they were almost identical. And, you know, the notion of a database and access to people, you know, who have had a license and had them denied or revoked or whatever, it's good. I don't know of any tribe that wouldn't want access to that kind of information.

However, as Scott pointed out and as I pointed out if my written comments, I really firmly believe that it must be voluntary. I don't think I.G.R.A. gives

N.I.G.C. the authority to manage. Well, I don't know.

And, again, I can't imagine -- maybe there's exceptions to everything, but why a tribe wouldn't want to

2.0

2.2

voluntary submit that. I mean, I do. And I've done it for years, but it's been voluntary.

MR. LITTLE: That's a good point. Thank you,

Norm. Is there my other comments, any other comments on

556 and 558?

MR. CROWELL: If I could, I appreciate the issues that you have to struggle with in terms of the database being available without having a consistent or uniform standard of, you know, whether tribes are submitting their denial information and the background basis on whether it's simply, you know, didn't get around to it or if it was a negative termination suitability. I would not -- I encourage you to develop and make that database available, even though those problems persist. I think, you know, every tribal gaming commission that I've had the pleasure of working with, you know, is able to discern, you know, the reliability and the detail of information. And that database would be additional information that the tribes, that the tribes could use and, certainly, if there's unanswered questions on the reason for denial, short of a negative termination, suitability, et cetera, then that's something that, that T.G.A. could follow-up with the applicant or licensee and ask them to provide additional information.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

The more information the T.G.A. has, the better informed decision that can be made. So even though there are problems with consistency and verifiability of the information in that kind of database, I don't think that outweighs the value that the database would provide.

MR. LITTLE: Thank you. Let's move onto -- if no one has any additional comments, we'll move onto Part 571. Monitoring Investigations.

And I think as Lael had discussed, the purpose of the regulation spells out procedures used by the commission to monitor gaming and conduct investigations. In a discussion draft that was put out in June, I think that the area we are going to focus on is basically when an investigation is terminated. know we've been kind of toying with the terminology there, not to say an investigation closure, because it sounds like a closure of a facility, but the investigation notification termination process. So in the discussion draft and I'm hoping, I'm sure most of you have had a chance to review it, it basically provides a process that the N.I.G.C. would utilize to notify a tribe that its investigation has been closed. But it does not mean that an investigation cannot be reopened at a later time or that there was no sufficient

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

evidence that a violation has not occurred. It just means that the investigation has concluded.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

Does anyone have any comments regarding this, you know, this draft proposed changed. Good things, bad things?

We are breezing right through this agenda here. We won't have anything to do after lunch.

MR. DES ROSIERS: That's the idea.

MR. LITTLE: I hate to move along if folks have something to add. I guess we could talk about Parts 537 Background Investigation of Management Contracts.

This part just specifies what must be included in a management contract for it to be approved. During the N.O.I. and the consultations, you know, we heard that the commission should streamline this process.

Does anybody have any comments regarding Part 537? I think one of the issues that was raised was when a management contractor has multiple operations, do they need to go through the same process, entire process for back grounding if they work with a number of tribes?

So the discussion draft, it basically gives the chair some discretion. Does anybody have any comments regarding that, is that good, bad, helpful? No comments? Everybody speak at once here.

Well, I think that's the end of Group 4. I guess we could go onto Group 5 or do you have anything, Lael, that you want to add?

2.0

2.2

2.3

2.5

MS. ECHO-HAWK: So everyone is comfortable with the issues that we've decided to take off the table, I'm assuming, or we would have heard about them. Thank you all so much. I mean, I just want to just really extend my gratitude. The comments that have come in have been so excellent. And they really have provided a lot of guidance. So when we present these issues and say, you know, to the commission, who do read the comments, that overwhelming a tribe is not necessary in the interest of time and money and tribal resources, so you're not still spinning your wheels on issues that we are not talking about anymore. I really appreciate the comments have been great. The commission has been super acceptive. So, hopefully, this helps us move this process along.

So part, Group 5 -- you know what, I overlooked two things in Group 4 because I forgot we pulled them off the table and those were the discussion about collateral agreement. If you remember, we've been considering defining what collateral agreements are and refining that and overwhelmingly, the response of tribes -- well, not overwhelmingly, there's been a

800-227-8440 973-410-4040

little debate it may be useful, but don't touch it.

And, you know, given some useful court opinions, that
that particular issue has also -- we are not considering
a regulation or doing anything with that, anything with
that particular definition.

Likewise, we were talking about the definition that revenues overwhelmingly we heard there's a statutory definition for net revenues. You can't really do anything about it. So we are not going to do anything with that definition as well.

So those are no longer issues that we are considering internally or spending our time and resources on developing any kind of regulation or definition. Is everyone okay with that? So Group 5 contains -- and you'll notice that we, Group 5, Group 1 and Group 5 both contain a section identified for a Class 3 mix. We've again have taken that issue off the table. We are going to be talking about that with the tribal advisory committee in the meetings that we've planned beginning in October.

So Group 5 covers Part 518, self regulation and Class 2 gaming and self proprietary interest. The most recent discussion draft that you've seen and in fact comments closed on Saturday, I don't know why on Saturday. That's when the date calculator says. I

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

apologize. So it closes tomorrow actually if you have comments.

So that's the most recent discussion draft that's in your handout. So we asked whether or not the commission should review the Class 2 self regulation certification. We heard back an overwhelmingly yes, please do. You know out of 240 gaming tribes, 400 and some operations, we had two tribes that are self regulating. So obviously this regulation is not doing what congress intended, it's not doing its job. very old regulation. It was written back in the late 1990's before we had M.I.C.S. So as we were going through it we were saying, because we didn't even have this other regulation at the time. So we looked at it, we tried to reduce duplicative and burdensome information, you know, things that were already sent to the N.I.G.C. we already have from a management contract, or gaming ordinance commission or facility licensing commission or whatever it was. We didn't want to make tribes submit that again. It seems duplicative on our heads as well as yours.

But we did hear that the high standards did need to be maintained and that is really a tribal sovereignty issue. So we shift the focus from the gaming operation, it was odd because if you look at the

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

regulations, it fixated on the gaming operation and not on regulation on the tribal regulatory side, which is really what this is about. It's about the tribe regulating itself. So we need to talk about how does a tribe do that?

So if you look in your draft, and we've reduced the submission requirements to make, you know, not to reduce the information we have, but to eliminate some of the duplicative things that were contained in and to really focus again on tribal gaming regulatory agency and not on the operation. So it contains things like board charts, employment criteria, so we know people are getting back grounded, funding descriptions, we wanted to make sure there was adequate funding for the regulatory agency. Who the regulators or, you know, description of accounting systems, internal controls, record keeping systems for investigation. Copies of facility licensing, tribal regulations, if not included with the ordinance. Now, I know that a number of tribes include their regulations inside their ordinance, so we would have that information. But I also know that there are tribes that have regulations built outside of the ordinance so they can amend them as needed without having to seek the approval of the N.I.G.C. So I think those tribal regulations were not included in the

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

ordinance then you send them to us, but otherwise if we already have them, no need. Okay.

So, then we identified some criteria that best need certificate of self regulation. Some of this we just couldn't change because it's language straight out of the act, including this effective, honest accounting, reputation for safe, fair and honest -- all this stuff in the first bullet there, that's statutory language. And we need to make sure that it's being conducted with I.G.R.A., N.I.G.C. regs, and the tribal gaming ordinance and regulations. A tribe has to have an adequate system, you know, stuff that we know as tribes have developed, you have this stuff. Adoption of and implementation of M.I.C.S., M.I.C.S. adequate dispute regulations processes for employees and customers, a gaming regulatory body that monitors compliance, monitors effectiveness of the accounting systems, does audits, Class 2 gaming activities and receives that information so they are able to conduct those audits from the operation. Inspect the -- I.G.R.A has access to inspection and access to books and papers related to the Class 2 gaming activities. It was very important for us to make sure, especially the chairwoman, she comes from the northwest, those tribes are pretty independent, we wanted to make clear this was about

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

Class 2 gaming activities. We are not talking about access to Class 2 and Class 3, you know tribes get to decide how their gaming regulatory operations, but this is a regulation that's focused on Class 2 because that's what the statute said. We also took out some reference to independent language, independent tribal regulatory agency and while I know that commonly people say that's what you need to have is an independent tribal regulatory agency and not -- but the statute itself doesn't require that. In fact, the tribal counsel can be the tribal regulatory agency. So we wanted to make sure that a tribe decides how they establish the regulatory agency, their regulatory body. We don't mandate something that's not required by statute, but, again, giving tribes the opportunity to set regulatory body structure up however they choose.

So, again, tribes can illustrate they have met this criteria by doing so many of the things that you already do, establish post game rules, have systems for violation investigation, take enforcement action. All of those things. Funding is something that we needed to make sure was included in there. That a tribe is adequately funding the agency. That we know that the investigators are wanting to be paid, so they can continue doing their jobs by the regulating agency and

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

the gaming operation.

2.0

2.2

2.3

2.5

The tribe has to demonstrate the operation is financially stable. We had a lot of comments about that, I agree. However, this is language that come out of the act. How you decide that, I think can be a little -- we might have some issues with that, but it is within the act, so we needed to leave it in, it's regulation. So for the process to review petitions, you are very lucky because today you do have the official of self regulation here with you. Commissioner Little is the office of self regulation. He's the one that signs the certificates. So, again, it's a very daunting task for him right now.

So within 120 days of receipt of a complete petition, the office of regulation makes an initial finding, issues a report. Either issues a certificate or tells the tribe, you know, they didn't meet their requirements. And then there's an opportunity for the tribe to respond and include additional information or request a hearing. If after, if the decision is that the tribe is not eligible for a certificate of self regulation, that decision can be appealed to the full commission. And then we reference the new appeals process. So it would go through Part 585, the appeal process. Part 584 or 585.

So annual reporting requirements. This was a big issue too because out of our two tribes that have certificates of self regulation, they submit wildly different reports. One is much more summary. The other is comprehensive. And there wasn't much -- I mean, there was a reporting requirement, but it wasn't, it seems burdensome, that's what we heard from the tribes. That the burden for that was higher than, in fact, having to his submit three reports. Essentially, it was higher than the tribes that were not self regulating. The access says that the tribe has to submit an independent annual audit, which tribes do as matter of course anyway. And submit a complete resume for all employees hired after the receiving a certificate of self regulation. That's what the act says.

So in the reg, we tried to finesse the definition of employee by defining employee as primary management or upper key employees. It still is pretty heavy. I know that especially on operations say this size or larger operations who hire thousands of employees, it would be kind of a burdensome thing. And we received a comments which we are considering -- we received it recently, it's on the web site, and I forget which tribe it was, but they mentioned that since we are assisting our focus to the gaming and regulatory agency,

2.0

2.2

2.3

then perhaps we should define employee not as key employee or primary management official, but as a new employee resume for the employees hired at the regulatory agency.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

So if you have an opinion on that, please let us know, because I know this can be a very substantial requirement. And then the tribe can have a continuing duty to inform the commission of any change in circumstances that might affect your certification eligibility. This was an issue that was -- in fact John Day back there, waive your hand, John, he helped work on this draft and Sabella in our office and one of the things that came across in the current reg, it doesn't say what the statute says. And that is, these following investigative enforcement powers are limited when a tribe has a certificate of self regulation. And so we included that in 518.9. And, again, this is statutory language. The commission retains all of their investigative enforcement powers, as well. And then the power to investigate enforcement actions to violations. But we wanted to make sure that we identified those particular powers that the N.I.G.C. does not have during the time that the tribe has a certificate of self regulation. The comment period on this ends tomorrow. We'd love to see your comments on this.

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

1 Kathy Ogas, Lytton Rancheria of MS. OGAS: California. We are going to submit written comments to 2 you tomorrow, but I do have a couple of comments at the 3 end. I notice that we have the hearing process for 5 denials or removals of certificate or maybe it's just for removals. And then you have the more informal that 6 7 you can just request reconsideration of the commission. And the way that they are kind of written right now 8 seems like you can only do one or the other. 10 intent that you can do one and then do the other? 11 think the time lines don't work together.

MS. ECHO-HAWK: Yeah. The intent is that you can basically ask Commissioner Little to basically reconsider his decision. And if it's denial, then you can go to a formal hearing. So thank you for that.

MS. OGAS: And then another one was just a small one, the part about providing a list of internal controls, are you just wanting like a list, we've got it for accounting.

MS. ECHO-HAWK: Yes.

MS. OGAS: Okay. Thank you.

MR. LITTLE: Did you want to continue with your Power point, Lael?

MR. DES ROSIERS: The part on the annual reports or whatever have to be submitted, what I found

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 800-227-8440 973-410-4040

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

real bothersome and I think I submitted my written copies, this complete resume of the employees. First of all, I don't know what resume, what that includes, that probably should be defined. But more importantly, that requirement, what I'd like to see and I don't think you can do it statutorily, that's more burdensome that what we do without being self regulated. I mean, under the pilot project that's now being formulated, we don't have to submit anything but a N.O.R., but we have to keep all of that stuff and you have access to it any time you want, anytime you look at those licensing files, that include more than a private resume.

I'm suggesting if it can be done, that the pilot program kind of mentality be part of that self regulation instead of having to submit those resumes or whatever that is, that we keep them on file. You can have access to them.

MR. LITTLE: Good point. Thank you.

MS. ECHO-HAWK: And I would -- we need to finesse the definition of resume, complete a employee because that is statutory. We're trying to find out how to make it reasonable. And we've thought about the definition of resume. And I think you guys were maybe the ones that suggested maybe it be the resume and then the tribal gaming agency. Was that your comment? But

2.0

2.2

2.3

that might be one way to do it because oftentimes the regulatory agency employee number of employees is much smaller. I think we received another comment that perhaps we define it as maybe a commissioner's resume or, you know, like director or whatever. But we are trying to finesse that. And so we are open to suggestions and I know that you -- but it's statutory, so we are trying to figure out how to work it.

MS. POUST: Hi, Terri Poust. I have a couple of differ comments on this. First, I greatly appreciate again just reevaluating Part 518. It's long over due. I'm very glad that you're doing that.

One, I'm very thankful that you are scaling back some of the requirements. Some of the items the commission is going to be looking at with regards to whether or not a tribe, the regulatory system is deemed worthy of status of self regulation.

My one concern though is that in scaling some of those back, it seems like the requirements are becoming more subjective. For example, the use of you know adequate systems. You were just talking about definitions. I know it's difficult to define a word like adequate. But my concern begin, is not necessarily with this commission, but with the next and with the future commissions. What exactly is adequate? The

2.0

2.2

2.3

review process becomes so much more subjective. And in a way, by scaling back kind of specific requirements, you are setting the bar even higher, depending on, you know, the perception of the person that's looking at this. Adequate, well I don't think it's adequate. It's just not good enough. Instead of trying to come up with very specific, you've got to do this, you know, and, again, it becomes a little bit difficult to try and articulate those, but I really would suggest that the commission look at that and try to come up with, you know, maybe bullet points, this, this, and this, versus just have to be adequate, because it becomes a little more problematic.

Another concern that I have is the requirements of operating Class 2 games for a period of 3 years before you can even apply for this. And I think this actually touches upon what you were talking about as taking the focus off of operations and putting it on the regulatory structure. Particularly, here in California, unfortunately there's a lot of gamesmanship that goes on between state, local government and tribes. Sometimes you have to discontinue operation of Class 2 games for a period of time, otherwise risk, you know, your entire compact. So in some instances, you know, holding not in control of the tribes, they are not

2.0

2.2

going to be able to operate Class 2 games for a three year consecutive period, you know, based on the local process. And who's governor at the particular time and allegations of those are not just Class 2 games, they are Class 3. You are not paying revenues on them. Or you are outside of your cap.

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

So, again, I think focusing in on the regulatory structure of the tribe, has the tribe been operating in gaming for three years, that's -- but I think in narrowing it down to Class 2 versus Class 3 really jeopardizes the ability for some tribes to be able to apply for this when they have a fantastic regulatory structure.

So that's just something I'd like for you it consider.

MR. LITTLE: Those are very helpful suggestions and especially, you know, clarifying some definitions. That's the purpose of these consultations and getting this information out to you in as much time as possible because, you know, having other eyes look at these things can raise these issues to a point that we can clarify them before the wheels are set in place and that provides clarity down the road.

And that's a very good point about the continuous operation for three years. The Class 2 or

Page 84

- Class 3. I'm glad we came out here to California because we probably wouldn't have heard that in other parts of the country. So I appreciate that. Thank you.
- Does anybody, while we are still taking about 518 self regulation want to -- sir.
 - MR. EMERICK: Mark Emerick, Picayune Rancheria.

1

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

- When you're asking for the tribe's current set of gaming regulations, are those going to be for public knowledge such as the ordinances that are on the N.I.G.C. web site?
- MR. LITTLE: Do we publish those out?

 MS. ECHO-HAWK: We do publish the ordinances.
 - We've been talking about what is foible and what is not foible. And if you have a comment about whether or not we should be publishing tribal regulations on line, we'd be happy to hear them.

We've been -- I don't know where we came down on the petition. Of course, all the private information and all of that is not foible, but I don't know where we came down with some of the other information that could be submitted. If you have some concerns about that, please let us know so that we can try to address it.

MR. EMERICK: Thank you.

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company
800-227-8440
973-410-4040

MR. LITTLE: Was there another comment over here?

2.0

2.2

2.3

MR. ANDREWS: Jason Andrews, Big Sandy.

I think one of the concerns about, like you said, the propriety information, there's also information in there as far as, not necessarily procedures, but how some of the gaming operations are going to operate. Times we are open or closed, but more importantly, gaming days information, so some type of new regulation, which I know some tribes like to keep for themselves because if the general public has knowledge of your gaming day, they can also figure out if I game so much this day, I can game so much that day, they are not subject to Title 31. So that's just an idea off the top of my head.

MR. LITTLE: Those are good ideas. Thank you.

Does anyone else want to add? You know, when I first came on the commission, I think my first official outing, Jeffrey, he told me this better be a priority for you guys. So, we are really interested. Not just because Jeff Green, who I admire, but because this is what congress intended and what we should be doing. And, you know, the fact that Lael pointed out that there was 240 plus tribes. And only two of them had achieved this status, that means it's something we

need to work on. And it's not necessarily a tribal issue. It could be a problem with the regulations just not working. So we are very, very interested in, you know, in making this more accessible because it's the right thing to do. And it was the intent of congress.

Does anyone else have any of their comments.

MS. OGAS: Kathryn Ogas. I just want to agree with you totally on that. And the reason tribes aren't doing it is because it's just too onerous right now. I think we have lots of tribes that would like to do it and are capable, but it's just impossible to manifest.

MR. LITTLE: Okay. Thank you.

If there's no additional comments. I think
I'll turn the mic back over to Lael to finish the last
session of Group 5.

MS. ECHO-HAWK: So the last issue that we have today is Sole Proprietary Interest is obviously a big issue. This is an issue that comes up frequently, most often in the context of management contracts, but not exclusively. Some of you know about the recent decision of Notice of Violation that was issued by the chairwoman about two months ago. And this was significant discussion in that and about what sole proprietary interest, how these agreements violated the sole propriety issues of the act. So we asked in November

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

whether or not the commission should consider regulation defining sole proprietary interest and provide a process by which a tribes can request review outside of simply saying a management contract.

We received wildly different comments. We received comments that the commission should promulgate a regulation that provided a review, but only at the request of a tribe, but that the percentages contained in I.G.R.A. also define what percentages would violate the proprietary interest requirement. We've heard that sole propriety interest, if that's defined then so should primary beneficiary. We've heard two different things. If you define it, that it's going to limit assets to capital or if you define it, it may provide stability to financing. Again, our comments have ranged completely. Determination of self proprietary issues should be left to the courts. So far we've seen, I think, we've got one or two court cases that talk about it, but nothing clear on point.

So you haven't seen a regulation issued from us yet. We're still debating internally if that's something we want to do, if it's something we need to issue guidance on. We went through our files and we had 92, I think, different either management contract approvals that contained some language about sole

2.0

2.2

propriety interest and whether or not it was violated. And they all, every issue is so fact specific. Every time we get one of these requests, it's incredibly fact specific. And it's very daunting. We've been talking about how difficult it is to write a regulation to define what a resume is. Imagine sole propriety interest and all the variations of agreements that can come before the commission.

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

So we haven't drafted a regulation yet and we are still considering which direction that we should go.

So that's the last issue on the agenda today.

MR. LITTLE: Okay. Sole propriety interest, like Lael said, it's controversial. It's not without different opinions. We do not have a discussion draft because we are still trying to obtain information.

Does anybody want to start off with some comments? Scott.

MR. CROWELL: Yes. What I'd like to do is read the formal comments approved by the Rincon counselor -- Scott Crowell, by the way -- and then speak informally about an anecdote that I think highlights the council's concerns.

In response to the N.I.G.C.'s initial Notice of Inquiry for regulatory review, which preceded the

current consultations, the Rincon Band recognized the importance of the issue of sole propriety interest.

2.0

2.2

2.3

It is with grave concern that Rincon observes the trends around the country wherein large portions of tribal gaming revenue are sliced off and handed to state treasuries, state agencies and local governments.

I.G.R.A's primary beneficiary rule also is triggered when such large portions of tribal gaming revenue are exported to state and local governments.

We express great caution, however, as whether these issues can be properly addressed in the context of N.I.G.C. regulations. We are not objecting to the effort, but we are skeptical that regulations are the appropriate means to address the issue. The existing approach of opinion letters posted on the N.I.G.C. web site creates a better means to at least get our hands around the issue.

Certainly, any approach requires a look to the aggregate impact on tribal gaming revenue, taking into account all development and finance costs, management fees, compact taxes, mitigation fees, et cetera. Terms for one tribe in one location wholly unworkable for a different tribe in a different location. The analysis is necessarily very fact specific.

If the N.I.G.C. Does promulgate regulations,

we believe that the very recent opinion issued in connection with N.O.V. 11 dash 02 regarding the agreement between the City of Duluth, Minnesota and the Fond du lac band of Lake Superior Chippewa provides a proper framework for the regulations. Required criteria should be addressed and includes, one, the term of the relationship. Two, the amount of revenue paid to the third parties and, three, provided control over the gaming activity provided to the third party. That is taken out of the your Fond du Lac opinion.

2.0

2.2

2.3

2.5

Rincon notes that the N.I.G.C., in addressing the second criteria in the context of Fond du Lac opinion, focused on whether amounts received by the city were commensurate with the services provided. Rincon believes that goes to the crux of the issue. If the entity is being paid more than the worth of the services provided, then the excess is properly considered an illegal propriety interest in the tribe's gaming operation. Any regulation should expressly incorporate that analysis. At a minimum, the second criteria should be amended to read quote The amount of revenue paid to third parties can commensurate to the services provided, closed quote.

Rincon proposes a fourth criteria, aggregation with other agreements. Many tribes are found to be

whip-sawed from several fronts, where fees are paid out to the state, on top of fees paid to the county and local government pursuant to M.O.U.'s on top of expensive financing and management fees, such that the tribe is the last in line to receive the benefits of a success of a successful casino, and the first in line to absorb the burdens of an unsuccessful casino.

If the N.I.G.C. Proposes a preliminary draft regulation, we will supplement our comments at that time.

I'm not speaking hypothetically, there's at least one tribe here in California that after the millions of dollars that are paid to the state, paid to the county, paid to the bond holders, paid to the management company, the tribe has received nothing. I mean, it's a very successful operation and the tribe receives nothing.

So I applaud bringing attention to the sole propriety issues. Something needs to be done about these untenable situations. I think I shared the comments that Lael had earlier, I'm not quite sure -- I agree that a problem exists and I'm not quite sure how to address it. But it's a problem that's got to get stopped. It's a problem that's going the wrong way and the problem that I have with looking at, you know, I'm

2.0

2.2

2.3

probably guilty myself, I have to go back and look at it whether you write into a management agreement, oh, this doesn't violate the sole propriety interest requirement of I.G.R.A. Well, you can't look at these things in isolation. You've got to look at them in the aggregate because this pining on that occurs to where you've got these, you know, what's becoming standard now is this waterfall buckets where the tribe's the last recipient of the waterfall. That's got to get changed.

MR. LITTLE: I do appreciate you raising that point. That's something that I've toyed with or, you know, thought internally about is a culmination of all of these contracts and bond holders and payments, and you do wind up the tribe is not the primary beneficiary of the gaming operation. So I do appreciate those comments and thank you.

Does anyone else have any other comments on sole propriety interest? Like Lael said, we are still discussing this internally. You know, when, you know, through the consultations we've heard a lot of different opinions as far as some folks even saying that we can't -- it's nearly impossible to, you know, even to solve this, you know, to, yes, there's definitely a need for the commission to do something about this. We're differently interested in hearing any ideas that you

2.0

2.2

2.3

might have. In moving forward with it, Scott.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

MR. CROWELL: Case in point in terms of the way to accomplish it where the facts are clearly, you know, compel something being done, I applaud. It was, you know, for those people in the room that don't understand the significance, you know, the N.I.G.C. issued the N.O.V. telling the Fond de Loc Band that they cannot continue to pay the City of Deluth the ridiculous fees that they were owing under the agreement between the city and the tribe and I applaud that. I think N.O.V.'S in situations that clearly step over the line is one way that send a message, not just to a city like Deluth, but the counties when they are thinking, I've, got a provision in my compact. That gives me a sledge hammer. You can't game unless you pay the piper or the States can say, if you want to get around the 11th immunity, pay us 25% of your gross gaming revenue. You know, those types of things. The N.I.G.C. stepped up and sent the message that in and of itself creates a chilling effect on those state and local governments to look to overreach the negotiation table. I say keep doing it more.

MR. LITTLE: Thank you. I appreciate that.

Are there any other comments that you might have on this issue or any included in Group 5.

Lael, is there anything else that you want to cover on this?

MS. ECHO-HAWK: No.

2.0

2.2

2.3

2.5

MR. LITTLE: I think, and Scott, your point is well taken. I think we are trying to find a necessary balance in how do we not stop tribes accessing capital if it becomes an issue were, you know, the bonding market or the banks feel that, you know, they can't move forward, and by us doing something will this limit, you know, future funding or stop funding streams for tribes? So it's not necessarily something you need to comment on, but something that we are just thinking about that we don't want to do anything to jeopardize your ability to access capital for future projects, but it's an issue that should be looked at.

MR. CROWELL: I guess that triggers -- the thing is, yeah, it's different in the management of the financing context. But if the overreaching of state and local government is tackled, it's going to be easy for tribes to get financing.

It's difficult for tribes to get financing when 30% off the top, you know, is being paid to the state and local treasuries and not for services being provided to the tribe, but simply because they can.

And, you know, the N.I.G.C. took a bold move with Fond

de Loc and you're going to see if you go after state and local governments and I understand, you know, that there are management agreements and bond financing arrangements out there a might violate sole propriety interest rule as well.

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

But the focus seems to be historically because you have lawyers writing legal opinions and say this isn't a management contract and this doesn't violate self proprietary interest and et cetera. That you've got all this body of discussion over here, but the real problem is the overreaching in state and local government and if you attack that, you're not going to hinder financing, you're going to enhance financing as a result to tribes.

MR. LITTLE: I appreciate that comment.

Is there any others. Is there any other comments anyone wants to make about any of the other groups? Norm, did you want to add something?

MR. DES ROSIERS: Yeah, I wanted to make a general comment about the whole process here. First of all, I commend the commission for this process. I think it's worked quite well. I'd like the opportunity -- I'm sure that most tribes like to comment on the discussion draft.

The part of the process where I'm experiencing

a little frustration -- I don't know if it's just me or like I said I'd like to know if anybody else experienced the same thing.

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

The notification of when a discussion draft appears. I mean, there is no notification. And if I don't remember every week or two to get on your web site and nose around, I don't know there's a discussion draft out there. So, I mean, maybe it's just me and I got left off your mailing list. I don't know. But I'd like to, I wish there could be some notification.

MR. LITTLE: That's a really good point and we'll work on that.

MS. ECHO-HAWK: There's supposed to be a process. So I'm sorry that it's not working. So we'll work on the process.

The next thing that will go out will likely be in the federal register, but we are working, you know, it's kind of funny because we've heard tribes say, and I think our staff maybe has cut and I just, I don't know, have gotten sick of people saying, quit spamming us. So they quit spamming you, because we were get being a lot of complaints from people saying there's too much communication, too much communication.

And so I don't know if that might have slowed things down because we are really working hard to make

sure that everything gets out. So, yeah, we'll work on that, on fixing that again.

2.0

2.2

2.3

2.5

MS. OLGAS: I completely concur with Norm. I've had to make myself a task because I completely forget about it. So if I don't do it when a task comes up, I will completely forget. I know you will be putting more things so, we can watch up with them, but it would be nice if we had a better system for the drafts.

MR. LITTLE: We'll definitely work on this and we will get this out like Lael said. I think what you're going to see from here on out is our Notice of Proposed Rules, which will not be in the general register. And I know you are very diligent in searching that everyday. So we'll try to get that out too.

I mean there's loads of information on our web site. We've overloaded our staff who operate our web site. And I'm still situating for the day that they say our web site is shut down. I know they can no longer handle any more information. So that's a guide point.

Any other general comments about the process here? It's always good to get and feed back. Know that these are tough to attends these events it's very important to us that we get out here, get out to some of the non traditional locations within the country. I

love going to Southern California area, but it's very nice coming up here also.

Notification, we will work on that. We've got a T.A.C. that's going to be having there inaugural meeting next month. Today is the deadline if you haven't submitted your nomination application. The fax machine works well if you want to get that in.

You know, that's going to -- as we went through the process for, you know, like Lael said the Class 2 and the Class 3 M.I.C.S., Technical Standards were included in Part 3 and the Class 3 was included in one of five, but clearly when you go around the country and I think that's one of the benefits of these consultations throughout the country. That is very different opinions on how we should tackle this issue, you know, how do we reach some kind of resolve? Traveling advisory committees have worked well in the past. You know, I think we are going to try a little different process here although recent different process where we are going to utilize a facilitators. will, you know, hopefully really, bring out some good discussions, keep the group on task and get a good work product here in a very short time frame.

Six meetings, six large group meetings. There will be some smaller subcommittee meetings, but six

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

meetings including the end of March with hopefully something ready to go for a rule to be promulgated by early summer of next year.

We are coming up on an legislation year, we want you to be can cognizant of that fact. That regulation sometimes get slowed down, not halted around that time. We want to be cognizant of that and hopefully get everything done and hopefully come to a good work product of this committee that everybody can be comfortable with and we can move forward with.

Do you have anything you want to add, Lael about the T.A.C.?

I think we have gotten about 40 nomination applications. I'm very, very impressed with the caliber of folk that are coming in. Once again, it's just, you know, it's a testament to where this industry has gone and how it's matured and how, you know, the high caliber of employees that Indian gaming produces. So I'm very impressed.

I'm really looking forward to next week of starting to go through the nomination applications and looking for a good group of folks that represents the country geographically by the size of your operation, types of gaming that you all do, so I'm really looking forward to that.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

1 MS. ECHO-HAWK: Just that the inaugural meeting will be the 20th and 21st. You are all welcome 2 to attend that meeting. That meeting will be setting up 3 the ground rules. We will identify the members of the 5 committee right around the week of G2E. And then from that point -- so that we will, that will be the initial 6 7 meeting will be setting up the ground rules. We'll 8 being talking about and working with the issue of how to do we incorporate the experts in the industry, the 10 gaming manufactures, the lawyers, people who might not 11 be on the committee, but are attending the committee, 12 how do we incorporate their opinions? 13

So we are going to be working on this, but we'll also be discussing all of those things. We'll also be sending out the schedule for the next five meetings, identifying the sections of the M.I.C.S. that we are going to be going over, in what order, categories, talking about using breakout groups, the breakout groups. All of that is going to happen in those two days. So it's a really, really important meeting. If you have opinions about that, please slow up because after the 21st, we hit the ground and we go. And we have to go really, really fast.

MR. LITTLE: Is there any other comments about T.A.C., about process, about any of the groups?

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Page 101

I'm inclined to end this. However, and I'm sure the staff is not going to be happy -- we are going to come back after lunch in case there were any folks that were scheduled to be here. We were scheduled to go through 1:30 to 5:00. We'll be here at 1:30. We are going to reopen the meeting, if there's any other comments we'll go over them. If not, we'll probably end.

2.0

2.2

2.3

2.5

FEMALE VOICE: I'm sorry. How many are you picking for the T.A.C., if you have 40 applicants, how many are you picking?

COMMISSIONER LITTLE: I don't think we've decided yet. I don't want to just state a number, because as we go through the application, we want to make sure that we get a good representation of the industry. And by setting an arbitrary number right now, I think we'd be limiting ourselves. I would imagine it's going to be over 10, but less than 20.

MS. ECHO-HAWK: I think, yeah, I know the chairwoman is talking about it. And I think even Commissioner Little, over 20 gets very unwieldy. Under 10, we might not have all the expertise that we need.

But, you know, yesterday we had between 35 and 40. And all day today, I've been seeing emails come in with additional nominations. So the commission is going

Page 102

1	to have quite a chore next week to go through these.
2	COMMISSIONER LITTLE: Okay. If there's no
3	other comments, we are going to break for lunch. We'll
4	be back here at 1:30 if there's no additional comments
5	we will probably conclude at that time.
6	Thank you all.
7	(WHEREUPON, A LUNCH RECESS WAS TAKEN).
8	COMMISSIONER LITTLE: All right. We are going
9	to be bringing this meeting back to order for the
10	N.I.G.C. consultation for the Sacramento Region on
11	Groups 1, 2, 4, and 5.
12	Are there my additional comments regarding any
13	of the topics that we discussed this morning? Leal, do
14	have any closing comments?
15	MS. ECHO-HAWK: Nope.
16	MR. LITTLE: If not, I'm going to call this
17	meeting to a close and thank the individual that's still
18	here for coming back for the afternoon meeting. All
19	right. Safer travels.
20	(WHEREUPON, THE MEETING WAS CONCLUDED AT THE
21	HOUR OF 1:35 p.m.)
22	
23	
24	

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company 800-227-8440 973-410-4040

25

[02 - act] Page 1

	2012 26 11	504 50.0	
0	2013 36:11	524 52:2	8
02 90:2	202.632.0045 2:5,9	537 54:6 58:9 69:11	84 45:5
1	202.632.7003 2:5,9 20th 12:11 56:15	69:18 537.1 58:24	85 45:6
1 8:25 12:4,21,21	100:2	537.1 38:24 539 52:2	852 45:7
14:4 17:20 18:9	21st 100:2,22	542 12:7	9
19:5,7,10 35:15	240 72:7 85:24	556 54:3,10,23,24	90 14:25
71:15 102:11	25 23:3 62:16 93:17	60:13,17 61:13,17	900 31:5
10 14:23,24 23:1	25 ,000 25:2	64:11,11 67:5	90th 22:20
54:12 60:24 101:18	2710 62:16	558 54:3,10 55:1,24	9100 2:4,8
101:22		60:14,19 61:13,17	92 87:24
10354 1:20 103:18	3	64:11 67:5	9:00 1:15 3:2
10:00 38:10	3 10:3,3 12:5,7	558.2 62:10	a
10:15 38:10,12	32:12 53:12 58:11	559 12:23 15:15	
11 90:2	58:16 71:17 75:2	16:19 27:4 34:13	a.m. 1:15 3:2
11th 93:17	82:16 83:5,10 84:1	559.5 34:18	ability 83:11 94:14 able 11:23 28:24
12 10:8 19:20	98:10,11,11	5592 30:16	32:17 52:21 61:20
120 76:14	30 14:24 16:21 17:7	571 54:5 59:7,19	61:23 67:17 74:19
13,175 10:3	56:23 57:12 94:22	68:9	83:1,12
1441 2:3,8	31 14:24 85:14	573 38:17,23 39:4	absorb 91:7
15 14:24 23:1 24:25	31st 14:5	42:5 47:9	abuses 62:17 63:3
16 1:3,14 3:2	35 101:23	577 46:2 52:2	accept 11:18
180 17:10 31:13	4	580 43:11 52:4	accepted 11:18
35:9	4 12:19 54:2,8 58:2	580.1 43:17	accepting 12:14
1990's 72:12	60:11 61:11 70:1,20	580.1. 44:18	accepting 12.14
1993 26:15 27:1	102:11	580.2 44:19	access 55:13 59:9
19th 29:4	40 12:15 99:13	580.3 45:10	63:22 64:3,8 66:16
1:30 101:5,5 102:4	101:10,24	580.4 53:12	66:18 74:20,21 75:2
1:35 102:21	400 72:7	582 43:9 44:22 45:5	77:11 80:10,17
1st 13:9,9,10,11	49 7:3,4	45:7	94:14
19:10 21:14,14 58:9	5	583 45:5	accesses 65:11
2	5 12:19 70:2,19	584 46:1,13 76:25	accessible 86:4
2 12:4,5 38:11,17,20	71:14,15,16,21	585 43:9 44:23 45:7	accessing 94:6
38:22 58:8,11,16	86:15 93:25 102:11	46:1 52:4 76:24,25	accomplish 93:3
71:22 72:5 74:18,22	50 23:3	5:00 18:19 101:5	account 89:20
75:1,2,4 82:15,23	514 12:22 19:11	6	accounting 73:16
83:1,4,10,25 98:10	518 71:21 81:11	60 14:24 24:23,24	74:6,17 79:19
102:11 103:15	84:6	25:2 30:1 55:5	accurate 13:7,13,14
20 14:25 23:1	518.9. 78:17	61 14:25	20:7
101:18,21	519 52:2 53:10	7	achieved 85:25
20005 2:4	522 27:2	-	acquire 37:17
2005 2:9	523 12:22 15:12	711 1:17	acquisitions 18:5
2011 1:3,14 3:2	26:11 27:3	75 23:3	act 13:22 17:6 35:16
103:15			35:23 38:6 74:6

[act - approvals] Page 2

76:5,7 77:15 86:25	adopt 47:22	allow 55:10	appeal 24:25 42:12
action 39:17 40:7	adopting 27:17	allowing 4:11	42:14 43:20 44:24
42:1,2 47:13 48:11	adopting 27.17 adoption 74:13	allows 48:8 54:17	45:13,17 46:11,23
50:17 75:20	adoption 74.13 adopts 46:20	58:24 60:5	53:13,13,20 76:24
actions 49:19 78:20	adopts 40.20 adversarial 46:16	amend 73:23	appealed 45:21,22
activities 74:18,22	advise 60:5	amended 90:21	76:22
75:1	advisory 12:10,13	amendment 57:24	appealing 45:10
activity 90:9	30:7 71:19 98:17	58:7,22 59:6,14,16	appeals 12:23 24:19
actual 46:8	affect 78:9	59:18	38:24 44:19 51:15
add 38:7 43:23 48:7	afternoon 12:20	amendments 44:20	51:23 52:20 76:23
53:15 63:18 69:10	102:18	45:11	appear 43:14 63:9
70:3 85:17 95:18	agencies 10:5 25:25	amount 10:15 15:6	appearances 2:1
99:11	27:23 29:13,18,20	22:2 34:25 35:1,4	appears 96:5
added 14:8 15:3	35:23 89:6	41:18,23 90:7,21	appellate 44:13
25:22	agency 13:11 14:6	amounts 90:13	applaud 33:5 36:23
adding 40:15 58:23	14:12,16 17:12 22:3	analysis 89:23 90:20	63:10 91:18 93:4,10
addition 58:10	22:7 24:10,12 26:1	andrews 6:19 65:20	applicant 55:5,8,14
additional 14:20	35:19 48:11 55:4,20	65:20 85:3,3	57:13,17 66:2 67:24
56:9,11 57:7 67:19	62:18 73:11,15 75:7	anecdotally 64:23	applicants 101:10
67:25 68:8 76:19	75:9,11,13,23,25	anecdote 88:22	application 43:11
86:13 101:25 102:4	77:25 78:4 80:25	angela 6:13	51:4 64:13 98:6
102:12	81:2	announcement	101:14
address 4:21 23:25	agenda 1:3 4:4 8:22	21:13	applications 99:14
39:13 40:14 41:16	12:5 18:18,20 19:10	annual 13:21 20:12	99:21
56:20 84:24 89:14	35:15 38:16 69:6	77:1,12 79:24	applied 64:19,24
91:23	88:12	answer 25:13	65:2,3,4
addressed 40:4	aggregate 89:19	answered 23:18	apply 14:16 43:16
89:11 90:6	92:5	anticipated 30:5	82:16 83:12
addresses 26:14	aggregation 90:24	anticipating 28:23	appreciate 21:7
addressing 90:11	ago 7:19 13:20	anybody 20:8 21:8	25:14 33:7,10,25
adequate 32:19	37:12 39:20 59:23	21:20,23 23:6 28:18	34:2 48:18,23 51:5
73:14 74:11,14	86:22	28:19 37:9 38:4	67:6 70:15 81:10
81:21,23,25 82:5,5	agree 27:16 34:5	48:12 53:24 63:19	84:3 92:10,15 93:23
82:12	61:20 76:4 86:7	69:17,23 84:5 88:17	95:15
adequately 17:3	91:22	96:2	approach 48:20,24
27:12 75:23	agreement 70:22	anymore 54:13	49:7,17 89:15,18
adjust 54:14	90:3 92:2 93:9	70:15	appropriate 22:23
adjustment 14:3	agreements 70:23	anytime 19:6 64:13	37:7 89:14
administration	86:24 88:7 90:25	80:11	appropriations 22:8
32:24	95:3	anyway 49:5 77:13	approval 26:12
administrative	agua 7:5,6,7	anywise 103:11	42:14 45:10,17
46:14	ahead 61:6	apart 39:2	53:21 73:24
administrator 6:17	allegations 83:4	apologize 43:24	approvals 45:12
admire 33:15 85:21	allen 5:9	72:1	87:25

[approved - buying] Page 3

approved 26:16	attorney 7:1 28:21	based 13:5 42:7	blank 34:17,20 35:5
61:9 69:14 88:20	44:11	43:20 83:2	35:7
	attorneys 46:16	basic 53:7	board 73:12
approximately 12:15	audience 5:11		
		basically 14:12	body 74:16 75:13,16
april 21:10	audit 5:11 20:21	19:13 40:12 54:21	95:10
arbitrary 101:16	77:12	60:17 68:15,21	bold 94:25
area 32:7 51:15	audited 20:22	69:22 79:13,13	bond 60:3 91:14
68:14 98:1	audits 13:8 74:18,19	basis 67:11	92:13 95:3
areas 8:16 52:8	authorities 27:20	bear 61:14	bonding 94:7
arguing 40:22	authority 27:18	becoming 81:20	book 25:10,11,12
arguments 46:17	32:11 33:1 50:2,18	92:7	26:6
army 7:25	59:13 62:19,21 63:1	began 42:20	books 59:9 74:21
arrangements 95:4	66:23	beginning 56:15	booth 9:11
articulate 82:9	authorization 66:7	71:20	borne 62:6
asked 64:1 66:13	authorizations	begins 55:5,8	bothersome 80:1
72:4 86:25	65:25	begun 59:20	brainer 40:18
asking 20:25 84:9	available 5:21 67:8	behalf 4:18 6:7 7:1	break 38:9,11,12
assembly 7:24	67:14	61:7	43:8 102:3
assessed 26:3	b	believe 63:4 65:24	breakout 100:18,19
assessment 19:25	back 5:1 9:11,14	66:21 90:1	breezing 69:6
20:4 23:21 24:23	12:16 13:19 14:1	believed 62:19	brief 3:24 38:13
35:22 40:12 42:16	20:3 23:4 25:1,2	believes 90:15	53:2,13
46:4,6	29:21 32:11 34:8	beneficiary 87:12	briefs 52:20
assessments 19:15	38:19 42:19 47:7	89:7 92:14	bring 39:13 42:15
22:8	49:11 69:21 72:6,11	benefits 91:5 98:13	49:22 98:21
assets 87:14	73:13 78:11 81:14	best 8:9 74:3	bringing 91:18
assistance 61:21,23	81:19 82:2 86:14	better 20:8 22:11,16	102:9
62:8	92:1 97:22 101:3	50:3 51:15,16 62:1	buckets 92:8
assisting 77:25	102:4,9,18	62:24 63:23 68:2	budget 29:12 35:21
associate 2:3 4:17	background 54:3,6	85:19 89:16 97:8	budgeting 19:25
51:13	54:18 55:4,14,16	beyond 29:10 32:10	build 40:19
associations 33:19	56:5 58:18,25 60:15	61:24	building 8:2
assuming 70:6	61:22 63:22 65:7	biannual 19:24	built 30:25 41:17
assumptions 53:8		big 4:4 6:19,25	73:22
attack 95:12	67:10 69:11	19:21 20:13 26:21	bullet 74:8 82:11
attempted 14:21	background's 65:10	28:15 30:12 53:22	bunch 17:4 30:4
39:16	backing 37:11	53:23 65:20 77:2	burden 77:8
attend 9:20 100:3	bad 60:22 69:4,24	85:3 86:17	burdens 91:7
attending 8:18	balance 22:6 94:6	biggest 14:7 28:4	burdensome 72:15
100:11	band 61:8 89:1 90:4	bishop 7:10	77:7,21 80:6
attends 97:23	93:7	bit 23:3 56:1 57:9	business 22:17
attention 91:18	banks 60:3 94:8	82:8	buy 12:24 17:24,25
attestation 17:1,5	bar 82:3	blabber 23:8 25:16	35:16,23 37:25 38:6
28:5	base 62:24	blame 44:1	buying 17:24

[c - comments] Page 4

	certified 103:3	78:9	collecting 19:14
C			
c 10:3 32:8 33:4	certify 103:4,9	city 90:3,13 93:8,10	collection 24:12,13
c.f.o.'s 22:12	certifying 28:6	93:12	come 3:9,10,19 4:12
ca 1:4	cetera 43:22 45:15	civil 46:3 47:19	9:12 13:12 16:16
calculate 19:16 20:8	62:22 67:22 89:21	clarification 58:20	18:12 19:5 22:14
20:16,18 21:5	95:9	clarifications 53:25	23:1 25:1,1 35:25
calculating 20:7,22	chair 5:14 15:1 16:5	clarified 21:3	36:2 41:19 45:16
calculation 13:5	16:5 22:21 26:16	clarifies 15:5	46:6 61:13 70:8
calculator 71:25	34:19 41:13,13 46:4	clarify 25:23 52:11	76:4 82:6,10 88:8
calendar 13:6	47:14 49:3,8,24	53:8 58:15 83:22	99:8 101:3,24
caliber 99:14,17	50:2,16 58:24 59:4	clarifying 83:17	comes 35:21 37:16
caliente 7:5,6,7	60:7 69:23	clarity 20:15 83:23	51:23 57:14 74:24
california 1:18 3:1	chair's 42:3	class 12:5,7 32:12	86:18 97:5
79:2 82:20 84:1	chairman 3:18 4:10	58:8,11,11,16,16	comfortable 3:23
91:12 98:1 103:1	4:18 25:4 53:5	62:21 71:17,22 72:5	18:21 70:4 99:10
call 14:9,9 31:16	chairwoman 2:7	74:18,22 75:1,2,2,4	coming 3:25 4:20
35:12 63:11 102:16	4:18 9:6 24:3,4 40:2	82:15,23 83:1,4,5	7:16 10:21 40:1
cancel 30:4	74:23 86:21 101:20	83:10,10,25 84:1	48:19 98:2 99:4,15
cap 83:6	challenges 21:16	98:10,10,11	102:18
capable 86:11	chance 68:21	clear 4:6 9:24 11:19	commend 33:22
capital 87:14 94:6	change 13:15 32:9	15:9 25:8 29:15	95:21
94:14	33:4 53:23 54:14	40:14 41:24 53:2,16	commensurate
cards 26:2 61:24	63:11 74:5 78:8	74:25 87:19	90:14,22
62:5	changed 69:4 92:9	clearly 26:3 47:2	comment 11:15,18
care 4:3 43:25	changes 13:5 14:7	93:3,11 98:12	20:3 21:1,6 27:3
carry 22:3	15:17 28:4	clients 7:2	29:24 30:1,1 33:7,8
case 26:23 93:2	changing 40:11	close 12:25 30:21	33:25 35:2,3 52:12
101:3	chapter 38:18 39:2	31:12,15 102:17	52:14 78:24 80:25
cases 22:12 87:18	42:23,24 43:1,4,16	closed 35:10,12	81:3 84:16 85:1
casino 7:22 91:6,7	52:3	59:24 68:23 71:24	94:12 95:15,20,23
categories 100:18	charge 14:16,20	85:8 90:23	comments 5:3 8:19
caution 89:10	charlene 5:18	closes 17:9,9 72:1	9:14 11:7,10,17,21
century 56:15	charts 73:12	closing 102:14	15:18 18:20 20:9
certain 31:6 41:23	cheapest 36:22	closure 31:17 42:15	21:8,12,23 23:6
59:1	chicken 6:16,17	46:3 47:15 68:17,18	25:18 26:5,10 28:14
certainly 9:10 67:20	chilling 93:20	closures 17:12	28:16,19 29:14 32:2
89:18	chippewa 90:4	coarsegold 1:4,18	32:3,6 33:9,14,21
certificate 74:4	choose 24:24 46:7,8	3:1	33:24 34:9,12,13
76:16,21 77:14	46:10 75:16	cochran 4:19	35:1 36:17 37:5
78:16,23 79:5	chore 102:1	cognizant 35:20	38:4 40:10 42:7
certificates 76:12	chukchansi 6:5	48:2 99:5,7	44:21 47:4 48:13
77:3	circulated 61:17	coined 21:24	50:7 51:7 52:6
	circumstance 42:5	collateral 70:22,23	53:18,24 61:4,10,12
certification 72:6	circumstances 41:5	collect 15:6	61:14 63:14,17,19
78:9	41:14 49:4,8,16		63:21 64:1 66:11,13
	, , , -		

_	-		
66:21 67:4,4 68:8	committed 8:2	concerns 15:20 39:7	consultations 11:6
69:3,17,24,25 70:8	11:19	52:6 56:10 64:6	23:14 27:14 36:16
70:12,16 71:24 72:2	committee 12:10,13	84:23 85:4 88:23	36:21 47:17 48:15
76:3 77:22 78:25	30:7 71:19 99:9	conclude 102:5	59:10 69:15 83:18
79:2,3 81:10 86:6	100:5,11,11	concluded 18:20	89:1 92:20 98:14
86:13 87:5,6,15	committees 98:17	59:21 60:6,9 69:2	consume 22:2
88:18,20 91:9,21	common 34:7 56:3	102:20	contact 66:5,7
92:16,17 93:24	commonly 54:11	concur 97:3	contain 10:10 43:12
95:17 97:21 100:24	75:7	conduct 61:21 68:12	53:2,3 71:16
101:7 102:3,4,12,14	communicate 66:8	74:19	contained 4:22
commission 1:1 2:2	communication	conducted 17:2	16:24 44:22 45:2
2:7 5:1 6:9,20,22,23	43:15 96:23,23	27:12 59:1 74:9	73:9 87:8,25
7:6,7,8,11,19,20 8:1	communications	confidence 8:13	contains 12:5 13:2
8:14 11:14,19 13:12	63:23	confirmation 16:7	54:9 71:15 73:11
13:20 21:14 23:1	community 33:16	confirms 40:12	contemplation
32:22 37:13,15,25	compact 82:24	confused 17:22	34:18,21
38:19,25 39:7 42:9	89:21 93:14	congress 33:1 72:10	contend 57:5
42:12 43:21 45:21	compacts 25:25	85:22 86:5	contentious 28:13
46:12,19,20,23	27:22	connecticut 7:20,23	context 42:12 63:6
47:12 48:4,15,18,24	company 91:15	12:11	86:19 89:11 90:12
49:23 50:23 51:11	compel 63:5 93:4	connection 90:2	94:18
51:22 58:20 59:15	complaints 96:22	conscious 32:25	continue 75:25
67:16 68:12 69:16	complete 76:14	consecutive 83:2	79:22 93:8
70:11,16 72:5,18,19	77:13 80:2,20	consequences 22:19	continuing 78:7
76:23 78:8,18 79:7	completed 42:2	consider 52:18	continuous 83:25
81:15,24 82:10	completely 87:16	83:15 87:1	contract 42:13
85:18 87:1,6 88:8	97:3,4,6	considerable 22:1,2	45:17,20 46:5 50:15
92:24 95:21 101:25	compliance 19:17	considered 11:15	53:22 58:10 69:14
commission's 3:13	40:8,9 41:3,9,19	44:8 64:25 90:17	72:17 87:4,24 95:8
10:2 29:3 58:5	48:4,7,9 49:21,22	considering 40:11	contractor 37:8
commissioner 2:3	51:9 74:16	58:23 59:17 70:23	45:23 69:19
4:17 6:10,12,15,25	compliant 28:6 39:6	71:3,12 77:22 88:10	contractors 36:12
7:3,4,16 37:21	47:21 50:21	considers 62:11	58:15
51:13 76:10 79:13	comply 13:22 28:8	consistency 68:3	contracts 45:11,12
101:12,21 102:2,8	57:22,23 58:1	consistent 34:9 67:8	69:12 86:19 92:13
commissioner's	complying 28:1	consistently 50:7	control 12:6,8 82:25
81:4	comprehensive 77:5	consolidate 52:2,7	90:8
commissioners 3:9	comptroller 19:23	construction 17:1	controls 73:16 79:18
7:15 49:11	21:16	27:11	controversial 88:14
commissions 32:9	concern 30:25 32:9	consult 10:5	conversation 39:10
32:10,14 33:4 38:1	39:25 41:4,8,9 48:9	consultation 1:3	39:11
49:1 62:18 81:25	81:18,23 82:14 89:3	3:13 5:20 9:18,18	converting 19:24
commitment 10:2	concerned 51:20	10:1 18:23 19:20	coordinate 30:7
11:14	concerning 38:24	26:18 30:2 50:7	coordination 30:10
		102:10	

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

	T	T	
coordinator 5:10	criteria 73:12 74:3	de 6:15 93:7 95:1	denials 62:13 79:5
copies 11:12 53:12	75:18 90:5,12,20,24	deadline 98:5	denied 62:16,23
73:17 80:2	critical 22:9	deal 20:13 26:21	66:4,17
copy 61:8 63:14	crossing 29:22	43:9	deny 62:2
cordless 5:25	crowded 9:11	dealt 22:10	depending 41:5 82:3
corinna 6:16	crowell 6:7 31:22,22	dear 32:5	des 7:16 20:11,11
correct 8:25 19:16	61:7,7 63:15 67:6	debate 71:1	23:10 24:15,20,22
24:3,7	88:19,21 93:2 94:16	debating 87:21	25:6 34:4,7,16
correcting 41:25	crux 62:17 90:15	december 14:5 30:5	52:13,15 66:12 69:8
corrective 42:1	csr 1:20 103:18	decide 37:13 75:3	79:24 95:19
cost 62:5	culmination 92:12	76:5	description 15:22
costa 6:17	culture 62:18 63:2	decided 46:4 58:20	16:5 73:16
costs 31:1 89:20	current 16:19,24	59:16 70:5 101:13	descriptions 73:13
council's 88:23	30:18 63:20 78:13	decides 75:12	designees 3:9 9:20
counsel 2:1 3:19	84:9 89:1	decision 21:18 42:4	detail 67:18
5:12,14 6:14 23:2	currently 9:6 10:20	46:19,21 58:5 68:2	determination 16:1
45:20 53:5 61:10,13	12:14 14:22 15:24	76:20,22 79:14	87:16
75:10 103:9	42:6 59:18	86:20	determinations
counselor 2:7 3:16	customers 74:15	decisions 44:13 62:1	62:12
3:20,22 4:10 9:6	cut 96:19	62:25	determine 32:17
88:21	d	decomposition	determining 10:4
counties 93:13	dan 4:16 9:4 47:7	32:23	develop 67:13
4 27 16 04 2	duii 1.10 /.1 1/./	1 0116	
country 37:16 84:3	54.8 61.8 64.1	deemed 81:16	developed 10:11
89:4 97:25 98:12,14	54:8 61:8 64:1	define 42:11 49:5	74:13
89:4 97:25 98:12,14 99:23	daniel 2:3,5	define 42:11 49:5 50:9 78:1 81:4,22	74:13 developing 71:13
89:4 97:25 98:12,14 99:23 county 91:2,14	daniel 2:3,5 dash 90:2	define 42:11 49:5 50:9 78:1 81:4,22 87:9,13,14 88:6	74:13 developing 71:13 development 89:20
89:4 97:25 98:12,14 99:23 county 91:2,14 93:16 103:2	daniel 2:3,5 dash 90:2 data 62:24	define 42:11 49:5 50:9 78:1 81:4,22 87:9,13,14 88:6 defined 52:25 80:4	74:13 developing 71:13 development 89:20 dictates 19:8
89:4 97:25 98:12,14 99:23 county 91:2,14 93:16 103:2 couple 4:13 8:1	daniel 2:3,5 dash 90:2 data 62:24 database 62:3 64:3	define 42:11 49:5 50:9 78:1 81:4,22 87:9,13,14 88:6 defined 52:25 80:4 87:11	74:13 developing 71:13 development 89:20 dictates 19:8 differ 81:10
89:4 97:25 98:12,14 99:23 county 91:2,14 93:16 103:2 couple 4:13 8:1 13:20 26:25 58:4	daniel 2:3,5 dash 90:2 data 62:24 database 62:3 64:3 65:11,13 66:4,15	define 42:11 49:5 50:9 78:1 81:4,22 87:9,13,14 88:6 defined 52:25 80:4 87:11 defining 52:19	74:13 developing 71:13 development 89:20 dictates 19:8 differ 81:10 different 10:12,17
89:4 97:25 98:12,14 99:23 county 91:2,14 93:16 103:2 couple 4:13 8:1 13:20 26:25 58:4 61:13 79:3 81:9	daniel 2:3,5 dash 90:2 data 62:24 database 62:3 64:3 65:11,13 66:4,15 67:8,14,19 68:5,6	define 42:11 49:5 50:9 78:1 81:4,22 87:9,13,14 88:6 defined 52:25 80:4 87:11 defining 52:19 70:23 77:17 87:2	74:13 developing 71:13 development 89:20 dictates 19:8 differ 81:10 different 10:12,17 14:17 20:23 22:13
89:4 97:25 98:12,14 99:23 county 91:2,14 93:16 103:2 couple 4:13 8:1 13:20 26:25 58:4 61:13 79:3 81:9 course 77:13 84:20	daniel 2:3,5 dash 90:2 data 62:24 database 62:3 64:3 65:11,13 66:4,15 67:8,14,19 68:5,6 date 71:25	define 42:11 49:5 50:9 78:1 81:4,22 87:9,13,14 88:6 defined 52:25 80:4 87:11 defining 52:19 70:23 77:17 87:2 definitely 22:21	74:13 developing 71:13 development 89:20 dictates 19:8 differ 81:10 different 10:12,17 14:17 20:23 22:13 42:17 47:2 56:1,7
89:4 97:25 98:12,14 99:23 county 91:2,14 93:16 103:2 couple 4:13 8:1 13:20 26:25 58:4 61:13 79:3 81:9 course 77:13 84:20 court 71:2 87:18	daniel 2:3,5 dash 90:2 data 62:24 database 62:3 64:3 65:11,13 66:4,15 67:8,14,19 68:5,6 date 71:25 dated 103:15	define 42:11 49:5 50:9 78:1 81:4,22 87:9,13,14 88:6 defined 52:25 80:4 87:11 defining 52:19 70:23 77:17 87:2 definitely 22:21 37:1 92:23 97:9	74:13 developing 71:13 development 89:20 dictates 19:8 differ 81:10 different 10:12,17 14:17 20:23 22:13 42:17 47:2 56:1,7 56:11 77:4 87:5,12
89:4 97:25 98:12,14 99:23 county 91:2,14 93:16 103:2 couple 4:13 8:1 13:20 26:25 58:4 61:13 79:3 81:9 course 77:13 84:20 court 71:2 87:18 courts 87:17	daniel 2:3,5 dash 90:2 data 62:24 database 62:3 64:3 65:11,13 66:4,15 67:8,14,19 68:5,6 date 71:25 dated 103:15 daunting 76:12 88:4	define 42:11 49:5 50:9 78:1 81:4,22 87:9,13,14 88:6 defined 52:25 80:4 87:11 defining 52:19 70:23 77:17 87:2 definitely 22:21 37:1 92:23 97:9 definition 43:14	74:13 developing 71:13 development 89:20 dictates 19:8 differ 81:10 different 10:12,17 14:17 20:23 22:13 42:17 47:2 56:1,7 56:11 77:4 87:5,12 87:24 88:15 89:23
89:4 97:25 98:12,14 99:23 county 91:2,14 93:16 103:2 couple 4:13 8:1 13:20 26:25 58:4 61:13 79:3 81:9 course 77:13 84:20 court 71:2 87:18 courts 87:17 cover 30:6 94:2	daniel 2:3,5 dash 90:2 data 62:24 database 62:3 64:3 65:11,13 66:4,15 67:8,14,19 68:5,6 date 71:25 dated 103:15 daunting 76:12 88:4 day 12:13 22:21	define 42:11 49:5 50:9 78:1 81:4,22 87:9,13,14 88:6 defined 52:25 80:4 87:11 defining 52:19 70:23 77:17 87:2 definitely 22:21 37:1 92:23 97:9 definition 43:14 52:18 71:5,6,8,10	74:13 developing 71:13 development 89:20 dictates 19:8 differ 81:10 different 10:12,17 14:17 20:23 22:13 42:17 47:2 56:1,7 56:11 77:4 87:5,12 87:24 88:15 89:23 89:23 92:20 94:17
89:4 97:25 98:12,14 99:23 county 91:2,14 93:16 103:2 couple 4:13 8:1 13:20 26:25 58:4 61:13 79:3 81:9 course 77:13 84:20 court 71:2 87:18 courts 87:17 cover 30:6 94:2 covered 29:17 48:14	daniel 2:3,5 dash 90:2 data 62:24 database 62:3 64:3 65:11,13 66:4,15 67:8,14,19 68:5,6 date 71:25 dated 103:15 daunting 76:12 88:4 day 12:13 22:21 24:25 25:2 30:1	define 42:11 49:5 50:9 78:1 81:4,22 87:9,13,14 88:6 defined 52:25 80:4 87:11 defining 52:19 70:23 77:17 87:2 definitely 22:21 37:1 92:23 97:9 definition 43:14 52:18 71:5,6,8,10 71:14 77:17 80:20	74:13 developing 71:13 development 89:20 dictates 19:8 differ 81:10 different 10:12,17 14:17 20:23 22:13 42:17 47:2 56:1,7 56:11 77:4 87:5,12 87:24 88:15 89:23 89:23 92:20 94:17 98:15,19,19
89:4 97:25 98:12,14 99:23 county 91:2,14 93:16 103:2 couple 4:13 8:1 13:20 26:25 58:4 61:13 79:3 81:9 course 77:13 84:20 court 71:2 87:18 courts 87:17 cover 30:6 94:2 covered 29:17 48:14 covers 12:22 38:22	daniel 2:3,5 dash 90:2 data 62:24 database 62:3 64:3 65:11,13 66:4,15 67:8,14,19 68:5,6 date 71:25 dated 103:15 daunting 76:12 88:4 day 12:13 22:21 24:25 25:2 30:1 59:2 78:11 85:12,13	define 42:11 49:5 50:9 78:1 81:4,22 87:9,13,14 88:6 defined 52:25 80:4 87:11 defining 52:19 70:23 77:17 87:2 definitely 22:21 37:1 92:23 97:9 definition 43:14 52:18 71:5,6,8,10 71:14 77:17 80:20 80:23	74:13 developing 71:13 development 89:20 dictates 19:8 differ 81:10 different 10:12,17 14:17 20:23 22:13 42:17 47:2 56:1,7 56:11 77:4 87:5,12 87:24 88:15 89:23 89:23 92:20 94:17 98:15,19,19 differently 57:1
89:4 97:25 98:12,14 99:23 county 91:2,14 93:16 103:2 couple 4:13 8:1 13:20 26:25 58:4 61:13 79:3 81:9 course 77:13 84:20 court 71:2 87:18 courts 87:17 cover 30:6 94:2 covered 29:17 48:14 covers 12:22 38:22 43:17 71:21	daniel 2:3,5 dash 90:2 data 62:24 database 62:3 64:3 65:11,13 66:4,15 67:8,14,19 68:5,6 date 71:25 dated 103:15 daunting 76:12 88:4 day 12:13 22:21 24:25 25:2 30:1 59:2 78:11 85:12,13 85:13 97:17 101:24	define 42:11 49:5 50:9 78:1 81:4,22 87:9,13,14 88:6 defined 52:25 80:4 87:11 defining 52:19 70:23 77:17 87:2 definitely 22:21 37:1 92:23 97:9 definition 43:14 52:18 71:5,6,8,10 71:14 77:17 80:20 80:23 definitions 21:2,4	74:13 developing 71:13 development 89:20 dictates 19:8 differ 81:10 different 10:12,17 14:17 20:23 22:13 42:17 47:2 56:1,7 56:11 77:4 87:5,12 87:24 88:15 89:23 89:23 92:20 94:17 98:15,19,19 differently 57:1 92:25
89:4 97:25 98:12,14 99:23 county 91:2,14 93:16 103:2 couple 4:13 8:1 13:20 26:25 58:4 61:13 79:3 81:9 course 77:13 84:20 court 71:2 87:18 courts 87:17 cover 30:6 94:2 covered 29:17 48:14 covers 12:22 38:22 43:17 71:21 create 50:3	daniel 2:3,5 dash 90:2 data 62:24 database 62:3 64:3 65:11,13 66:4,15 67:8,14,19 68:5,6 date 71:25 dated 103:15 daunting 76:12 88:4 day 12:13 22:21 24:25 25:2 30:1 59:2 78:11 85:12,13 85:13 97:17 101:24 days 14:24,24,25	define 42:11 49:5 50:9 78:1 81:4,22 87:9,13,14 88:6 defined 52:25 80:4 87:11 defining 52:19 70:23 77:17 87:2 definitely 22:21 37:1 92:23 97:9 definition 43:14 52:18 71:5,6,8,10 71:14 77:17 80:20 80:23 definitions 21:2,4 43:13 53:14 81:22	74:13 developing 71:13 development 89:20 dictates 19:8 differ 81:10 different 10:12,17 14:17 20:23 22:13 42:17 47:2 56:1,7 56:11 77:4 87:5,12 87:24 88:15 89:23 89:23 92:20 94:17 98:15,19,19 differently 57:1 92:25 difficult 49:6 50:8
89:4 97:25 98:12,14 99:23 county 91:2,14 93:16 103:2 couple 4:13 8:1 13:20 26:25 58:4 61:13 79:3 81:9 course 77:13 84:20 court 71:2 87:18 courts 87:17 cover 30:6 94:2 covered 29:17 48:14 covers 12:22 38:22 43:17 71:21 create 50:3 created 8:8 39:2,22	daniel 2:3,5 dash 90:2 data 62:24 database 62:3 64:3 65:11,13 66:4,15 67:8,14,19 68:5,6 date 71:25 dated 103:15 daunting 76:12 88:4 day 12:13 22:21 24:25 25:2 30:1 59:2 78:11 85:12,13 85:13 97:17 101:24 days 14:24,24,25 16:21 17:8,10 24:23	define 42:11 49:5 50:9 78:1 81:4,22 87:9,13,14 88:6 defined 52:25 80:4 87:11 defining 52:19 70:23 77:17 87:2 definitely 22:21 37:1 92:23 97:9 definition 43:14 52:18 71:5,6,8,10 71:14 77:17 80:20 80:23 definitions 21:2,4 43:13 53:14 81:22 83:18	74:13 developing 71:13 development 89:20 dictates 19:8 differ 81:10 different 10:12,17 14:17 20:23 22:13 42:17 47:2 56:1,7 56:11 77:4 87:5,12 87:24 88:15 89:23 89:23 92:20 94:17 98:15,19,19 differently 57:1 92:25 difficult 49:6 50:8 50:25 81:22 82:8
89:4 97:25 98:12,14 99:23 county 91:2,14 93:16 103:2 couple 4:13 8:1 13:20 26:25 58:4 61:13 79:3 81:9 course 77:13 84:20 court 71:2 87:18 courts 87:17 cover 30:6 94:2 covered 29:17 48:14 covers 12:22 38:22 43:17 71:21 create 50:3 created 8:8 39:2,22 creates 13:7 89:16	daniel 2:3,5 dash 90:2 data 62:24 database 62:3 64:3 65:11,13 66:4,15 67:8,14,19 68:5,6 date 71:25 dated 103:15 daunting 76:12 88:4 day 12:13 22:21 24:25 25:2 30:1 59:2 78:11 85:12,13 85:13 97:17 101:24 days 14:24,24,25 16:21 17:8,10 24:23 25:3 31:13 35:9	define 42:11 49:5 50:9 78:1 81:4,22 87:9,13,14 88:6 defined 52:25 80:4 87:11 defining 52:19 70:23 77:17 87:2 definitely 22:21 37:1 92:23 97:9 definition 43:14 52:18 71:5,6,8,10 71:14 77:17 80:20 80:23 definitions 21:2,4 43:13 53:14 81:22 83:18 deluth 93:8,13	74:13 developing 71:13 development 89:20 dictates 19:8 differ 81:10 different 10:12,17 14:17 20:23 22:13 42:17 47:2 56:1,7 56:11 77:4 87:5,12 87:24 88:15 89:23 89:23 92:20 94:17 98:15,19,19 differently 57:1 92:25 difficult 49:6 50:8 50:25 81:22 82:8 88:5 94:21
89:4 97:25 98:12,14 99:23 county 91:2,14 93:16 103:2 couple 4:13 8:1 13:20 26:25 58:4 61:13 79:3 81:9 course 77:13 84:20 court 71:2 87:18 courts 87:17 cover 30:6 94:2 covered 29:17 48:14 covers 12:22 38:22 43:17 71:21 create 50:3 created 8:8 39:2,22 creates 13:7 89:16 93:19	daniel 2:3,5 dash 90:2 data 62:24 database 62:3 64:3 65:11,13 66:4,15 67:8,14,19 68:5,6 date 71:25 dated 103:15 daunting 76:12 88:4 day 12:13 22:21 24:25 25:2 30:1 59:2 78:11 85:12,13 85:13 97:17 101:24 days 14:24,24,25 16:21 17:8,10 24:23 25:3 31:13 35:9 49:13 55:5 56:23	define 42:11 49:5 50:9 78:1 81:4,22 87:9,13,14 88:6 defined 52:25 80:4 87:11 defining 52:19 70:23 77:17 87:2 definitely 22:21 37:1 92:23 97:9 definition 43:14 52:18 71:5,6,8,10 71:14 77:17 80:20 80:23 definitions 21:2,4 43:13 53:14 81:22 83:18 deluth 93:8,13 demands 36:25 42:5	74:13 developing 71:13 development 89:20 dictates 19:8 differ 81:10 different 10:12,17 14:17 20:23 22:13 42:17 47:2 56:1,7 56:11 77:4 87:5,12 87:24 88:15 89:23 89:23 92:20 94:17 98:15,19,19 differently 57:1 92:25 difficult 49:6 50:8 50:25 81:22 82:8 88:5 94:21 difficulties 52:9
89:4 97:25 98:12,14 99:23 county 91:2,14 93:16 103:2 couple 4:13 8:1 13:20 26:25 58:4 61:13 79:3 81:9 course 77:13 84:20 court 71:2 87:18 courts 87:17 cover 30:6 94:2 covered 29:17 48:14 covers 12:22 38:22 43:17 71:21 create 50:3 created 8:8 39:2,22 creates 13:7 89:16 93:19 creating 15:21	daniel 2:3,5 dash 90:2 data 62:24 database 62:3 64:3 65:11,13 66:4,15 67:8,14,19 68:5,6 date 71:25 dated 103:15 daunting 76:12 88:4 day 12:13 22:21 24:25 25:2 30:1 59:2 78:11 85:12,13 85:13 97:17 101:24 days 14:24,24,25 16:21 17:8,10 24:23 25:3 31:13 35:9	define 42:11 49:5 50:9 78:1 81:4,22 87:9,13,14 88:6 defined 52:25 80:4 87:11 defining 52:19 70:23 77:17 87:2 definitely 22:21 37:1 92:23 97:9 definition 43:14 52:18 71:5,6,8,10 71:14 77:17 80:20 80:23 definitions 21:2,4 43:13 53:14 81:22 83:18 deluth 93:8,13 demands 36:25 42:5 demonstrate 76:2	74:13 developing 71:13 development 89:20 dictates 19:8 differ 81:10 different 10:12,17 14:17 20:23 22:13 42:17 47:2 56:1,7 56:11 77:4 87:5,12 87:24 88:15 89:23 89:23 92:20 94:17 98:15,19,19 differently 57:1 92:25 difficult 49:6 50:8 50:25 81:22 82:8 88:5 94:21 difficulties 52:9 difficulty 19:24
89:4 97:25 98:12,14 99:23 county 91:2,14 93:16 103:2 couple 4:13 8:1 13:20 26:25 58:4 61:13 79:3 81:9 course 77:13 84:20 court 71:2 87:18 courts 87:17 cover 30:6 94:2 covered 29:17 48:14 covers 12:22 38:22 43:17 71:21 create 50:3 created 8:8 39:2,22 creates 13:7 89:16 93:19 creating 15:21 47:24	daniel 2:3,5 dash 90:2 data 62:24 database 62:3 64:3 65:11,13 66:4,15 67:8,14,19 68:5,6 date 71:25 dated 103:15 daunting 76:12 88:4 day 12:13 22:21 24:25 25:2 30:1 59:2 78:11 85:12,13 85:13 97:17 101:24 days 14:24,24,25 16:21 17:8,10 24:23 25:3 31:13 35:9 49:13 55:5 56:23 57:12 76:14 85:9 100:20	define 42:11 49:5 50:9 78:1 81:4,22 87:9,13,14 88:6 defined 52:25 80:4 87:11 defining 52:19 70:23 77:17 87:2 definitely 22:21 37:1 92:23 97:9 definition 43:14 52:18 71:5,6,8,10 71:14 77:17 80:20 80:23 definitions 21:2,4 43:13 53:14 81:22 83:18 deluth 93:8,13 demands 36:25 42:5 demonstrate 76:2 denial 67:10,21	74:13 developing 71:13 development 89:20 dictates 19:8 differ 81:10 different 10:12,17 14:17 20:23 22:13 42:17 47:2 56:1,7 56:11 77:4 87:5,12 87:24 88:15 89:23 89:23 92:20 94:17 98:15,19,19 differently 57:1 92:25 difficult 49:6 50:8 50:25 81:22 82:8 88:5 94:21 difficulties 52:9 difficulty 19:24 dilemma 65:17
89:4 97:25 98:12,14 99:23 county 91:2,14 93:16 103:2 couple 4:13 8:1 13:20 26:25 58:4 61:13 79:3 81:9 course 77:13 84:20 court 71:2 87:18 courts 87:17 cover 30:6 94:2 covered 29:17 48:14 covers 12:22 38:22 43:17 71:21 create 50:3 created 8:8 39:2,22 creates 13:7 89:16 93:19 creating 15:21	daniel 2:3,5 dash 90:2 data 62:24 database 62:3 64:3 65:11,13 66:4,15 67:8,14,19 68:5,6 date 71:25 dated 103:15 daunting 76:12 88:4 day 12:13 22:21 24:25 25:2 30:1 59:2 78:11 85:12,13 85:13 97:17 101:24 days 14:24,24,25 16:21 17:8,10 24:23 25:3 31:13 35:9 49:13 55:5 56:23 57:12 76:14 85:9	define 42:11 49:5 50:9 78:1 81:4,22 87:9,13,14 88:6 defined 52:25 80:4 87:11 defining 52:19 70:23 77:17 87:2 definitely 22:21 37:1 92:23 97:9 definition 43:14 52:18 71:5,6,8,10 71:14 77:17 80:20 80:23 definitions 21:2,4 43:13 53:14 81:22 83:18 deluth 93:8,13 demands 36:25 42:5 demonstrate 76:2	74:13 developing 71:13 development 89:20 dictates 19:8 differ 81:10 different 10:12,17 14:17 20:23 22:13 42:17 47:2 56:1,7 56:11 77:4 87:5,12 87:24 88:15 89:23 89:23 92:20 94:17 98:15,19,19 differently 57:1 92:25 difficult 49:6 50:8 50:25 81:22 82:8 88:5 94:21 difficulties 52:9 difficulty 19:24

[direction - ex] Page 7

direction 18:2 88:10	dollars 31:1 91:13	29:8 30:18 35:6	encourage 23:10,15
103:8	don 47:23	36:1 38:8,20,22	37:21 67:13
directly 46:23	door 49:10 64:18	50:6 53:7,11 54:8	endorses 63:3
director 5:7 6:4,22	doubt 37:15	63:25 70:4 79:12,20	ends 78:24
7:13 81:5	doubted 34:6	80:19 84:14 86:16	enforce 24:14
disagree 32:13	download 11:12	94:3 96:13 100:1	enforcement 17:14
disapproval 42:13	draft 11:11 13:4	101:19 102:15	35:11 38:17,23 39:4
42:14 44:20,25	15:19 16:16 17:11	economic 48:3	42:23,24 47:10,13
45:11	18:8 21:9 25:9	effect 26:17 63:20	47:18 48:20 49:18
disasters 22:14	26:22 27:7 28:2,9	93:20	50:21,22 51:8 75:20
discern 67:17	29:10,19 32:8 33:3	effective 74:6	78:15,19,20
discontinue 82:22	35:7,24,25 39:5	effectively 8:10	enhance 95:13
discovery 46:9	47:22 48:6,10,13	effectiveness 74:17	ensure 8:12 37:24
discrete 43:9	58:8 59:8 60:17	effects 27:13	38:1 49:1
discretion 15:1	61:3 63:9 68:13,20	efficient 54:22	ensures 27:11
58:24 59:4 69:23	69:4,22 71:23 72:3	effort 34:1 89:13	ensuring 8:12
discuss 4:21	73:6 78:12 88:15	efforts 32:15 55:12	entire 5:20 16:23
discussed 68:10	91:8 95:24 96:4,7	ego 17:4	55:23 69:20 82:24
102:13	drafted 24:18 88:9	either 11:18 24:5	entities 59:1 60:4
discussing 12:17,20	drafting 10:20	56:24 57:5 76:16	entity 61:22 90:16
58:22 92:19 100:14	32:21	87:24	environment 17:3
discussion 11:4,12	drafts 11:1,5,5,13	electronic 56:16	environmental
11:17 13:4 15:19,19	54:10 61:12,17 97:8	electronically 52:24	27:13,19 28:7
16:16 21:9 26:22	dream 30:13	eligibility 78:10	equivalent 14:19
27:7 28:2 39:5 48:6	du 90:4,10,12	eligible 15:23 76:21	eric 5:7 7:13
48:13 58:4 59:8	dual 42:15	eliminate 73:8	especially 20:14
60:17 61:3 68:13,20	due 51:20 57:15	eliminates 31:3	21:19 29:17 74:23
69:22 70:21 71:23	81:11	email 56:20	77:19 83:17
72:3 86:23 88:15	duluth 90:3	emails 101:24	essentially 77:9
95:10,23 96:4,7	duplicate 55:11,12	emerick 6:4 84:7,7	establish 10:4 75:12
discussions 98:22	duplicative 72:15,20	84:25	75:19
dispute 40:21 74:14	73:9	employee 55:3	establishes 19:15,17
divide 54:24	duty 78:8	61:22 77:17,17 78:1	estimated 10:15,16
divided 10:12,19	e	78:2,3 80:20 81:2	et 43:22 45:15 62:22
division 5:11	e.p.a. 27:23	employees 54:4	67:22 89:21 95:9
document 52:23	earlier 35:20 48:1	60:16 61:25 62:8	evaluate 37:16
documents 17:5	91:21	74:15 77:14,18,21	event 3:15 5:17
46:11 54:19	early 99:3	78:3 80:2 81:2	events 36:8 97:23
doing 10:7,8 22:17	easier 18:17 42:21	99:18	everybody 3:12 4:19
30:10 36:24 37:22	42:21 51:18	employment 33:17	5:23 38:5,14 69:25
48:19 49:12 54:15	easy 43:1 94:19	64:19 73:12	99:9
56:12,25 57:25 71:4	echo 2:7,10 5:13	enables 61:25	everyday 97:14
72:9,10 75:18,25	8:20 9:2,4 24:8,18	enact 49:24	evidence 46:17 69:1
81:12 85:23 86:9	24:21 25:4,11 29:1	enacted 26:15 34:8	ex 43:14
93:22 94:9	,		

exactly 52:21 81:25	36:22 37:3,4 62:21	25:20,22 26:3 50:20	fingers 29:22
example 32:24	68:18 72:18 73:18	62:7 89:21,21 91:1	finish 86:14
45:19 81:20	facing 65:17	91:2,4 93:9	firmly 66:21
exceeded 27:18	fact 35:21 36:22	felt 51:14,22	first 8:15 14:8 19:1
excellent 70:9	48:2 71:24 75:10	female 101:9	23:14 28:23 39:4
exceptions 61:19	77:8 78:10 85:23	fiat 62:19	58:13 61:20 74:8
66:24	88:2,3 89:24 99:5	field 5:9 49:20	80:2 81:10 85:18,18
excess 90:17	factors 10:13	figure 13:7 17:15	91:6 95:20
excited 44:2	facts 93:3	50:24 52:21 65:12	firsthand 22:18
exclusively 35:21	failed 32:14	81:8 85:12	fiscal 13:6 14:4,5
86:20	fails 24:10	figured 31:14 35:9	20:7
executive 6:4 10:2	fair 74:7	44:14	fit 13:16 24:6 49:25
exercise 58:24	fall 22:24 24:17,19	file 44:5,7 45:1,12	five 10:12,17 11:25
exhausting 26:8	24:20,22	46:10,24 52:19,22	53:11 59:23 98:12
existing 26:12 89:14	falls 62:25	53:4 55:23 80:16	100:15
exists 91:22	family 4:1,3	filed 53:13	fixated 73:1
expedited 16:4	fantastic 83:12	files 80:11 87:23	fixing 97:2
28:10	far 19:20,25 66:2	filing 43:19,21,24	flexible 17:13
expensive 36:23	85:6 87:17 92:21	44:24 45:13 52:20	focus 12:9 47:24
37:3,4 91:4	farse 63:11	52:20,20,25	68:14 72:24 73:10
experienced 20:21	fast 100:23	filled 35:7	77:25 82:18 95:6
96:2	faster 62:1	final 10:24 11:16	focused 75:4 90:13
experiencing 95:25	favor 61:4,5	48:10	focusing 83:7
expertise 101:22	favorable 61:18	finality 51:23	foible 84:15,16,21
experts 100:9	fax 2:5,9 56:19 98:6	finally 10:23 63:9	folk 99:15
expired 17:8	fear 49:6	finance 89:20	folks 19:2 20:6 23:2
expires 34:19	february 13:9,11	financially 76:3	52:9 69:9 92:21
exported 89:9	21:14	financing 87:15	99:22 101:3
express 89:10	federal 8:5 9:19	91:4 94:18,20,21	follow 47:12 63:25
expressly 90:19	10:4 18:5 22:7	95:3,13,13	67:23
extend 3:14 70:8	27:22 35:23 96:17	find 18:4 22:6 26:7	followed 10:1 37:25
eyes 83:20	federally 59:3	42:15,21 43:2,5	following 78:14
f	fee 12:22 13:1,5,8,8	45:8 80:21 94:5	fond 90:4,10,12 93:7
f 62:16	14:16,17,18,24,25	finding 76:16	94:25
face 25:8	14:25 15:4,6 19:14	fine 3:21 22:22	forever 64:18
facilitators 98:20	19:16 20:16,18	23:19,22 24:6,9,15	forget 77:23 97:4,6
facilities 36:20	21:13,21,24 22:8	24:25 25:2 31:8	forgot 70:20
58:16,17 63:12	23:21 24:5,5,10,24	42:15 46:4 50:13	forgotten 65:2
facility 4:14 12:23	35:21 46:5,6 49:12	fines 24:16	form 33:18,23 47:15
15:15 16:1,20,22	feed 97:22	finesse 77:16 80:20	formal 46:8 61:9
17:2,9,14 27:5,11	feel 9:12 18:21	81:6	79:15 88:20
27:12 28:11 30:19	39:12 94:8	fingerprint 15:4	formalize 15:5 26:2
30:21,25 31:4,8,9	fees 14:15 15:6	25:22 61:24 62:5	44:15 54:10 56:25
31:12,15 32:13	19:11,14 20:8 21:5	fingerprinting 26:1	formalized 61:2
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	22:2,15 23:15 24:23		

- 0 0 - -			
formalizing 61:5	g	34:7 36:20 37:4	goods 17:24 36:7
63:18,19 65:14	g 6:6	38:11,20 43:4 45:1	37:17
formed 10:13	g2e 100:5	45:3 46:7,22 47:1	gotten 96:20 99:13
former 7:14,15	game 75:19 85:13	49:11 52:4 58:6	governance 63:8
forming 12:12	85:13 93:15	61:6 69:20 70:2	governing 45:19,20
formula 19:15 21:2		76:24 79:15 88:11	government 8:2
formulate 48:24	games 82:15,23 83:1 83:4	92:1 95:1 96:16	9:20 18:22 32:19
formulated 80:8		98:12 99:2,21	82:21 91:3 94:19
forth 32:12 63:1	gamesmanship 82:20	100:22,23 101:4,7	95:12
103:6	gaming 1:1 2:2,7 3:9	101:14 102:1	governments 9:19
forward 5:2 8:19		goal 29:2,2,5 30:11	89:6,9 93:20 95:2
9:12 58:7 93:1 94:9	3:13 6:9,10,11,15	30:11 39:7 48:3	governor 83:3
99:10,20,25	6:20,22,22,25 7:3,4	goes 46:11,13 82:21	governs 44:19
found 79:25 90:25	7:5,6,7,10 13:6	90:15	grade 36:19
four 20:3	15:23 27:10 44:20	going 3:8,16,23 5:14	graduate 48:19,24
fourth 90:24	44:25 55:20,25	8:19,21,23,25 11:22	graduated 39:24
foxwoods 7:21	58:12 63:6,12 64:20 65:4 67:16 68:12	11:25 12:3,9,21	40:25 41:7 49:7,17
frame 40:14 41:17	71:22 72:7,18,25	13:24 14:1 23:8	granted 33:1
98:23	73:1,10 74:10,16,18	29:19,25 30:2,22,22	grateful 4:20
frames 17:18 31:10	74:22 75:1,3 76:1	31:2,14 32:20 38:12	gratefully 33:13
41:24	77:25 80:25 83:9	38:15,16,19 41:4	gratitude 3:14 70:8
framework 90:5	84:10 85:7,9,12	42:11 47:9 48:20	grave 89:3
free 9:12	89:5,8,19 90:9,18	49:5,10,13,14 50:15	great 4:13 23:16
frequently 86:18	92:15 93:17 99:18	50:20 54:12 55:19	36:24 66:10 70:16
fresno 103:2	99:24 100:10	56:8 58:5,6,21	89:10
friday 3:2	general 5:12 6:16	60:13,14,24 68:14	greatly 81:10
friendly 13:18	23:2 43:11 47:11	71:9,18 72:12 79:2	green 85:21
friends 4:2	53:5 54:1 85:11	81:15 83:1 84:10	gross 93:17
fronts 91:1	95:20 97:12,21	85:8 87:13 91:24	ground 100:4,7,22
frustration 96:1	generally 38:5	94:19 95:1,12,13	grounded 73:13
full 76:22	generic 33:17	97:11 98:1,4,8,18	grounding 69:21
fuller 6:10	geographically	98:20 100:13,17,17	grounds 62:19
fun 25:17	99:23	100:19 101:2,2,6,18	group 8:25 10:13
function 8:7 15:7	getting 73:13 83:19	101:25 102:3,8,16	12:5,21,21 17:19
funding 73:13,14	give 51:3	gonna 29:6	18:9 19:5,7,10 29:7
75:21,23 94:10,10	given 34:1 71:2	good 3:7,22,23 4:2,3	35:15 38:11,17,20
funds 22:8	gives 8:14 13:11	6:6,19,21,24 9:2,3,3	38:22 54:2,8 58:2
funny 96:18	66:22 69:22 93:14	25:14 38:3,8 57:1	60:11 61:11 70:1,2
further 42:2 103:9	giving 75:15	62:20,23 66:10,17	70:19,20 71:14,15
future 38:1 49:1,10	glad 81:12 84:1	67:3 69:4,24 80:18	71:15,16,21 86:15
51:3 57:22 81:25	go 5:23 6:1 8:22,23	82:6 83:24 85:16	93:25 98:22,24
94:10,14	10:23,24 11:11 14:4	96:11 97:22 98:21	99:22
	18:18 24:9,25 26:6	98:22 99:9,22	groups 8:24,25
	29:9,11 32:11 33:12	101:15	10:12,13,18,19
			11:25 12:4,19 36:7

[groups - incident] Page 10

		T	T
95:18 100:18,19,25	50:6 53:7,11 54:8	highlighted 62:13	i.t. 56:17
102:11	63:25 70:4 79:12,20	highlights 62:10	idea 39:23 41:6
guess 5:16 26:6,10	80:19 84:14 86:16	88:22	62:20 66:9 69:8
26:10 35:14,25	94:3 96:13 100:1	hinder 95:13	85:15
36:18 39:20 40:18	101:19 102:15	hire 77:20	ideally 39:24
49:15 51:10 54:1,2	hayne 5:12	hired 77:14 78:3	ideas 50:11 85:16
69:10 70:2 94:16	head 85:15	hiring 64:21	92:25
guessing 32:16	headquarters 36:10	historically 95:6	identical 66:15
guidance 51:3 70:10	heads 72:21	history 34:8	identified 49:22
87:23	health 27:13,19 28:7	hit 100:22	71:16 74:3 78:21
guide 97:19	29:17	hodge 42:18	identify 100:4
guilty 92:1	healthy 4:6	hogan 62:17	identifying 100:16
guys 4:6 9:2 35:11	hear 8:15 9:3 11:23	hogan's 32:20	illegal 90:18
80:23 85:20	28:17 51:19 65:18	hold 24:11 57:18,19	illegally 50:14
h	72:22 84:18	holders 60:3 91:14	illustrate 75:17
	heard 14:14 15:20	92:13	imagine 66:24 88:6
h 38:18 42:23	20:5 22:12 27:17,24	holding 82:25	101:17
half 7:19	27:25 34:11 36:15	holistically 42:20	immediate 50:17
halted 99:6	39:8 40:10 41:15	honest 74:6,7	immediately 50:16
hammer 93:15	42:10 47:18,20	honored 4:21	immunity 93:17
hand 8:15 9:15	59:11,21 60:23 64:2	hope 41:6	impact 26:25 29:14
46:22 50:19 78:11	64:23 69:15 70:6	hopefully 16:17	48:3 89:19
handed 89:5	71:7 72:6 77:7 84:2	25:13 70:17 98:21	implement 51:9
handle 97:19	87:10,12 92:20	99:1,8,8	implementation
handout 53:12 72:4	96:18	hoping 27:8 68:20	74:14
handouts 12:1 39:1	hearing 8:19 20:17	hospitality 4:13	implemented 27:20
hands 89:17	20:23 35:4 37:6	hostile 32:22	importance 89:2
hanging 51:24	46:9,13,15,24 47:5	hosting 3:15	important 4:22 8:7
hansen 7:4	57:14,18,19,21	hotel 4:14	8:11 12:4 35:17
happen 5:19 40:7	76:20 79:4,15 92:25	hour 102:21	53:19 62:2 74:22
43:18 100:19	heart 32:5	housekeeping 18:11	
happened 35:13	heavy 77:19	huge 4:4,4 53:18	importantly 11:19
39:19 48:22	held 46:15	hybrid 58:16	80:4 85:9
happening 60:2	help 18:16	hypothetically	impose 24:17
happens 23:23 45:1	helped 78:11	91:11	impose 24.17 impossible 86:11
45:14 49:2	_		92:22
happy 84:18 101:2	helpful 69:24 83:16 helps 20:8 70:17	i	
hard 56:17 96:25		i.g.r.a 51:17 74:20	impressed 33:20
harsh 23:4	hereunto 103:12	i.g.r.a's 89:7	99:14,19
hate 26:7 69:9	hernandez 3:16,20	i.g.r.a. 28:8 62:14	improve 63:21
hawk 2:7,10 5:13	3:22 4:11	63:2 66:22 74:10	improvement 8:17
8:20 9:2,4 24:8,18	hi 48:17 81:9	87:9 92:4	improves 62:23
24:21 25:4,11 29:1	high 8:13 72:22	i.g.r.a.'s 62:20	inaugural 98:4
29:8 30:18 35:6	99:17	i.g.r.a 8:8	100:1
36:1 38:8,20,22	higher 77:8,10 82:3		incident 40:3
/ /			

[inclined - justified] Page 11

		1	1
inclined 38:10 101:1	55:21 56:5,24 57:7	66:1 71:12 87:21	86:21 87:20 90:1
include 11:16 51:3	58:25 63:5,22,24	92:12,19	93:7
66:4 73:20 76:19	64:10 65:7,9,12,14	interpretation 20:24	issues 4:22,25 12:17
80:12	66:3,5,8,19 67:10	20:24	19:18 22:14 23:14
included 21:9 25:25	67:18,19,25 68:1,4	interpreting 20:18	31:4 39:13 41:8,15
28:3 44:17 46:1	72:16 73:8,21 74:19	intervention 43:20	43:4 44:4 46:18
59:8 65:1 69:13	76:19 83:19 84:20	introduce 5:6,24 6:2	49:22 50:15 53:20
73:18,25 75:22	84:22 85:5,6,9	inundated 33:13,14	57:17 58:7 64:2
78:17 93:25 98:11	88:16 97:15,19	investigate 78:20	67:7 69:18 70:5,11
98:11	informed 21:18 62:1	investigation 58:18	70:14 71:11 76:6,16
includes 28:9 38:17	62:25 68:2	59:19,20,22,24 60:2	76:16 83:21 86:25
47:13 54:2,23 55:1	initial 11:5 61:18	60:5,6,9,15 68:15	87:16 89:11 91:19
55:24 80:3 90:6	76:15 88:24 100:6	68:17,19,23,24 69:2	issuing 14:13
including 38:25	input 29:20	69:11 73:17 75:20	item 19:10 25:21
58:10 74:6 99:1	inquiry 19:19 26:18	investigations 54:3	35:15
incorporate 29:16	32:6 88:25	54:5,6,18 61:22	items 81:14
30:2 90:19 100:9,12	inside 73:20	68:9,13	j
incredibly 88:3	inspect 74:20	investigative 55:15	j 2:3
independent 74:25	inspection 74:21	65:10 78:15,19	jan 6:15
75:6,6,8 77:12	instance 65:5	investigator 5:9	janet 6:17
independently	instances 27:21	59:2 66:6	jason 6:19 65:20
41:11	45:19 65:6 82:24	investigators 75:24	85:3
indian 1:1 2:2,7	institutional 59:2	invite 3:10 5:23	jean 5:10
15:23 17:20 18:1	integrity 8:12	18:12 19:7	jeff 85:21
27:10,10 35:16,23	intend 54:22	involved 11:23	jeffrey 85:19
37:16,25 38:6 99:18	intended 40:25	isolation 92:5	jeopardize 94:13
indians 6:5	72:10 85:22	issue 15:1 17:22	jeopardizes 83:11
indicate 10:14	intent 15:24 16:8,15	22:22,22 25:4 28:13	job 1:25 38:8 64:22
indication 36:18	48:23 79:10,12 86:5	36:3 39:9,14,25	65:3,3 72:10
individual 33:21	interest 19:21,22	40:20 41:7,13,24	jobs 75:25
56:7 57:6,7,15	28:15 70:13 71:22	42:4 47:15 48:4,8	joe 7:3
64:12,16 102:17	86:17,24 87:2,10,11	49:3,8,13 51:12	john 5:12 6:6,9 7:6
individuals 64:8,23	88:1,7,13 89:2	57:3,5,6 60:7 66:1	24:3 78:10,11
65:6	90:18 92:3,18 95:5	71:3,17 72:24 77:2	johnson 6:24
industry 8:9,12	95:9	78:10 86:2,16,18,18	joining 7:20
13:16 19:14 99:16	interested 35:3 37:6	87:23 88:2,12 89:2	jokingly 66:12
100:9 101:16	47:5 50:1 85:20	89:14,17 90:15	judge 46:15
influences 60:22	86:3 92:25 103:11	93:25 94:7,15 98:15	judging 28:14
inform 78:8	internal 12:6,7	100:8	jumps 52:16
informal 79:6	19:23 36:5 37:14,22	issued 15:13 16:20	june 48:6 68:14
informally 88:22	73:16 79:17	39:12,21 41:2,4,10	justified 48:23
information 13:2	internally 14:9	41:11 46:2 47:14	Jastinea To.23
14:23 16:14 17:17	15:17 18:4,7 30:23	48:6 54:25 55:2,25	
43:5 44:22 45:8	35:6 40:9 41:16	57:13,14,16 60:19	
46:9 55:12,14,15,16	56:21 64:15 65:17	60:20 62:15 64:25	

[karl - listened] Page 12

k	49:5,11,12,20,21,23	lake 90:4	legislature 45:21
	49:25,25 50:1,13,14	land 4:12 15:22,23	letter 33:18 39:25
karl 7:5	51:11,13,17,19,21	16:5,10 27:10,10	40:11 41:3,4,8,8,10
kars 6:13	51:22 52:7 53:2,19	41:21	57:3 59:19
kathryn 86:7	53:22 55:6,7,18,19	lands 15:23	letters 33:23,24
kathy 7:9 79:1	55:20 56:15,16,25	lane 1:17	41:17 48:9 50:8
keep 80:9,16 85:10	60:1,22 64:14 65:10	language 13:23 16:2	89:15
93:21 98:22	66:15,16,18,23 67:9	16:17 17:4 23:13,25	lewis 4:10
keeping 31:23 73:17	67:11,15,17,17	25:7 49:3 50:9,10	license 12:23 15:15
kept 30:4	68:16 69:4,15 70:11	51:2 74:5,8 75:6	16:2,20 17:8 27:5
key 15:20 54:4 55:3	'	<i>'</i>	· '
60:16 61:25 62:8	70:19 71:2,24 72:7	76:4 78:18 87:25	28:11 30:17,20 31:4
77:18 78:1	72:16 73:7,12,15,19	large 89:4,8 98:24	31:9,9 34:19 54:25
kicking 14:22	73:21 74:12,12 75:2	larger 77:20	55:2,2,25 56:6 57:4
kidding 23:5	75:7,23 76:17 77:19	larry 7:10	57:6,13,13,16,19
kind 9:10 16:12	78:6,6 80:3 81:5,7	late 14:8,11,15,16	60:18,20 62:12
18:11 21:24 26:8	81:21,22 82:4,7,11	14:16,19,20,24	64:20,22,24,25 65:4
31:23 33:19 36:17	82:24,25 83:2,17,20	21:24 22:2,15,18	66:16
37:11 40:3 41:1	84:19,21,24 85:10	23:15,22 24:23,24	licensed 56:7 64:5,8
42:18,25 43:18	85:17,23 86:4,20	25:20 45:13 46:5	64:9,14,17 65:22
48:24 49:7,14 51:12	91:25 92:7,12,19,19	49:13 64:21 72:11	licensee 57:18 67:24
51:12,14,24 60:14	92:22,23 93:4,5,6	latest 23:13	licenses 55:7 62:15
63:25 65:13 66:19	93:18 94:7,8,10,22	latitude 24:4	62:16,21,23
68:4,16 71:13 77:21	94:25 95:2 96:1,2,7	law 46:15	licensing 32:13
79:8 80:14 82:2	96:9,17,19,24 97:6	laws 16:23	62:25 66:6 72:18
96:18 98:16	97:13,18,22 98:8,9	lawyers 43:25 44:1	73:18 80:11
kinds 42:17 55:7	98:16,18,21 99:16	52:17 53:7 95:7	lie 49:6
56:20 59:9	99:17 101:19,23	100:10	likewise 71:6
knew 44:16	knowledge 84:11	lay 13:17 47:2	lilly 1:20 103:3,18
know 3:25 5:16,24	85:12	layout 26:3	limbo 41:21 60:1
7:18 8:6,8 9:24 12:4	knows 15:11 41:18	lead 63:2	limit 41:13 42:3
13:25 16:21 17:13	l	leaders 18:23	87:13 94:9
17:14 18:21 19:15	1 2:3,8	leal 2:7 5:13 8:20	limited 43:19 45:14
20:6,12,20,21,23	lac 90:4,10,12	9:1,4 18:10,10,22	78:15
21:2,14,18,25 22:4	lack 33:7,8 52:10	19:12 22:20 24:3	limiting 101:17
22:7,13,17,18,22	lael 2:10 38:7,20,21	25:21 26:13 28:2,9	limits 28:10 62:20
23:11,18,25 25:23	47:8 48:7 50:5	33:10 35:16 102:13	line 5:21 25:9 28:3
25:24 26:2 28:7,8		learn 8:16	30:14 39:6 50:13
28:13,17 29:14	51:11 52:1,5 54:7 60:12,23 63:23,24	leave 34:10 76:7	84:18 91:5,6 93:11
30:23 31:11,16,17	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	left 5:7 6:1,1 34:17	lines 31:10 41:25
	65:19 68:10 70:3	34:20 35:5 45:18,25	79:11
32:13,22,23 34:20	79:23 85:23 86:14	87:17 96:9	link 11:10,11
34:23,25 35:10,23	88:14 91:21 92:18	legal 6:13 15:22	list 12:1 16:23 53:15
36:3,3,15,17,19	94:1 97:10 98:9	16:5,9 95:7	79:17,18 96:9
37:6 41:21,22,22	99:11	legislation 99:4	listened 59:15
44:10 45:13 47:10			
			1

[literally - months] Page 13

literally 32:16	81:15 82:4 91:25	management 29:11	101:6 102:9,17,18
little 2:3,5 3:7,21,24		42:13 45:11,12,17	101.0 102.9,17,18
	99:20,22,24		
4:10,16 7:12 13:17	losing 56:19	45:23 53:22 54:4	meetings 9:19,21,21
18:10,11 21:7 23:3	lost 32:18	55:3 58:10,11,15	10:8 12:9 30:3,4,4,5
24:2 25:9,12 31:21	lot 18:3 26:22 27:8	60:15 61:25 62:9	30:8 37:17 71:19
33:6 34:6,12,23	29:16 36:19,21,23	69:11,14,19 72:17	98:24,24,25 99:1
35:14 36:2 38:3,9	37:19,23 40:10	77:18 78:2 86:19	100:16
38:14 47:8 49:18	42:10 44:22 52:17	87:4,24 89:20 91:4	melissa 65:22
51:5 53:10,16,17	56:18 64:16 70:10	91:15 92:2 94:17	member 9:5
54:21 56:1 57:9	76:3 82:20 92:20	95:3,8	members 3:8 100:4
60:12 63:13,16	96:21	manager 6:16 65:22	mentality 80:14
65:19 66:10 67:3	lots 86:10	managing 50:14,14	mentioned 9:4 42:3
68:7 69:9 71:1 76:6	loud 3:20	mandate 75:14	57:10 77:24
76:10 79:13,22	love 4:1 50:12 65:18	mandated 15:8	message 93:12,19
80:18 82:8,12 83:16	78:25 98:1	manifest 86:11	met 41:9 75:17
84:13 85:1,16 86:12	low 26:20	manner 17:2 27:12	mic 3:19 9:13,15
88:13 92:10 93:23	lucky 1:17 76:9	manuel 6:9 52:15	38:19 86:14
94:4 95:15 96:1,11	lunch 69:7 101:3	manufactures	microphone 5:25
97:9 98:18 100:24	102:3,7	100:10	8:20
101:12,21 102:2,8	lytton 7:9 79:1	map 20:17	middle 43:3
102:16	m	march 13:9,10	millions 31:1,1
loads 97:15	m.i.c.s. 62:21 72:12	21:14 99:1	91:13
loc 93:7 95:1	74:14,14 98:10	mark 6:4,21 84:7	mind 60:10
local 82:21 83:2	100:16	market 36:25 94:8	minds 4:6 26:9
89:6,9 91:3 93:20	m.o.u.'s 91:3	marlene 6:24	minimum 12:5,7
94:19,23 95:2,11	machine 98:7	mashantucket 7:21	90:20
location 30:9 31:19	machines 56:19	matter 10:15 11:24	minnesota 90:3
89:22,23	mail 52:25	40:13 55:22 63:7	minute 39:3
locations 97:25	mailing 96:9	77:12	minutes 37:12
lonely 9:10 18:12	maintain 48:4 49:21	matured 99:17	misunderstanding
long 64:5 81:11	maintain 48.4 49.21 maintained 72:23	mean 13:24 25:16	52:10
longer 60:25 71:11	maintaining 50:2	49:23 52:22,22,23	mitigation 89:21
97:19	maintaining 50.2 maintains 54:19	53:4,18 59:13 64:7	mix 32:12 71:17
look 8:19 10:10 27:8		67:1 68:24 70:7	modifies 46:20
29:12 32:23 37:22	maintenance 17:1	77:5 80:7 91:16	modify 46:5
42:20 45:3,7 56:23	27:11	96:5,8 97:15	money 37:5,7 70:13
58:8 64:11 72:25	major 50:15 51:12	meaningful 8:2	monitor 68:12
73:6 80:11 82:10	53:20	65:15	monitoring 54:5
83:20 89:18 92:1,4	majority 22:24	means 52:19 64:4	68:9
92:5 93:21	making 3:25 5:15	69:2 85:25 89:14,16	monitors 74:16,17
looked 10:14 72:14	9:13 14:11 15:13	media 18:25	month 98:5
94:15	33:7,11 41:16 46:17	meet 76:17	months 10:25 31:14
looking 5:2 16:1	56:11 58:22 86:4	meeting 5:19 9:25	31:15,18 35:10,11
36:7 37:16,19 49:1	manage 66:23	12:10 18:14 39:23	86:22
51:9 52:1,2 59:18		98:5 100:2,3,3,7,21	

[morego - obtaining] Page 14

1 managa 7.2	no: 20.6 27.14 24	needs 17:7,14 25:7	42:14 46:3,5 54:18
morego 7:3	n.o.i. 20:6 27:14,24	· /	·
morning 3:7,22 4:20	36:15 47:17 51:19	40:4 45:8 50:16,17	54:20 55:17 56:4,6
6:6,19,21,24 9:2	69:15	91:19	56:22 57:20 59:24
12:3 102:13	n.o.r. 80:9	negative 67:12,22	59:25 60:7,21 64:13
mosey 16:12	n.o.v. 22:22 23:16	negotiated 23:4	65:14 71:15 79:4
motion 43:21,24	47:14,22,25 48:2,10	negotiation 93:21	86:21 88:24 97:11
44:5,7 52:20 53:3	48:21 49:3,8,13,17	neither 103:9	noticed 49:2
motions 43:17,19,20	49:25 90:2 93:7	net 21:2 71:8	notices 39:8 40:20
45:14	n.o.v.'s 22:2 93:11	never 34:6 59:23	41:18
mountain 6:13	n.o.v.'s. 48:5	new 11:9 14:23	notification 15:15
mouth 23:8 25:17	n.s.d. 59:2	16:19,25 35:7,8	62:11 68:19 96:4,5
move 26:7,11 30:23	name 4:16 6:21 9:4	39:2 52:3 76:23	96:10 98:3
31:2 38:17 47:9	9:16 18:16,17	78:2 85:10	notifications 27:5
51:10 55:24 56:14	103:13	newly 16:19	notified 59:22
68:7,8 69:9 70:17	narrowing 83:10	news 36:24	notify 14:6 34:18
94:8,25 99:10	nation 9:5	nice 97:8 98:2	55:4 60:3 62:14,22
moved 13:20 58:18	national 1:1 2:2,7	nigc.gov 2:5,10 11:9	68:23
moving 4:16 13:19	3:12 59:2	nigc.gov. 5:21 11:8	notifying 62:15
20:3 21:12 27:4	native 36:6,12 37:8	nights 4:13	notion 23:15 66:15
35:14 54:1 59:7	natural 22:14	nj338826 1:25	november 86:25
93:1	navigate 42:21	nomination 98:6	103:15
multiple 69:19	near 32:5	99:13,21	number 13:4,25
n	nearly 92:22	nominations 12:14	22:1 25:25 29:5
n.i.g.c. 4:11 5:6 7:14	necessarily 81:23	12:15 101:25	55:6 65:8 69:21
8:7 11:8 15:25 16:9	85:6 86:1 89:24	non 19:17 37:4 40:8	73:19 81:2 101:13
16:10,21 17:7,23,24	94:11	40:9 41:2,9 43:25	101:16
20:21 26:16 27:18	necessary 41:2	48:9 52:17 97:25	numbered 38:25
29:4 32:23 33:2	59:14,17 70:12 94:5	nope 102:15	numbers 10:13
36:15 40:1,2 47:20	1 0 1 6 2 2 1 0 6 0		
+ 10. 1) 40. 1 . / 4 / : / U	need 9:16,23 10:6,9	norm 7:4,16 20:11	13:12
,	10:23 11:13 13:8	23:9 32:11 52:11	13:12 numerous 51:20
51:14 53:21 56:23	10:23 11:13 13:8 16:9,20,21 17:5,13	·	
51:14 53:21 56:23 57:5,11,16,20 59:20	10:23 11:13 13:8	23:9 32:11 52:11	numerous 51:20
51:14 53:21 56:23 57:5,11,16,20 59:20 60:5 61:16,21,24	10:23 11:13 13:8 16:9,20,21 17:5,13 17:25 31:10,11 32:25 34:18 40:14	23:9 32:11 52:11 66:11 67:4 95:18 97:3 normally 21:21	numerous 51:20 59:21
51:14 53:21 56:23 57:5,11,16,20 59:20 60:5 61:16,21,24 62:8,12,14,15,23	10:23 11:13 13:8 16:9,20,21 17:5,13 17:25 31:10,11 32:25 34:18 40:14 43:5 45:8 50:12,13	23:9 32:11 52:11 66:11 67:4 95:18 97:3 normally 21:21 norman 52:15	numerous 51:20 59:21 nw 2:3,8
51:14 53:21 56:23 57:5,11,16,20 59:20 60:5 61:16,21,24 62:8,12,14,15,23 63:6 65:25 66:23	10:23 11:13 13:8 16:9,20,21 17:5,13 17:25 31:10,11 32:25 34:18 40:14	23:9 32:11 52:11 66:11 67:4 95:18 97:3 normally 21:21	numerous 51:20 59:21 nw 2:3,8 o o'clock 38:10
51:14 53:21 56:23 57:5,11,16,20 59:20 60:5 61:16,21,24 62:8,12,14,15,23 63:6 65:25 66:23 68:22 72:17 73:24	10:23 11:13 13:8 16:9,20,21 17:5,13 17:25 31:10,11 32:25 34:18 40:14 43:5 45:8 50:12,13 50:16 51:15 52:11 56:13 58:20,25	23:9 32:11 52:11 66:11 67:4 95:18 97:3 normally 21:21 norman 52:15 northwest 74:24 nose 96:7	numerous 51:20 59:21 nw 2:3,8 o'clock 38:10 o.m.b. 29:19,22
51:14 53:21 56:23 57:5,11,16,20 59:20 60:5 61:16,21,24 62:8,12,14,15,23 63:6 65:25 66:23 68:22 72:17 73:24 74:10 78:22 84:12	10:23 11:13 13:8 16:9,20,21 17:5,13 17:25 31:10,11 32:25 34:18 40:14 43:5 45:8 50:12,13 50:16 51:15 52:11 56:13 58:20,25 59:12 64:22 65:11	23:9 32:11 52:11 66:11 67:4 95:18 97:3 normally 21:21 norman 52:15 northwest 74:24 nose 96:7 notes 90:11	numerous 51:20 59:21 nw 2:3,8 o o'clock 38:10 o.m.b. 29:19,22 o.s.h.a. 27:23
51:14 53:21 56:23 57:5,11,16,20 59:20 60:5 61:16,21,24 62:8,12,14,15,23 63:6 65:25 66:23 68:22 72:17 73:24 74:10 78:22 84:12 89:12,15,25 90:11	10:23 11:13 13:8 16:9,20,21 17:5,13 17:25 31:10,11 32:25 34:18 40:14 43:5 45:8 50:12,13 50:16 51:15 52:11 56:13 58:20,25 59:12 64:22 65:11 69:20 72:23 73:4	23:9 32:11 52:11 66:11 67:4 95:18 97:3 normally 21:21 norman 52:15 northwest 74:24 nose 96:7 notes 90:11 notice 4:22 10:21	numerous 51:20 59:21 nw 2:3,8 0 o'clock 38:10 o.m.b. 29:19,22 o.s.h.a. 27:23 object 57:4,6
51:14 53:21 56:23 57:5,11,16,20 59:20 60:5 61:16,21,24 62:8,12,14,15,23 63:6 65:25 66:23 68:22 72:17 73:24 74:10 78:22 84:12 89:12,15,25 90:11 91:8 93:6,18 94:25	10:23 11:13 13:8 16:9,20,21 17:5,13 17:25 31:10,11 32:25 34:18 40:14 43:5 45:8 50:12,13 50:16 51:15 52:11 56:13 58:20,25 59:12 64:22 65:11 69:20 72:23 73:4 74:2,4,9 75:8 80:19	23:9 32:11 52:11 66:11 67:4 95:18 97:3 normally 21:21 norman 52:15 northwest 74:24 nose 96:7 notes 90:11 notice 4:22 10:21 12:25 14:13 15:1	numerous 51:20 59:21 nw 2:3,8 o'clock 38:10 o.m.b. 29:19,22 o.s.h.a. 27:23 object 57:4,6 objecting 89:12
51:14 53:21 56:23 57:5,11,16,20 59:20 60:5 61:16,21,24 62:8,12,14,15,23 63:6 65:25 66:23 68:22 72:17 73:24 74:10 78:22 84:12 89:12,15,25 90:11 91:8 93:6,18 94:25 102:10	10:23 11:13 13:8 16:9,20,21 17:5,13 17:25 31:10,11 32:25 34:18 40:14 43:5 45:8 50:12,13 50:16 51:15 52:11 56:13 58:20,25 59:12 64:22 65:11 69:20 72:23 73:4 74:2,4,9 75:8 80:19 86:1 87:22 92:23	23:9 32:11 52:11 66:11 67:4 95:18 97:3 normally 21:21 norman 52:15 northwest 74:24 nose 96:7 notes 90:11 notice 4:22 10:21 12:25 14:13 15:1 16:3 17:7,11 19:19	numerous 51:20 59:21 nw 2:3,8 0 o'clock 38:10 o.m.b. 29:19,22 o.s.h.a. 27:23 object 57:4,6 objecting 89:12 objection 57:3,12
51:14 53:21 56:23 57:5,11,16,20 59:20 60:5 61:16,21,24 62:8,12,14,15,23 63:6 65:25 66:23 68:22 72:17 73:24 74:10 78:22 84:12 89:12,15,25 90:11 91:8 93:6,18 94:25 102:10 n.i.g.c.'s 63:1 88:24	10:23 11:13 13:8 16:9,20,21 17:5,13 17:25 31:10,11 32:25 34:18 40:14 43:5 45:8 50:12,13 50:16 51:15 52:11 56:13 58:20,25 59:12 64:22 65:11 69:20 72:23 73:4 74:2,4,9 75:8 80:19 86:1 87:22 92:23 94:11 101:22	23:9 32:11 52:11 66:11 67:4 95:18 97:3 normally 21:21 norman 52:15 northwest 74:24 nose 96:7 notes 90:11 notice 4:22 10:21 12:25 14:13 15:1 16:3 17:7,11 19:19 21:25 25:5 26:18	numerous 51:20 59:21 nw 2:3,8 o'clock 38:10 o.m.b. 29:19,22 o.s.h.a. 27:23 object 57:4,6 objecting 89:12 objection 57:3,12 observes 89:3
51:14 53:21 56:23 57:5,11,16,20 59:20 60:5 61:16,21,24 62:8,12,14,15,23 63:6 65:25 66:23 68:22 72:17 73:24 74:10 78:22 84:12 89:12,15,25 90:11 91:8 93:6,18 94:25 102:10 n.i.g.c.'s 63:1 88:24 n.i.g.c 4:17 9:7	10:23 11:13 13:8 16:9,20,21 17:5,13 17:25 31:10,11 32:25 34:18 40:14 43:5 45:8 50:12,13 50:16 51:15 52:11 56:13 58:20,25 59:12 64:22 65:11 69:20 72:23 73:4 74:2,4,9 75:8 80:19 86:1 87:22 92:23 94:11 101:22 needed 40:18 58:15	23:9 32:11 52:11 66:11 67:4 95:18 97:3 normally 21:21 norman 52:15 northwest 74:24 nose 96:7 notes 90:11 notice 4:22 10:21 12:25 14:13 15:1 16:3 17:7,11 19:19 21:25 25:5 26:18 29:3 31:12 32:6	numerous 51:20 59:21 nw 2:3,8 o o'clock 38:10 o.m.b. 29:19,22 o.s.h.a. 27:23 object 57:4,6 objecting 89:12 objection 57:3,12 observes 89:3 obsolete 15:12 26:19
51:14 53:21 56:23 57:5,11,16,20 59:20 60:5 61:16,21,24 62:8,12,14,15,23 63:6 65:25 66:23 68:22 72:17 73:24 74:10 78:22 84:12 89:12,15,25 90:11 91:8 93:6,18 94:25 102:10 n.i.g.c.'s 63:1 88:24 n.i.g.c 4:17 9:7 18:2	10:23 11:13 13:8 16:9,20,21 17:5,13 17:25 31:10,11 32:25 34:18 40:14 43:5 45:8 50:12,13 50:16 51:15 52:11 56:13 58:20,25 59:12 64:22 65:11 69:20 72:23 73:4 74:2,4,9 75:8 80:19 86:1 87:22 92:23 94:11 101:22 needed 40:18 58:15 58:17 73:23 75:21	23:9 32:11 52:11 66:11 67:4 95:18 97:3 normally 21:21 norman 52:15 northwest 74:24 nose 96:7 notes 90:11 notice 4:22 10:21 12:25 14:13 15:1 16:3 17:7,11 19:19 21:25 25:5 26:18 29:3 31:12 32:6 34:16 39:10,12,14	numerous 51:20 59:21 nw 2:3,8 o o'clock 38:10 o.m.b. 29:19,22 o.s.h.a. 27:23 object 57:4,6 objecting 89:12 objection 57:3,12 observes 89:3 obsolete 15:12 26:19 obtain 55:21 88:16
51:14 53:21 56:23 57:5,11,16,20 59:20 60:5 61:16,21,24 62:8,12,14,15,23 63:6 65:25 66:23 68:22 72:17 73:24 74:10 78:22 84:12 89:12,15,25 90:11 91:8 93:6,18 94:25 102:10 n.i.g.c.'s 63:1 88:24 n.i.g.c 4:17 9:7	10:23 11:13 13:8 16:9,20,21 17:5,13 17:25 31:10,11 32:25 34:18 40:14 43:5 45:8 50:12,13 50:16 51:15 52:11 56:13 58:20,25 59:12 64:22 65:11 69:20 72:23 73:4 74:2,4,9 75:8 80:19 86:1 87:22 92:23 94:11 101:22 needed 40:18 58:15	23:9 32:11 52:11 66:11 67:4 95:18 97:3 normally 21:21 norman 52:15 northwest 74:24 nose 96:7 notes 90:11 notice 4:22 10:21 12:25 14:13 15:1 16:3 17:7,11 19:19 21:25 25:5 26:18 29:3 31:12 32:6	numerous 51:20 59:21 nw 2:3,8 o o'clock 38:10 o.m.b. 29:19,22 o.s.h.a. 27:23 object 57:4,6 objecting 89:12 objection 57:3,12 observes 89:3 obsolete 15:12 26:19

obviously 26:23	operate 83:1 85:8	orosco 7:7	part 12:7,22,22,23
36:24 72:9 86:17	97:16	outcome 103:11	15:14,15 16:19
occlusion 65:12	operating 82:15	outing 85:19	19:10 26:8,11 27:2
occur 60:18	83:9	outline 39:16	27:3,4 34:13 38:22
occurred 69:1	operation 8:4,16	outside 18:24 31:16	39:4 42:5 43:17
occurs 92:6	17:2 64:20 72:25	62:25 73:22 83:6	44:18,19 45:7 46:2
october 12:11,11	73:1,11 74:20 76:1	87:3	46:13 47:9 52:5,8
29:5 71:20	76:2 82:22 83:25	outweighs 68:5	54:5,6,10,23,24
odd 72:25	90:19 91:16 92:15	overhaul 51:12	55:24 56:8 58:9,23
office 5:9,12 12:15	99:23	overloaded 97:16	60:17,19 68:8 69:13
12:16 29:9,11 44:2	operation's 13:6	overlooked 70:20	69:17 70:19 71:21
44:7 53:4 58:14	operations 22:10	overpaying 20:19	76:24,25 79:17,24
76:11,15 78:12	69:19 72:8 75:3	overreach 33:2	80:14 81:11 95:25
official 46:14,18	77:19,20 82:18 85:7	93:21	98:11
55:3 76:9 78:2	opinion 16:9,14	overreaching 32:10	parte 43:15
85:19	34:23 78:5 89:15	94:18 95:11	participant 45:15
official's 46:20	90:1,10,13	oversight 40:17	participate 9:21
officials 10:5 54:4	opinions 43:19 71:2	overwhelming	participating 62:6
61:25 62:9	88:15 92:21 95:7	70:12	particular 20:13
oftentimes 81:1	98:15 100:12,21	overwhelmingly	29:10 39:1,25 44:3
ogas 7:9 79:1,1,16	opportunity 8:15	70:24,25 71:7 72:6	45:5,22 51:4 55:14
79:21 86:7,7	39:13 40:21 56:23	owing 93:9	57:17 64:7 71:3,5
oh 24:22 56:10 92:2	75:15 76:18 95:22	owl 7:10	78:22 83:3
okay 3:21 9:3 27:4	opposed 13:1 23:15	owned 58:17	particularly 10:3
35:14 36:2 38:11,12	opposes 63:4	р	19:4 31:25 62:2
38:22 40:13 47:8	option 20:14 23:16	p 39:18	82:19
54:8 60:12 71:14	options 25:7	p.m. 18:19 102:21	parties 90:8,22
74:2 79:21 86:12	order 10:2 15:13	pablo 6:21	parts 15:12 31:25
88:13 102:2	37:7 42:15 100:17	packet 11:2,3 12:2	34:14 38:17,25 39:2
oklahoma 9:5	102:9	28:3 39:6 43:6	43:9,11 44:22 46:1
old 16:19 72:11	orders 46:3	54:10	52:2,3 54:3 60:13
older 63:12	ordinance 27:1	page 11:12 21:4	61:17 69:11 84:3
olgas 97:3	42:13 44:25 57:23	34:20 53:12 58:9	party 60:6 90:9
once 4:15 18:11	57:24 58:1 63:7	paid 23:21 62:7	103:10
29:20 57:13 69:25	72:18 73:19,20,23	75:24 90:7,16,21	paternalistic 32:17
99:15	74:1,10	91:1,2,13,13,14,14	pawnee 9:5
onerous 86:9	ordinances 26:12,14	94:22	pay 14:19 23:20,21
ones 4:1 80:24	26:24 27:1 44:20	palms 7:3,4	23:22 24:10,23,24
000 1:6 3:3	57:22 63:20 84:11	paoli 6:15	49:12 93:8,15,17
open 3:17 9:22	84:14	papers 74:21	paying 23:20 83:5
16:22 17:15 18:24	organization 6:2	paperwork 46:25	paylin 32:24
31:18 49:10 81:6	18:16 22:9	parameters 63:1	payment 14:3,9,20
85:8	organizations 33:22	parking 14:19	payments 13:19,21
opening 19:4	originally 7:19		13:21,23 14:12,23
			19:16 21:21,25

-			
92:13	pining 92:6	48:17,17 81:9,9	priority 10:14 26:20
peace 23:11 60:10	piper 93:15	power 8:21 12:2	85:20
pechanga 6:10	place 36:13 43:1	24:13 35:8 38:20	private 80:12 84:20
penal 24:16,16	45:9 83:22 103:6	43:7 78:20 79:23	probably 25:7 36:13
penalty 24:6 25:19	placed 42:18	powers 78:15,19,22	61:1 80:4 84:2 92:1
pending 41:20	planned 71:20	practice 44:7 56:3	101:7 102:5
people 11:24 23:19	playing 51:2	pray 4:5	problem 52:18 86:2
66:16 73:13 75:7	please 9:12 18:15,20	prayer 4:8	91:22,23,24,25
93:5 96:20,22	20:4 72:7 78:5	pre 39:19 49:18	95:11
100:10	84:24 100:21	50:21,22	problematic 82:13
pequot 7:21	pleased 32:8	preamble 50:10	problems 21:20
percentages 87:8,9	pleasure 67:16	51:3	67:15 68:3
perception 82:4	plus 85:24	preceded 88:25	procedure 14:5
perform 8:9	podged 42:18	preclusion 59:19	procedures 54:23
period 30:1,1 31:6	point 8:21 12:2	preliminary 10:20	54:25 55:1,25 60:18
78:24 82:15,23 83:2	22:20 25:14 32:19	11:1,4 13:10,13,14	68:11 85:7
periods 14:4 34:22	33:4 35:8 38:3,20	21:13 32:8 33:3	proceeding 42:11
permanent 63:10	43:7 57:11 58:3	39:11 61:12,17 63:9	50:22
permanently 17:9	59:13 66:10 67:3	91:8	proceedings 1:11
perpetuates 63:2	79:23 80:18 83:21	prepared 33:25	3:5 11:21 38:18,24
persist 67:15	83:24 87:19 92:11	prepay 13:25 14:1	42:9,17,25 43:10,12
person 13:17 57:4	93:2 94:4 96:11	prepaying 14:2	43:18 51:10 57:15
64:4,18 82:4	97:20 100:6	presence 31:24	60:19 103:5,10
personal 29:2	pointed 51:11 60:23	present 46:9 70:10	process 8:14,23
personally 53:13	66:20,20 85:23	presentation 8:21	10:11 11:20 12:12
perspective 37:20	points 21:22 32:25	13:1	15:5,21,21 18:5
pertain 19:4	34:24 82:11	presented 45:20	19:13,17 22:24 25:1
petition 76:15 84:20	policy 36:5 37:14,23	presenting 46:17	25:19,23 26:1,2
petitions 76:8	38:1 47:18	presiding 46:14,18	27:17 28:10 33:16
ph 2:5,9	politically 22:4	press 9:25	39:17,19,24 40:16
phase 10:20,22,24	portion 28:1	pretty 53:1 74:24	41:1,1,7,12 43:18
phases 10:19	portions 89:4,8	77:18	44:16,17 45:10,13
phil 32:19	position 32:15	prevention 47:25,25	46:13 47:13,14,16
phillip 7:7	possible 16:11,13	previous 23:14,25	47:22 49:19 50:3
phillips 5:9	17:13,25 83:20	33:17 34:13 48:15	51:9,16,18,21 56:25
picayune 3:14,16	post 75:19	61:10	57:15 58:13,19
6:5,11 84:7	posted 89:15	previously 44:11	61:24 63:21 68:19
pick 30:22	potential 17:20	46:2	68:22 69:16,20,20
picking 101:10,11	44:19	primary 8:4 9:7	70:18 76:8,24,25
piggy 37:11	potentially 12:24	55:3 60:15 61:25	79:4 82:1 83:3 87:2
pilot 54:11,13,17	25:8 32:22 36:11	62:8 77:17 78:2	95:20,21,25 96:14
56:3,8 60:24,25	40:6	87:12 89:7 92:14	96:15 97:21 98:9,19
61:5 63:10,11,18	poust 7:1,16 28:21	prior 7:20,23 26:15	98:19 100:25
80:8,14	28:21 29:6 30:14	32:9,10,14 33:4	processes 24:16
	31:20 37:10,10	40:23	39:22 74:15

			_
processing 15:4	provides 40:14	28:22 39:18 65:21	reason 11:17 32:4
19:17 25:22 62:5	47:15 54:24 58:11	67:21	35:5,9 44:24 50:20
procure 36:6	68:22 83:23 90:4	quick 3:24 28:22	67:21 86:8
procurement 18:4	providing 63:22	57:2	reasonable 17:16
produces 99:18	79:17	quickly 10:21 16:11	34:25 35:1,4 59:6
product 98:23 99:9	provision 42:23	16:14 54:17	80:22
program 54:11,13	43:23 58:1 62:11	quit 96:20,21	reasons 40:23 52:7
54:17 56:3,8 58:8	93:14	quite 20:25 91:21,22	64:16 65:8
60:24,25 61:5 63:10	public 8:13 9:22,25	95:22 102:1	recall 30:18 33:16
63:11,18 80:14	18:24 22:5 27:13,19	quote 63:10 90:21	receipt 56:22 76:14
project 80:8	28:7 84:10 85:11	90:23	receive 22:7 33:9,10
projects 94:14	publicly 22:5	r	59:25 65:8 91:5
promulgate 87:6	publish 29:21,24	raise 25:14 83:21	received 28:15
89:25	84:13,14	raised 23:24 34:14	33:21 39:17 42:7
promulgated 99:2	published 13:9,10	39:14 48:14 69:18	44:21 50:8 59:23
promulgating 27:18	15:7		77:22,23 81:3 87:5
proper 90:5	publishing 28:23	raising 92:10 ranch 6:11,17,18,25	87:6 90:13 91:15
properly 3:17 63:7	29:6 84:17	rancheria 3:15 6:5	receives 74:18 91:17
89:11 90:17	pulled 58:3 70:21	6:20,22 7:9 79:1	receiving 77:14
propose 15:13	punitive 14:14	84:8	recess 38:13 102:7
proposed 10:22	47:19	ranged 87:15	recipient 92:8
11:16 16:3 17:11,19	purgatory 60:1	rate 13:8,10,13,14	recognized 89:1
18:8 28:24 29:3	purpose 19:12 26:13	15:11 21:13	recommendation
35:8,24 62:22 69:4	27:9 47:11 60:21	raymond 6:16	46:19
97:12	68:10 83:18	reach 18:19 98:16	recommended 42:1
proposes 90:24 91:8	purposes 19:25	read 12:1 23:13	reconsider 79:14
proposing 15:14	pursuant 91:3	33:11 34:1 61:14	reconsideration
36:3 52:3	put 9:23 14:3 15:10	66:13 70:11 88:20	43:24 44:6,8,17
proprietary 71:22	21:9 31:13 32:15,21	90:21	79:7
86:17,23 87:2,10,16	33:19 35:2 40:16		record 9:17 31:23
95:9	42:25 50:24 64:23	reading 5:3 reads 55:9	34:4 63:14 73:17
propriety 85:5	68:13		records 49:16 59:9
86:25 87:11 88:1,6	putting 66:2 82:18	ready 64:19 99:2	recreating 55:22
88:13 89:2 90:18	97:6	real 80:1 95:10	red 7:10
91:19 92:3,18 95:4	q	realized 58:19	reduce 58:25 72:15
protected 8:9		really 23:18 33:15	73:8
protects 17:3	qualified 36:12	37:24 44:2 48:18,22	reduced 73:7
proud 4:15	quarterly 13:19,20	48:23,25 49:15	reenforcement
provide 4:12 35:22	13:23 14:2 20:12	50:23 53:4 59:12	39:17
56:4,5 57:19 64:9	21:21	66:21 70:8,9,15	reevaluating 81:11
64:13 67:24 68:6	question 23:24	71:8 72:23 73:3,10	reference 75:5
87:2,14	30:14 34:16 45:16	82:9 83:11 85:20	76:23
provided 60:10	45:24 48:25 64:1	96:11,25 98:21	referred 54:11
70:10 87:7 90:8,9	questions 23:17	99:20,24 100:20,20	referring 30:16
90:14,17,22 94:24	25:13 26:5,7 28:16	100:23,23	
, , -			

[refine - reviewed] Page 18

8 10 5 11 0	50.05.51.15.50.0		1 1 11 12
refine 42:6 44:3	50:25 51:17 52:8	repeated 45:5	researched 44:12
refining 70:24	56:2 60:22 73:1,18	repeatedly 17:17	resendez 1:20 103:3
reflect 47:19	73:20,22,25 74:11	39:8 64:2	103:18
reg 13:1 77:16 78:13	74:15 84:10,18 86:2	repercussions 22:4	reserve 49:24
regard 37:21 62:20	89:12,13,25 90:5	repetitive 44:23	reserves 22:21 24:4
regarding 20:9 21:8	regulators 8:4 73:15	45:4	resolutions 16:23
21:12 25:18 34:13	regulatory 1:2 3:13	replaces 39:18	26:12,15
48:13 51:7 69:3,17	4:22 8:8,14 9:8	report 55:15 76:16	resolve 98:16
69:24 90:2 102:12	10:11 33:1 47:19	reporter 103:4	resort 7:22
regards 5:2 11:24	60:4 73:2,10,15	reporting 77:1,6	resources 10:16
51:21 81:15	74:16 75:3,6,9,11	reports 54:20 65:10	62:4 70:13 71:13
region 5:10 56:25	75:13,13,15 77:25	77:4,9 79:25	respected 33:2
102:10	78:4 81:2,16 82:19	representation	respects 8:3
regional 5:7 7:13	83:8,13 88:25	101:15	respond 16:6 40:19
regions 57:1,2	reiterate 17:21	representatives	40:21 41:19,22,23
register 96:17 97:13	35:17	18:24	76:19
regs 12:22 15:25	related 74:21	representing 6:3,6	responding 41:25
16:25 24:14 29:16	103:10	9:17	response 32:20
74:10	relating 25:19	represents 33:3	70:24 88:24
regulated 59:3 80:7	relations 22:5	99:22	responsibility 4:4
regulating 72:9 73:4	relationship 90:7	reputation 74:7	8:5 9:7
75:25 77:10	relationships 8:3	request 16:4,6 57:7	restrict 62:3,7
regulation 12:24,24	release 66:8	76:20 79:7 87:3,8	restrictions 19:3
13:17 15:10,12,16	released 15:19	requests 88:3	result 62:18 95:14
16:25 17:19,23 18:6	reliability 67:18	require 36:5 41:14	results 54:18,20
19:13 26:14 27:9,15	relocating 36:10,11	75:10	55:4,17 56:4,22
27:17,19 28:19	remains 8:13	required 17:11 28:8	57:20 65:14
30:19 31:3 36:5	remarks 5:15 19:4	37:2 75:14 90:5	resume 77:13 78:3
37:14,22 38:23 39:5	36:9	requirement 14:1	80:2,3,12,20,23,24
42:19,22 47:10,11	remember 64:17	15:25 16:18 27:21	81:4 88:6
47:24 49:15 51:4,8	70:22 96:6	28:11 30:15 31:4,5	resumes 80:15
54:14 55:9 61:2,6	removals 79:5,6	36:4,14 56:9 62:22	retains 78:18
68:11 71:4,13,21	remove 13:15	77:6 78:7 80:5	revenue 89:5,8,19
72:5,9,11,14 73:2	removed 16:18	87:10 92:3	90:7,21 93:17
74:4 75:4 76:8,10	renew 30:19 31:6,7	requirements 17:6	revenues 21:3 71:7
76:11,15,22 77:3,15	renewal 16:18 30:15	27:22 43:14 58:25	71:8 83:5
78:16,24 80:15	renewals 15:16 27:5	64:12 73:7 76:18	review 1:2 3:13 4:23
81:17 84:6 85:10	renewed 16:20	77:1 81:14,19 82:2	8:14 9:8 10:11,16
87:1,7,20 88:5,9	28:11	82:15	13:12 15:22 16:4
90:19 91:9 99:6	reopen 31:13 101:6	requires 13:23	26:11 28:10 33:11
regulations 8:11,15	reopened 68:25	16:25 28:1 30:19	45:15 47:16 61:18
10:9,10,12,17 11:11	repeal 15:14	62:14 89:18	68:21 72:5 76:8
12:18 17:20 24:13	repealed 26:20	requiring 16:22	82:1 87:3,7 88:25
26:19 32:21 37:19	repealing 26:23	17:4 28:5 62:11	reviewed 11:15
38:24 43:3,7 44:3,9	. 6		12:16 34:1

[reviewing - site] Page 19

reviewing 5:2 16:1	100:4,7	90:20	series 10:1
21:25	run 8:21	section 10:3 11:10	serious 48:3
revised 27:16		14:8,18,21 24:14,16	seriously 22:11
revision 62:10	S	25:22 30:16 42:22	served 7:24
revoked 66:17	s.w.a.t 35:13	43:9 45:2,5,6 52:19	service 43:14 53:9
rhyme 35:9	sabella 78:12	53:9,14,22 59:8	services 17:25 36:7
ridiculous 93:8	sacramento 5:7,8	64:7 71:16	37:18 90:14,16,22
right 7:12 20:22	102:10	sections 14:8 47:3	94:23
21:4 22:21 24:4	safe 74:7	100:16	session 3:14 15:3
26:17 27:4 29:1,21	safely 3:25	see 3:23 7:14 11:1	86:15
33:6 37:15 38:14	safer 102:19	11:23 15:23 16:2	set 13:13 19:13
49:24 50:19 53:20	safety 6:22 17:3,6	22:1 34:10 35:12,13	47:11 49:14 54:19
	27:13,19 28:7 29:17	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
54:1 63:13,16 65:24	san 6:9 52:15	39:1 44:13 45:1,4	63:1 75:15 83:22
69:6 76:13 79:8	sandy 6:20,25 65:20	50:12 51:1 53:6	84:9 103:6
86:5,9 100:5 101:16	85:3	64:4 78:25 80:5	setting 82:3 100:3,7
102:8,19	saturday 71:24,25	95:1 97:11	101:16
rights 51:17 57:14 rincon 6:7,8 31:22	save 37:5	seeing 20:16 101:24 seek 73:24	severely 62:3 shannon 6:11
,	sawed 91:1		
32:2 61:8 63:3	saying 11:24 40:5	seeking 55:2 seeks 61:23	shared 91:20
88:20 89:1,3 90:11	40:22 56:10 60:9		sharing 63:24
90:14,24	72:13 87:4 92:21	seen 13:3 15:18 30:3	shift 72:24
rincon's 64:1	96:20,22	48:21 50:7 71:23	short 17:17 29:23
rise 23:17	says 10:3 16:3 40:13	87:17,20	67:22 98:23
risk 82:23	53:12 57:16 71:25	sees 24:6	shorthand 103:4,7
road 55:20 83:23	77:11,15 78:14	self 32:19 63:7	shortly 5:15
roberts 6:9	scaling 81:13,18	71:21,22 72:5,8	shut 97:18
roles 8:9	82:2	74:4 76:10,11,21	sick 22:13 96:20
roll 30:12	scanner 56:20	77:3,10,15 78:16,23	side 73:2
room 5:23 43:25	scattered 43:2	80:7,14 81:17 84:6	sides 46:16
93:5	schedule 100:15	87:16 95:9	significance 93:6
rosiers 7:16 20:11	scheduled 18:18	semi 13:21	significant 22:3
20:12 23:10 24:15	38:9 101:4,4	send 5:2 16:23	86:22
24:20,22 25:6 34:4	schlanski 5:8 7:13	24:11 29:13,22	signs 76:11
34:7,16 52:13,15	scott 6:7 31:22 33:6	31:11 35:13 53:5	silence 31:25 33:8
66:12 69:8 79:24	34:4 37:12 61:6,7	54:20 55:17 66:7	silent 62:15
95:19	66:13,20 88:18,21	74:1 93:12	similar 14:10 61:10
ross 6:6	93:1 94:4	sending 17:17 57:24	simple 14:15
route 50:20	scotts 34:9	65:25 100:15	simply 16:3 44:24
routes 46:7 47:1	searching 97:13	sense 42:24 51:24	55:16 61:14 67:11
rule 10:22,24 11:16	seasonal 17:12	sent 16:20 17:7,12	87:3 94:24
13:1 15:13 16:3	31:17	39:25 72:16 93:19	sir 84:6
28:24 29:3 35:8	seat 3:10	separately 41:2	sit 16:11 18:12 19:5
89:7 95:5 99:2	second 15:3 18:14	september 1:3,14	site 11:8,9,22 44:12
rules 10:23 43:11,13	32:16 62:10 90:12	3:2 14:4	47:4 59:9 77:23
47:12 75:19 97:12			84:12 89:16 96:6

[site - sure] Page 20

97:16,17,18 situating 97:17 situations 48:21 91:20 93:11 six 10:25 31:14,15 35:10,10 98:24,24 98:25 size 77:20 99:23 skeptical 89:13 stagley 7:5 sledge 93:14 sliced 89:5 22:3 30:13 36:6 slide 30:15 slow 100:21 slowed 96:24 99:6 small 79:17 smaller 81:3 98:25 smith 16:16 sole 86:17,23,24 s72:21,125 88:6,13 89:2 91:18 92:3,18 95:4 72:20 28:7 49:23 somebody 59:3 somebody 59:3 somewhat 54:21 solve 92:23 somebody 59:3 somewhat 54:21 99:14 83:2 46:14 99:21 statement 48:8 61:9 96:14 101:9 11	[Site - Sure]			1 age 20
situations 48:21 spell 49:15 51:15 stay 34:11 66:14,79:25 80:1 91:20 93:11 spell 67:77 stelfani 4:19,24 66:14 79:25 80:1 66:14 79:25 80:1 35:10,10 98:24,24 spending 71:12 stelfani 4:19,24 stella 6:10 84:23 98:6 35:20,10 98:24,24 spending 70:14 stelfani 4:19,24 stella 6:10 84:23 98:6 skeptical 89:13 stability 87:15 stepped 93:18 stepped 93:18 stepped 93:18 submitting 14:2,15 32:2 52:23 64:12 56:10,11 93:11 32:2 52:23 64:12 56:10,11 93:11 32:2 52:23 64:12 56:10,11 93:11 32:2 52:23 64:12 57:10 stepped 93:18 stepped 93:18 stepped 93:18 stepped 93:18 stepped 93:18 stevens 4:18 9:6 subscribed 103:12 stevens 4:18 9:6 subscribed 103:12 stevens 4:18 9:6 subscribed 103:12 stevens 4:18 9:6 s	97:16,17,18	specifies 48:10	74:8 78:17 80:21	submitted 21:1
91:20 93:11 spells 68:11 spells 68:11 spend 37:7 stelfani 4:19,24 stelfani 6:10 submitting 14:12,15 32:2 52:23 64:12 stepped 93:18 stepped 93:18 stepped 93:18 stepped 93:18 stepped 93:18 stelfani 4:19,24 stelfani 4:19,24 stelfani 4:19,24 stelfani 4:19,24 stelfani 4:19,24 stelfani 4:19,24 stelfani 4:19,23 stelfani 4:19,24 stelfani 4:19,24 stelfani 4:19,24 stelfani 4:19,24 stelfani 4:19,24 stelfani 4:19,24 stelfani 6:10 stelfani 4:19,23 stelfani 4:19,24 stelfani 4:19,24 stelfani 4:19,24 stelfani 4:19,23 stelfani 4:19,24 stelfani 6:10 stelfani 4:19,23 stelfani 4:19,24 stelfani 4:19,24 stelfani 4:19,23 stelfani 4:19,24 stelfani 4:19,23 stelfani 4:19,24 stelfani 4:19,24 stelfani 4:19,24 stelfani 6:10 stelfani 4:19,23 stelfani 4:19,24 stelfani 4:19,24 stelfani 4:19,23 stelfani 4:19,23 stelfani 4:19,23 stelfani 4:19,23 stelfani 4:19,23 stelfani 4:19,24 stelfani 4:19,23 stelfani 4:19,23 stelfani 4:19,24 stelfani 4:19,23 stelfani 4:19,23 stelfani 4:19,23 stelfani 4:19,23 stelfani 4:19,23 stelfani 4:19,24 stelfani 4:19,23 stelfani 4:19,23 stelfani 4:19,23 stelfani 4:19,23 stelfani 4:19,23 stelfani 4:19,23 stelfani 4:19,24 stelfani 4:19,23	situating 97:17	69:13	81:7	22:15 32:3,7 52:14
six 10:25 31:14,15 spend 37:7 stella 6:10 84:23 98:6 35:10,10 98:24,24 spending 71:12 step 5:19 42:19 submitting 14:12,15 98:25 spond 35:2 step 5:19 42:19 submitting 14:12,15 size 77:20 99:23 stability 87:15 stepped 93:18 stpped 93:18 stpped 93:18 sledge 93:14 staff 5:6 17:14 19:23 stevens 4:18 9:6 subscribed 103:12 subscribed 103:12 slowd 89:5 22:3 30:13 36:6 stop 47:21 94:6.10 stop 47:21 94:6.10 substance 27:16 slow 100:21 49:20 50:3 96:19 stopped 91:24 stories 64:23 substance 27:16 small 79:17 standard 45:15 49:14 67:9 92:7 straight 43:4 46:12 stopped 91:24 stopped 91:2	situations 48:21	spell 49:15 51:15	stay 34:11	61:11,11 65:7 66:13
35:10,10 98:24,24 spinning 70:14 56:10,11 93:11 32:25:23 64:12	91:20 93:11	spells 68:11	steffani 4:19,24	66:14 79:25 80:1
98:25 spinning 70:14 56:10,11 93:11 32:2 52:23 64:12 skeptical 89:13 stability 87:15 stepped 93:18 67:10 skeptical 89:13 stability 87:15 stepped 93:18 stpped 93:11 stpped 93:12 subscaption 19:20 93:12 substantial 78:20 78:14 93:20 50:39:19 95:14 93:19 93:14 93:19 93:14 93:14 93:21 93:14 93:14 93:21 93:14 93:24 93:24 93:14 93:24 93:24 93:14 93:24 93:24 93:24 93:14 93:24 93:14 9	six 10:25 31:14,15	spend 37:7	stella 6:10	84:23 98:6
size 77:20 99:23 spot 35:2 stepped 93:18 67:10 skeptical 89:13 stability 87:15 steps 47:24 52:4 subpoena 59:12 sledge 93:14 staff 5:6 17:14 19:23 stevens 4:18 9:6 subscribed 103:12 slowed 99:5 22:3 30:13 36:6 stickler 33:11 stoped 91:24 subscquent 19:20 slow of 100:21 40:1,2 48:8 49:19 stopped 91:24 substance 27:14 slow of 100:21 49:20 50:3 96:19 stopped 91:24 substance 27:14 slow of 100:21 store 64:24 9:6 stapped 91:24 substance 27:14 smith 16:16 57:16 101:2 store 64:23 success 91:6 substantial 78:6 smith 16:16 49:14 67:9 92:7 straight 43:4 46:12 success 91:6 suscess 91:6 suscess 91:6 suscess 91:6 suscess 91:6 suscess 91:6 suscess 91:6	35:10,10 98:24,24	spending 71:12	step 5:19 42:19	submitting 14:12,15
skeptical 89:13 stability 87:15 stable 76:3 steps 47:24 52:4 subpoena 59:12 sledge 93:14 staff 5:6 17:14 19:23 stevens 4:18 9:6 stickle 33:11 subscribed 103:12 slide 30:15 40:1,2 48:8 49:19 stopped 97:21 94:6,10 substance 27:14 slow 100:21 49:20 50:3 96:19 stopped 91:24 substantial 78:6 small 79:17 stamp 33:19 straight 43:4 46:12 success 91:6 smith 16:16 49:14 67:9 92:7 straight 43:4 46:12 success 91:16 sele 86:17,23,24 standards 8:13 10:5 55:11 69:16 68:25 success 91:10 solve 92:23 start 5:25 8:25 20:9 streel 2:3,8 streel 38:7 suggested 59:5 somebody 59:3 started 38:15 58:13 80:13 structure 88:75:16 80:13	98:25	spinning 70:14	56:10,11 93:11	32:2 52:23 64:12
stagley 7:5 stable 76:3 stevens 4:18 9:6 subscribed 103:12 sledge 93:14 staff 5:6 17:14 19:23 stevens 4:18 9:6 subscribed 103:12 slide 30:15 40:1,2 48:8 49:19 stop 47:21 94:6,10 substance 27:16 slowd 96:24 99:6 small 79:17 stamp 33:19 straight 43:4 46:12 success 91:6 smilt 16:16 49:14 67:9 92:7 standard 45:15 49:14 67:9 92:7 streamline 54:21 suden 39:16 sole 86:17,23,24 87:2,11,25 88:6,13 27:20 28:7 49:23 streemline 54:21 suden 39:11 solve 92:23 start 5:25 8:25 20:9 strides 36:19 suggest 82:9 somebody 59:3 start 5:25 8:25 20:9 structure 8:8 75:16 80:13 sorr 24:5 46:14 91:0 38:15 58:13 74:7,12,13 80:10 suggestion 5:1 sorry 24:5 36:6	size 77:20 99:23	spot 35:2	stepped 93:18	67:10
sledge 93:14 staff 5:6 17:14 19:23 stickler 33:11 subsequent 19:20 slide 39:5 40:1,2 48:8 49:19 stoped 47:21 94:6,10 substance 27:14 slow 100:21 49:20 50:3 96:19 stories 64:23 success 91:6 small 79:17 stamp 33:19 straight 43:4 46:12 success 91:6 smith 16:16 49:14 67:9 92:7 standard 45:15 46:22 48:21 74:5 sudden 39:11 sole 86:17,23,24 49:14 67:9 92:7 stradmard 81:3 10:5 5treamline 54:21 sudden 39:11 sole 86:17,23,24 49:14 67:9 92:7 streamline 54:21 55:11 69:16 68:25 sole 86:17,23,24 49:24 57:49:23 52:25 82:5 20:9 streams 94:10 streams 94:10 suggest 82:9 somebody 59:3 28:18 48:12 54:2 52:18 58:13 structure 8:87:16 80:24 sort 14:9,16,18 35:6 <t< td=""><td>skeptical 89:13</td><td>stability 87:15</td><td>steps 47:24 52:4</td><td>_</td></t<>	skeptical 89:13	stability 87:15	steps 47:24 52:4	_
sliced 89:5 22:3 30:13 36:6 sticky 57:9 27:14 slow 100:21 40:1,2 48:8 49:19 stop 47:21 94:6,10 substance 27:16 slowed 96:24 99:6 97:16 101:2 stories 64:23 success 91:6 small 79:17 stamp 33:19 straight 43:4 46:12 successful 91:6,16 smith 16:16 49:14 67:9 92:7 standard 45:15 46:22 48:21 74:5 sudden 39:11 sole 86:17,23,24 49:14 67:9 92:7 standards 8:13 10:5 55:11 69:16 68:25 89:2 91:18 92:3,18 27:20 28:7 49:23 streamline 54:21 sufficient 27:24,25 sole 86:17,23,24 57:22 98:10 street 23:8 streamline 54:21 sufficient 27:24,25 sole 92:23 start 52:25 8:25 20:9 stred 23:8 stred 23:8 stred 23:8 structure 8:8 7:16 80:13 suggestion 22:25 58:14 66:3 <th>_ ·</th> <th>stable 76:3</th> <th></th> <th>subscribed 103:12</th>	_ ·	stable 76:3		subscribed 103:12
slide 30:15 40:1,2 48:8 49:19 stop 47:21 94:6,10 substance 27:16 slow 100:21 49:20 50:3 96:19 stopped 91:24 substantial 78:6 small 79:17 stamp 33:19 straight 43:4 46:12 success 91:6 smith 16:16 49:14 67:9 92:7 stradard 45:15 46:22 48:21 74:5 sudden 39:11 sole 86:17,23,24 standards 8:13 10:5 10:6 12:6,6,8 13:16 streamline 54:21 sufficient 27:24,25 sole 86:17,23,24 standards 8:13 10:5 55:11 69:16 68:25 suggest 82:9 solve 92:23 start 5:25 8:25 20:9 streams 94:10 suggest 80:24 somebody 59:3 started 38 5:5 9:9 49:13 88:17 structure 8:8 7:16 80:13 sorry 24:5 34:10 96:14 101:9 stateing 99:21 statif 44:222,24,25 43:4,16 38:18 39:2 53:25 81:7 83:17 <	0			_
slow 100:21 49:20 50:3 96:19 stopped 91:24 substantial 78:6 snall 79:17 stamp 33:19 straight 43:4 46:12 success 91:6 smith 16:16 49:14 67:9 92:7 straight 43:4 46:12 successful 91:6,16 sole 86:17,23,24 standards 8:13 10:5 streamline 54:21 sufficient 27:24,25 89:2 91:18 92:3,18 10:6 12:6,6,8 13:16 streams 94:10 suggest 82:9 95:4 72:22 98:10 strides 36:19 suggesting 59:5 sone 92:23 start 5:25 8:25 20:9 strides 36:19 suggesting 25:6 somewhat 54:21 60:13 88:17 sturgle 67:7 suggesting 25:6 sorry 24:5 34:10 starting 99:21 stuff 44:152:25 58:14 66:3 39:22 40:17 41:21 state 7:24 8:6 18:15 22:22,24,25 34:46 suitability 67:13,22 sort 14:19;16,18				
slowed 96:24 99:6 97:16 101:2 stories 64:23 success 91:6 small 79:17 stamp 33:19 straight 43:4 46:12 successful 91:6,16 smith 16:16 49:14 67:9 92:7 streamline 54:21 sudden 39:11 sole 86:17,23,24 standards 8:13 10:5 55:11 69:16 68:25 89:2 91:18 92:3,18 27:20 28:7 49:23 streamline 54:21 sufficient 27:24,25 solve 92:3,18 27:20 28:7 49:23 street 2:3,8 suggested 59:5 solve 92:23 start 5:25 8:25 20:9 strides 36:19 suggesting 25:6 somewhat 54:21 60:13 88:17 structure 8:8 75:16 suggesting 25:6 sorry 24:5 34:10 starting 99:21 stuff 44:1 52:25 58:14 66:3 39:22 40:17 41:21 state 7:24 8:6 18:15 42:22,24,25 43:4,16 suitability 67:13,22 sort 14:9,16,18 35:6		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_	
small 79:17 stamp 33:19 straight 43:4 46:12 successful 91:6,16 smaller 81:3 98:25 standard 45:15 49:14 67:9 92:7 streamline 54:21 sudden 39:11 sole 86:17,23,24 standards 8:13 10:5 55:11 69:16 68:25 87:2,11,25 88:6,13 10:6 12:6,6,8 13:16 streams 94:10 suggest 82:9 89:2 91:18 92:3,18 72:22 98:10 street 2:3,8 suggested 59:5 solve 92:23 start 5:25 8:25 20:9 strides 36:19 structure 8:8 75:16 80:24 somebody 59:3 28:18 48:12 54:2 60:13 88:17 struggle 67:7 suggesting 25:6 soon 15:14 16:3 starting 99:21 state 7:24 8:6 18:15 stater 99:21 state 7:24 8:6 18:15 stater 99:21 sub 38:18 39:2 58:14 66:3 suggestion 22:25 sort 14:9,16,18 35:6 39:22 40:17 41:21 89:5,6,9 91:2,13 subcommittee 98:25 subject 10:15 11:24 suitability 67:13,22 suitablity 67:13,22 suitable 65:12 suite 2:4,8 summary 11:16 sources 18:25 36:6 95:11 101:13 103:1 52:25 62:2,3 82:1 subjective 81:20 superrio 90:4 superrio 90:4				
smaller 81:3 98:25 standard 45:15 46:22 48:21 74:5 sudden 39:11 smith 16:16 49:14 67:9 92:7 streamline 54:21 sufficient 27:24,25 sole 86:17,23,24 standards 8:13 10:5 55:11 69:16 68:25 89:2 91:18 92:3,18 27:20 28:7 49:23 streams 94:10 suggest 82:9 95:4 72:22 98:10 strides 36:19 stred 38:19 strides 36:19 somebody 59:3 60:13 88:17 structure 8:8 75:16 80:24 somewhat 54:21 60:13 88:17 struggle 67:7 suggesting 25:6 sonry 24:5 34:10 started 3:8 5:5 9:9 stuff 44:1 52:25 58:14 66:3 39:24 40:17 41:21 state 7:24 8:6 18:15 20:4 27:21 82:21 sub 38:18 39:2 53:25 81:7 83:17 sort 14:9,16,18 35:6 95:11 101:13 103:1 27:23 62:16,16,16,17 suitability 67:13,22 sounds 68:18 statement				
smith 16:16 49:14 67:9 92:7 streamline 54:21 sufficient 27:24,25 sole 86:17,23,24 standards 8:13 10:5 55:11 69:16 68:25 87:2,11,25 88:6,13 10:6 12:6,6,8 13:16 streams 94:10 suggest 82:9 95:4 72:22 98:10 street 2:3,8 suggested 59:5 somebody 59:3 start 5:25 8:25 20:9 strides 36:19 suggesting 25:6 somewhat 54:21 60:13 88:17 structure 8:8 75:16 suggesting 25:6 son 15:14 16:3 started 3:8 5:5 9:9 stuff 44:1 52:25 58:14 66:3 28:24 19:10 38:15 58:13 74:7,12,13 80:10 suggestion 22:25 sort 14:9,16,18 35:6 20:4 27:21 82:21 sub 38:18 39:2 suitability 53:25 81:7 83:17 39:22 40:17 41:21 89:5,6,9 91:2,13 subcommittee 98:25 suitability 67:13,22 sources 18:25 36:6 61:15 statement 19:6		_		1
sole 86:17,23,24 standards 8:13 10:5 55:11 69:16 68:25 87:2,11,25 88:6,13 10:6 12:6,6,8 13:16 27:20 28:7 49:23 streams 94:10 suggest 82:9 95:4 72:22 98:10 strides 36:19 80:24 suggesting 25:6 somebody 59:3 28:18 48:12 54:2 82:19 83:8,13 80:13 suggesting 25:6 somewhat 54:21 55:14 16:3 55:19 82:5 20:9 structure 8:8 75:16 80:13 suggesting 25:6 somewhat 54:21 56:13 88:17 struggle 67:7 suggestion 22:25 58:14 66:3 80:13 80:13 80:13 80:13 80:13 80:13 80:13 80:13 80:13 80:13 80:13 80:13<				
87:2,11,25 88:6,13 10:6 12:6,6,8 13:16 streams 94:10 suggest 82:9 89:2 91:18 92:3,18 27:20 28:7 49:23 street 2:3,8 suggested 59:5 95:4 72:22 98:10 strides 36:19 80:24 suggesting 25:6 somebody 59:3 28:18 48:12 54:2 82:19 83:8,13 80:13 suggesting 25:6 somewhat 54:21 60:13 88:17 struggle 67:7 suggestion 22:25 soon 15:14 16:3 started 3:8 5:5 9:9 34:7,12,13 80:10 suggestion 22:25 sort 24:9,16,18 35:6 20:4 27:21 82:21 52:3 62:16,16,16,17 suitability 67:13,22 sort 14:9,16,18 35:6 20:4 27:21 82:21 52:3 62:16,16,16,17 subject 10:15 11:24 suitability 67:13,22 sounds 68:18 statement 48:8 61:9 82:1 subject 10:15 11:4 77:4 southern 98:1 statements 19:6 statements 19:6 43:21 56:16 58:1 <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>1</th></t<>				1
89:2 91:18 92:3,18 27:20 28:7 49:23 street 2:3,8 suggested 59:5 95:4 72:22 98:10 strides 36:19 80:24 solve 92:23 start 5:25 8:25 20:9 structure 8:8 75:16 suggesting 25:6 somebody 59:3 28:18 48:12 54:2 82:19 83:8,13 80:13 somewhat 54:21 60:13 88:17 struggle 67:7 suggestion 22:25 soon 15:14 16:3 started 3:8 5:5 9:9 stuff 44:1 52:25 58:14 66:3 28:24 19:10 38:15 58:13 74:7,12,13 80:10 suggestions 51:1 sorry 24:5 34:10 starting 99:21 sub 38:18 39:2 53:25 81:7 83:17 96:14 101:9 state 7:24 8:6 18:15 42:22,24,25 43:4,16 suitability 67:13,22 sort 14:9,16,18 35:6 20:4 27:21 82:21 52:3 62:16,16,16,17 suitability 67:13,22 39:22 40:17 41:21 89:5,6,9 91:2,13 subject 10:15 11:24 suitable 65:12 42:18 43:2 46:14 93:20 94:18,23 95:1 subject 10:15 11:24 summary 11:16 59:25 60:3 66:6 95:11 101:13 103:1 statement 48:8 61:9 82:1 subjective 81:20 superior 90:4 southern				
95:4 72:22 98:10 strides 36:19 80:24 solve 92:23 start 5:25 8:25 20:9 structure 8:8 75:16 suggesting 25:6 somebody 59:3 28:18 48:12 54:2 82:19 83:8,13 80:13 somewhat 54:21 60:13 88:17 struggle 67:7 suggestion 22:25 soon 15:14 16:3 started 3:8 5:5 9:9 stuff 44:1 52:25 58:14 66:3 suggestion 22:25 sorry 24:5 34:10 starting 99:21 sub 38:18 39:2 53:25 81:7 83:17 96:14 101:9 state 7:24 8:618:15 42:22,24,25 43:4,16 suitability 67:13,22 sort 14:9,16,18 35:6 20:4 27:21 82:21 52:3 62:16,16,16,17 suitability 67:13,22 sort 14:9,16,18 35:6 99:12,13 subcommittee 98:25 suitable 65:12 39:22 40:17 41:21 89:5,6,9 91:2,13 subject 10:15 11:24 27:2 35:15 85:14 30:20 30:20 93:10 30:20 30:20 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
solve 92:23 start 5:25 8:25 20:9 structure 8:8 75:16 suggesting 25:6 somebody 59:3 somewhat 54:21 60:13 88:17 structure 8:8 75:16 80:13 some what 54:21 60:13 88:17 struggle 67:7 suggestion 22:25 soon 15:14 16:3 started 3:8 5:5 9:9 stuff 44:1 52:25 58:14 66:3 suggestion 22:25 sorry 24:5 34:10 starting 99:21 stuff 44:1 52:25 58:14 66:3 suggestions 51:1 sorry 24:5 34:10 starting 99:21 sub 38:18 39:2 53:25 81:7 83:17 suitability 67:13,22 sort 14:9,16,18 35:6 20:4 27:21 82:21 52:3 62:16,16,16,16,17 suitability 67:13,22 sort 14:9,16,18 35:6 99:21 89:5,6,9 91:2,13 subcommittee 98:25 suitable 65:12 suitable 65:12 subject 10:15 11:24 77:4 supper 70:17 supper 70:17 su			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
somebody 59:3 28:18 48:12 54:2 82:19 83:8,13 80:13 somewhat 54:21 60:13 88:17 struggle 67:7 suggestion 22:25 soon 15:14 16:3 started 3:8 5:5 9:9 stuff 44:1 52:25 58:14 66:3 sorry 24:5 34:10 starting 99:21 sub 38:18 39:2 53:25 81:7 83:17 sort 14:9,16,18 35:6 20:4 27:21 82:21 52:3 62:16,16,16,17 suitability 67:13,22 sort 14:9,16,18 35:6 20:4 27:21 82:21 52:3 62:16,16,16,17 suitability 67:13,22 sort 14:9,16,18 35:6 20:4 27:21 82:21 52:3 62:16,16,16,17 suitability 67:13,22 sort 14:9,16,18 35:6 99:12,13 subcommittee 98:25 suitability 67:13,22 soul-complex 93:20 94:18,23 95:1 52:3 52:15 85:14 subject 10:15 11:24 summary 11:16 sounds 68:18 statement 19:6 submission 15:16 superior 90:4 southern <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></t<>				
somewhat 54:21 60:13 88:17 struggle 67:7 suggestion 22:25 soon 15:14 16:3 started 3:8 5:5 9:9 stuff 44:1 52:25 58:14 66:3 28:24 19:10 38:15 58:13 starting 99:21 sub 38:18 39:2 53:25 81:7 83:17 96:14 101:9 state 7:24 8:6 18:15 20:4 27:21 82:21 sub 38:18 39:2 53:25 81:7 83:17 39:22 40:17 41:21 89:5,6,9 91:2,13 93:20 94:18,23 95:1 subcommittee 98:25 suitability 67:13,22 42:18 43:2 46:14 93:20 94:18,23 95:1 subject 10:15 11:24 summary 11:16 59:25 60:3 66:6 95:11 101:13 103:1 statement 48:8 61:9 82:1 summary 11:16 southern 98:1 statements 19:6 submission 15:16 superior 90:4 spamming 96:20,21 93:16 status 16:10 27:10 43:21 56:16 58:1 supplement 91:9 speak 3:20 18:15 81:17 85:25 submit 52:24,24				
soon 15:14 16:3 started 3:8 5:5 9:9 stuff 44:1 52:25 58:14 66:3 28:24 19:10 38:15 58:13 74:7,12,13 80:10 suggestions 51:1 sorry 24:5 34:10 starting 99:21 sub 38:18 39:2 53:25 81:7 83:17 96:14 101:9 state 7:24 8:6 18:15 20:4 27:21 82:21 42:22,24,25 43:4,16 suitability 67:13,22 sort 14:9,16,18 35:6 20:4 27:21 82:21 52:3 62:16,16,16,17 suitability 67:13,22 39:22 40:17 41:21 89:5,6,9 91:2,13 subcommittee 98:25 suite 2:4,8 42:18 43:2 46:14 93:20 94:18,23 95:1 27:2 35:15 85:14 suite 2:4,8 sounds 68:18 statement 48:8 61:9 82:1 suppertive 79:3 southern 98:1 statements 19:6 submission 15:16 superior 90:4 spamming 96:20,21 status 16:10 27:10 43:21 56:16 58:1 suppertion 103:8 speak 3:20 18:15 81:17 85:25 submit </th <th></th> <th></th> <th>,</th> <th></th>			,	
28:24 19:10 38:15 58:13 74:7,12,13 80:10 suggestions 51:1 sorry 24:5 34:10 starting 99:21 sub 38:18 39:2 53:25 81:7 83:17 96:14 101:9 state 7:24 8:6 18:15 42:22,24,25 43:4,16 suitability 67:13,22 sort 14:9,16,18 35:6 20:4 27:21 82:21 52:3 62:16,16,16,17 suitable 65:12 39:22 40:17 41:21 89:5,6,9 91:2,13 subcommittee 98:25 suite 2:4,8 42:18 43:2 46:14 93:20 94:18,23 95:1 subject 10:15 11:24 summary 11:16 59:25 60:3 66:6 95:11 101:13 103:1 27:2 35:15 85:14 summer 99:3 sources 18:25 36:6 61:15 82:1 super 70:17 southern 98:1 statements 19:6 submission 15:16 superior 90:4 sovereignty 72:24 states 7:25 62:2,3 93:16 submissions 27:6 supprement 91:9 speak 3:20 18:15 81:17 85:25 submit 52:24,24 37:1 38:6 speaking 32:4 65:21 statute 75:5,9,14 53:4 54:17 56:18 support 18:3 23:15 91:11 78:14 58:17 63:5 65:9 36:16 54:16 61:18				
sorry 24:5 34:10 starting 99:21 sub 38:18 39:2 53:25 81:7 83:17 96:14 101:9 state 7:24 8:6 18:15 42:22,24,25 43:4,16 suitability 67:13,22 sort 14:9,16,18 35:6 20:4 27:21 82:21 52:3 62:16,16,16,17 suitability 67:13,22 39:22 40:17 41:21 89:5,6,9 91:2,13 subcommittee 98:25 suite 2:4,8 42:18 43:2 46:14 93:20 94:18,23 95:1 subject 10:15 11:24 summary 11:16 59:25 60:3 66:6 95:11 101:13 103:1 27:2 35:15 85:14 77:4 summer 99:3 sources 18:25 36:6 61:15 82:1 super 70:17 super 70:17 southern 98:1 statements 19:6 submission 15:16 supervision 103:8 spamming 96:20,21 93:16 submissions 27:6 supplement 91:9 speak 3:20 18:15 status 16:10 27:10 43:21 56:16 58:1 support 18:3 23:15 23:22 69:25 88:21 81:17 85:25				
96:14 101:9 state 7:24 8:6 18:15 42:22,24,25 43:4,16 suitability 67:13,22 sort 14:9,16,18 35:6 20:4 27:21 82:21 52:3 62:16,16,16,16,17 suitable 65:12 39:22 40:17 41:21 89:5,6,9 91:2,13 subcommittee 98:25 suite 2:4,8 42:18 43:2 46:14 93:20 94:18,23 95:1 subject 10:15 11:24 summary 11:16 59:25 60:3 66:6 95:11 101:13 103:1 27:2 35:15 85:14 77:4 sources 18:25 36:6 61:15 82:1 super 70:17 southern 98:1 statements 19:6 submission 15:16 superior 90:4 sovereignty 72:24 states 7:25 62:2,3 61:16 73:7 supervision 103:8 spamming 96:20,21 93:16 submissions 27:6 supplement 91:9 speak 3:20 18:15 status 16:10 27:10 43:21 56:16 58:1 support 18:3 23:15 23:22 69:25 88:21 81:17 85:25 submit 52:24,24 37:1 38:6 speaking 32:4 65:21 statute 75:5,9,14 53:4 54:17 56:18 supportive 17:21 91:11 78:14 58:17 63:5 65:9 36:16 54:16 61:18				
sort 14:9,16,18 35:6 20:4 27:21 82:21 52:3 62:16,16,16,17 suitable 65:12 39:22 40:17 41:21 89:5,6,9 91:2,13 subcommittee 98:25 suite 2:4,8 42:18 43:2 46:14 93:20 94:18,23 95:1 subject 10:15 11:24 summary 11:16 59:25 60:3 66:6 95:11 101:13 103:1 27:2 35:15 85:14 77:4 summer 99:3 sources 18:25 36:6 61:15 82:1 super 70:17 southern 98:1 statements 19:6 submission 15:16 superior 90:4 spamming 96:20,21 93:16 submissions 27:6 supervision 103:8 speak 3:20 18:15 status 16:10 27:10 43:21 56:16 58:1 support 18:3 23:15 23:22 69:25 88:21 81:17 85:25 submit 52:24,24 37:1 38:6 speaking 32:4 65:21 statute 75:5,9,14 58:17 63:5 65:9 36:16 54:16 61:18				
39:22 40:17 41:21 89:5,6,9 91:2,13 subcommittee 98:25 suite 2:4,8 42:18 43:2 46:14 93:20 94:18,23 95:1 subject 10:15 11:24 summary 11:16 59:25 60:3 66:6 95:11 101:13 103:1 27:2 35:15 85:14 77:4 sources 18:25 36:6 61:15 82:1 supper 70:17 southern 98:1 statements 19:6 submission 15:16 superior 90:4 sovereignty 72:24 states 7:25 62:2,3 93:16 submissions 27:6 supplement 91:9 speak 3:20 18:15 status 16:10 27:10 43:21 56:16 58:1 support 18:3 23:15 23:22 69:25 88:21 81:17 85:25 submit 52:24,24 37:1 38:6 speaking 32:4 65:21 statute 75:5,9,14 53:4 54:17 56:18 supportive 17:21 91:11 78:14 58:17 63:5 65:9 36:16 54:16 61:18			1 ' '	-
42:18 43:2 46:14 93:20 94:18,23 95:1 subject 10:15 11:24 summary 11:16 59:25 60:3 66:6 95:11 101:13 103:1 27:2 35:15 85:14 77:4 sounds 68:18 statement 48:8 61:9 subjective 81:20 summer 99:3 southern 98:1 statements 19:6 submission 15:16 superior 90:4 sovereignty 72:24 states 7:25 62:2,3 61:16 73:7 supervision 103:8 spamming 96:20,21 93:16 submissions 27:6 supplement 91:9 speak 3:20 18:15 status 16:10 27:10 43:21 56:16 58:1 support 18:3 23:15 23:22 69:25 88:21 81:17 85:25 submit 52:24,24 37:1 38:6 speaking 32:4 65:21 statute 75:5,9,14 53:4 54:17 56:18 supportive 17:21 91:11 78:14 58:17 63:5 65:9 36:16 54:16 61:18			1 ' ' '	
59:25 60:3 66:6 95:11 101:13 103:1 27:2 35:15 85:14 77:4 sounds 68:18 statement 48:8 61:9 subjective 81:20 summer 99:3 sources 18:25 36:6 61:15 subjective 81:20 super 70:17 southern 98:1 statements 19:6 submission 15:16 superior 90:4 spamming 96:20,21 93:16 submissions 27:6 supplement 91:9 speak 3:20 18:15 status 16:10 27:10 43:21 56:16 58:1 support 18:3 23:15 23:22 69:25 88:21 81:17 85:25 submit 52:24,24 37:1 38:6 speaking 32:4 65:21 statute 75:5,9,14 53:4 54:17 56:18 supportive 17:21 91:11 78:14 58:17 63:5 65:9 36:16 54:16 61:18		1 ' ' '		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
sounds68:18statement48:8 61:9subjective81:20summer99:3sources18:25 36:661:1582:1super70:17southern98:1statements19:6submission15:16superior90:4spamming96:20,2193:16submissions27:6supervision103:8speak3:20 18:153:20 18:1543:21 56:16 58:1supplement91:9speaking32:4 65:2181:17 85:25submit52:24,2437:1 38:6speaking32:4 65:2137:1 38:6supportive17:2191:1178:1458:17 63:5 65:936:16 54:16 61:18		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	•
sources 18:25 36:6 61:15 82:1 super 70:17 southern 98:1 statements 19:6 submission 15:16 superior 90:4 spamming 96:20,21 93:16 submissions 27:6 suppression 103:8 speak 3:20 18:15 status 16:10 27:10 43:21 56:16 58:1 suppression 18:3 23:15 23:22 69:25 88:21 81:17 85:25 submit 52:24,24 37:1 38:6 speaking 32:4 65:21 statute 75:5,9,14 53:4 54:17 56:18 supportive 17:21 91:11 78:14 58:17 63:5 65:9 36:16 54:16 61:18				
southern 98:1 statements 19:6 submission 15:16 superior 90:4 spamming 96:20,21 93:16 submissions 27:6 supplement 91:9 speak 3:20 18:15 status 16:10 27:10 43:21 56:16 58:1 supplement 91:9 23:22 69:25 88:21 81:17 85:25 submit 52:24,24 37:1 38:6 speaking 32:4 65:21 statute 75:5,9,14 53:4 54:17 56:18 supportive 17:21 91:11 78:14 58:17 63:5 65:9 36:16 54:16 61:18			•	
sovereignty 72:24 states 7:25 62:2,3 61:16 73:7 supervision 103:8 spamming 96:20,21 93:16 submissions 27:6 supplement 91:9 speak 3:20 18:15 status 16:10 27:10 43:21 56:16 58:1 support 18:3 23:15 23:22 69:25 88:21 81:17 85:25 submit 52:24,24 37:1 38:6 speaking 32:4 65:21 statute 75:5,9,14 53:4 54:17 56:18 supportive 17:21 91:11 78:14 58:17 63:5 65:9 36:16 54:16 61:18				*
spamming 96:20,21 93:16 submissions 27:6 supplement 91:9 speak 3:20 18:15 status 16:10 27:10 43:21 56:16 58:1 support 18:3 23:15 23:22 69:25 88:21 81:17 85:25 submit 52:24,24 37:1 38:6 speaking 32:4 65:21 statute 75:5,9,14 53:4 54:17 56:18 supportive 17:21 91:11 78:14 58:17 63:5 65:9 36:16 54:16 61:18				-
speak 3:20 18:15 status 16:10 27:10 43:21 56:16 58:1 support 18:3 23:15 23:22 69:25 88:21 81:17 85:25 submit 52:24,24 37:1 38:6 speaking 32:4 65:21 statute 75:5,9,14 53:4 54:17 56:18 supportive 17:21 91:11 78:14 58:17 63:5 65:9 36:16 54:16 61:18				-
23:22 69:25 88:21 81:17 85:25 submit 52:24,24 37:1 38:6 speaking 32:4 65:21 statute 75:5,9,14 53:4 54:17 56:18 supportive 17:21 91:11 78:14 58:17 63:5 65:9 36:16 54:16 61:18		status 16:10 27:10		
91:11 78:14 58:17 63:5 65:9 36:16 54:16 61:18	•	81:17 85:25	submit 52:24,24	
	speaking 32:4 65:21	statute 75:5,9,14	53:4 54:17 56:18	supportive 17:21
special 7:15 statutorily 80:6 67:1 72:20 77:3.9 supposed 96:13	•		58:17 63:5 65:9	1
The state of the s	special 7:15	statutorily 80:6	67:1 72:20 77:3,9	supposed 96:13
specific 33:21 43:10 statutory 13:23 77:11,13 79:2 80:9 sure 4:14 9:15 16:13	specific 33:21 43:10	statutory 13:23	77:11,13 79:2 80:9	sure 4:14 9:15 16:13
82:2,7 88:2,4 89:24 32:11 56:9,12 71:8 80:15 21:11,20 26:21 27:2	82:2,7 88:2,4 89:24	32:11 56:9,12 71:8	80:15	21:11,20 26:21 27:2

[sure - tomorrow] Page 21

	1		
28:16 30:20 31:24	talked 19:12 25:21	84:3,25 85:16 86:12	third 90:8,9,22
32:12 33:1,11 34:11	26:13 48:1 52:5	92:16 93:23 102:6	thoroughly 26:8
36:4 41:16 44:16	59:8 63:23	102:17	thought 22:15 23:3
56:17 57:25 68:20	talking 3:18 23:2	thankful 81:13	33:24 34:14 40:17
73:14 74:9,23 75:12	40:8 48:19 51:2	thereof 103:11	40:18 45:18 50:10
75:22 78:21 91:21	58:6 70:15 71:6,18	thing 5:22 18:14	58:14 80:22 92:12
91:22 95:23 97:1	75:1 81:21 82:17	19:1 24:8 31:23	thoughts 37:9 65:18
101:2,15	84:15 88:4 100:8,18	38:2 40:15 42:20	thousands 77:20
surprised 47:23	101:20	43:23 52:16 58:4	three 10:19 12:17,18
suspect 62:13	talks 55:21	77:21 86:5 94:17	28:12 30:20 31:18
suspend 57:18	task 76:12 97:4,5	96:3,16	32:1 62:21 77:9
suspended 43:13	98:22	things 8:1 13:4,15	83:1,9,25 90:8
system 14:10,11	taxes 89:21	16:24 18:11 19:25	threw 35:9
21:24 22:16 23:7	team 35:13 47:19	20:5 21:11 26:25	ticket 14:19
25:19 74:12 81:16	technical 12:6 98:10	29:5,9,15 33:15	ticketing 14:10,11
97:8	teeth 37:23	43:12,15,15 46:6	21:24 22:16 23:7
systems 73:16,17	tell 16:8,8 29:10	55:8,11 56:19,21	25:19
74:17 75:19 81:21	35:11	58:2 59:10 69:4,5	time 3:15 10:15
t	telling 18:1 93:7	70:20 72:16 73:9,11	13:12 17:16,17 19:2
t.a.c. 30:12 98:4	tells 17:23,24 76:17	75:18,21 78:13	19:8 22:3,23 31:6,9
99:12 100:25	temporary 46:3	83:21 87:13 92:4	31:10,10,11 34:17
101:10	47:15 55:7	93:18 96:25 97:7	34:22,25 35:1,5
t.g.a. 61:23 63:5	ten 7:24	100:14	36:21 40:14,19
67:23 68:1	term 21:24 40:9	think 3:17 11:22	41:17,18,23,24 49:2
table 3:10 4:5 6:13	41:3 90:6	14:17 19:1,9,20	50:1 57:25 59:17
9:11 11:2,4 18:12	terminated 17:8	21:16 22:23,25 23:1	61:12 64:20 65:3
19:5 34:10 70:6,21	34:19 68:15	23:7,16 24:2 28:4	68:25 70:13 71:12
71:18 93:21	termination 21:13	33:15 34:9,24 37:23	72:14 78:23 79:11
tables 9:14	67:12,22 68:19	38:10,14 39:20	80:10 82:23 83:3,19
tackle 98:15	terminology 68:16	41:22 47:6,23 48:21	88:3 91:10 98:23
tackled 94:19	terms 13:16 32:6,17	49:18,23 50:1 51:18	99:7 102:5 103:6
take 3:10 4:3 10:16	67:7 89:21 93:2	51:21 53:19 54:13	timelines 51:21
29:12,19 33:17	terri 7:1,16 28:21	66:1,22 67:15 68:5	times 20:3 51:20
36:13 38:11 46:10	31:21 37:10 48:17	68:10,14 69:18 70:1	59:21 85:8
50:16 56:18 70:5	51:5 81:9	73:24 76:5 79:11	title 85:14
75:20	testament 99:16	80:1,5,23 81:3 82:5	today 5:4,6,8 6:7
taken 12:8 21:22	thank 3:24 4:11,15	82:16 83:7,10 85:4	7:17 8:18 10:7
38:13 39:1,2 42:2	8:18 18:10 20:11	85:18 86:10,13	12:13,18 23:8 31:24
71:17 90:10 94:5	21:7 25:15 28:20	87:18,24 88:22	48:16 61:8 76:9
102:7 103:5,6	31:20,21 33:6 38:3	91:20 93:10 94:4,5	86:17 88:12 98:5
talk 8:22 19:7 20:6	47:8 51:5 52:13	95:21 96:19 97:10	101:24
21:21 28:18 38:5	53:17 60:12 63:13	98:13,18 99:13	told 26:19 27:15
39:3 49:4 52:19	63:16 65:19 66:10	101:12,17,19,20	85:19
69:10 73:4 87:18	66:12 67:3 68:7	thinking 50:11	tomorrow 72:1
07.10 /3. 1 0/.10	70:7 79:15,21 80:18	52:17 93:13 94:12	78:24 79:3

[tool - versus] Page 22

tool 8:11	tribe 7:10,21 14:11	94:6,11,20,21 95:14	unclear 30:15
top 25:2 58:9 85:15	16:6 20:23 24:10	95:23 96:18	underpaying 20:19
91:2,3 94:22	31:4,7 32:7 40:19	tried 14:3 16:16	understand 8:5 60:4
topics 30:6 48:13	41:18 44:7,10,10,13	29:15 30:6 32:15	93:6 95:2
102:13	46:7 54:19 55:13,13	43:8 47:2 51:18	understanding 24:2
toro 7:6	55:16,19,20 56:4	54:24 55:10 72:15	26:17 51:16 52:10
totally 86:8	57:8,12,18 58:17	77:16	undertakes 53:21
touch 71:1	61:20 62:14 63:5	triggered 89:7	undertaking 53:18
touches 82:17	64:17 65:1 66:7,9,9	triggers 94:16	unfortunately 13:21
tough 97:23	66:18,25 68:23	trouble 20:15	82:20
toyed 50:9 92:11	70:12 73:3,5 74:11	true 44:23	uniform 67:9
toying 68:16	75:12,22 76:2,17,19	trust 32:20	unique 33:20
tracie 4:18,24	76:21 77:11,24 78:7	try 13:17 30:2 49:15	united 7:25
trade 33:18	78:16,23 81:16 83:8	82:8,10 84:24 97:14	unsuccessful 91:7
traditional 97:25	83:8 87:8 89:22,23	98:18	unsuitability 62:12
training 5:10 36:8	91:5,12,15,16 92:14	trying 14:17 15:4	unsuitable 64:25
trainings 36:21	93:10 94:24	17:15 18:4 22:6	65:8
transcribed 5:17	tribe's 32:5,18,18	30:6 37:13 41:16	untenable 91:20
9:16 11:7 18:15	84:9 90:18 92:8	42:6 50:2,24 51:9	unwieldy 101:21
103:7	tribes 8:3,6 9:20	55:10 56:14,24	unworkable 89:22
transcribing 5:18	13:25 15:7,9,20	80:21 81:6,8 82:6	update 55:16
11:20 18:16	16:4,8,9,11,22	88:16 94:5	updated 13:2 55:17
transcript 1:11 5:3	17:20 18:1 19:3,22	turn 8:20 29:23	updating 55:22
transcripts 11:7	20:13,17 22:4,17	38:19 47:7 61:21,23	upper 77:18
transparent 11:20	25:24,24 26:17,19	86:14	urge 52:18
15:11	26:22 27:15,25 28:5	turnover 3:16	use 24:12 65:15
travel 4:25	28:14 29:15,24	tweaked 16:2	67:20 81:20
traveling 98:17	30:19,24 31:18	two 14:8 23:14,17	useful 71:1,2
travels 102:19	33:22 35:18 36:14	28:22 32:1 39:22	usually 23:11
treasuries 89:6	36:16,19 39:8 40:20	41:1 46:7 47:1	utilize 15:7 26:1
94:23	41:21 42:8 44:5,5	49:12 61:18 62:16	35:23 37:3,8 56:20
treasury 24:9,11	45:19 47:6,18 49:21	62:16 70:20 72:8	68:22 98:20
trends 89:4	50:4 51:20,22 54:16	77:2 85:24 86:22	utilized 25:24 26:4
tribal 1:3 3:8,9 4:8	54:17 55:2,6,11,18	87:12,18 90:7 96:6	36:13
6:17,20 9:17,18,18	56:10 57:10 59:5,21	100:20	utilizing 45:6
9:19 10:5 11:10	60:10,24 62:1,3,6,7	type 22:16 37:25	v
12:10,13 16:25	62:24 64:3,5,7	43:15 50:22 85:9	value 68:5
18:23 27:20,21 30:7	65:15,23,25 66:2,4	types 22:13 93:18	variations 88:7
33:15 36:22 37:3,4	67:9,20,20 69:21	99:24	various 44:13
59:1 61:9 63:6,7,12	70:25 72:7,8,20	typewriting 103:8	various 44.13 verifiability 68:4
67:15 70:13 71:19	73:19,22 74:12,24	u	verify 27:9
72:23 73:2,10,18,25	75:2,15,17 77:2,7	u.s.c. 62:16	version 34:8
74:10 75:6,8,10,11	77:10,12 82:22,25	unanswered 67:21	versus 20:12 49:17
80:25 84:17 86:1	83:11 85:10,24 86:8	GIIIIISWCICU U7.21	82:11 83:10
89:5,8,19	86:10 87:3 90:25		02.11 03.10

[vice - yesterday] Page 23

vice 4:18 74:25 75:11 78:21 **welcome** 3:12 4:19 writing 95:7 view 32:2,17,19 33:4 95:19 7:15 100:2 **written** 11:7 16:6 viewed 32:1 wanting 75:24 79:18 went 27:7 54:9 21:1 30:1 32:6 wants 31:7 95:17 **violate** 87:9 92:3 87:23 98:8 43:21 46:11 51:23 95:4.8 warning 40:11 41:3 wheels 70:14 83:22 66:13,21 72:11 79:2 **whereof** 103:12 **violated** 86:24 88:1 41:10 79:8 80:1 **violation** 14:13 15:2 warrant 49:4,9,16 **whip** 91:1 wrong 91:24 washington 2:4,9 white 1:20 103:3,18 25:5 39:9,12,15,19 \mathbf{X} 40:4,5,13,22,24 5:1,13 36:10 **whoa** 57:16 **x** 41:18 64:5,5 41:11,14 42:4,15 **watch** 97:7 **wholly** 89:22 y wildly 77:3 87:5 46:3 59:25 60:8,8 waterfall 92:8,9 **yeah** 25:5,9 53:11 williams 6:11 69:1 75:20 86:21 wave 9:14 53:14 79:12 94:17 violations 22:1 **willing** 23:19 way 22:11,16 24:18 34:8 44:10 49:3 wind 92:14 95:19 97:1 101:19 78:20 wise 22:5 **vear** 7:19 13:6,7 **vital** 22:9 55:9,18 65:16 79:8 14:4,5 20:3,7 21:10 **voice** 101:9 81:1 82:2 88:21 **wish** 96:10 21:15 27:8 31:18 **void** 46:4 91:24 93:3,12 witness 103:12 83:2 99:3,4 witnesses 46:10 voluntarily 23:20 wavs 17:15 **vears** 7:24 13:20 we've 11:25 12:14 **wonderful** 4:12,14 voluntary 39:6 28:12 30:20 31:5 47:21 48:7 50:21 12:19 13:15 14:3,7 wondering 45:25 54:12 59:23 60:25 51:8 66:22 67:1,2 14:7,14,21 15:3,18 word 14:17 16:16 67:2 82:16 83:9.25 18:3 19:20 20:9 63:3,4 81:22 \mathbf{w} vesterday 36:9 21:23 22:12 24:18 words 3:17,24 wagner 5:10 101:23 27:24,25 28:14 30:4 work 4:25 8:2 16:12 wait 16:12 30:6 32:7 34:14 16:17 23:8 31:14 waive 24:5,5,6 78:11 36:15 39:1,7,22 37:24 49:19.20 50:3 **waived** 43:13 40:8,10 42:7,10 50:24 55:5,8 56:18 walk 50:12 43:8 47:23 50:7,8,9 69:21 78:11 79:11 want 3:9,12,14,19 50:9 51:18 56:10 81:8 86:1 96:12,15 3:24 4:5,19 5:16,22 57:10,16 58:3 59:10 97:1,9 98:3,22 99:9 8:18 16:15 17:12,21 59:11.21 60:11 **worked** 7:21.23 19:1 20:8 23:22 61:10,11 64:2,6,22 44:11 61:1,1 95:22 26:2 28:15,18 30:8 68:16 70:5,22 71:17 98:17 31:24 33:22 34:24 71:19 73:6 79:18 **working** 8:16 11:5 36:4 38:5,7 45:7,22 80:22 84:15,19 18:7 29:8 35:7 42:6 48:12 57:11 58:3 53:14 56:17.21 87:10,12,17,18 88:4 63:17 66:18,25 70:3 92:20 96:18 97:16 64:17 67:16 86:3 70:7 72:19 79:22 98:3 101:12 96:14,17,25 100:8 80:11 84:6 85:17 **web** 11:8,9,22 44:12 100:13 86:7 87:22 88:17 47:4 77:23 84:12 works 18:5 98:7 93:16 94:1,13 95:18 89:16 96:6 97:16,17 worry 57:23 98:7 99:5,7,11 97:18 worth 35:17 90:16 101:13,14 weed 60:22 **worthy** 81:17 **wanted** 15:9 44:15 write 88:5 92:2 week 12:16 96:6 44:15 52:14 73:14

99:20 100:5 102:1